

ANALIZA NESTACIONARNIH SIGNALA: DOPRINOS KOMPRESIVNOG**By: Isidora Stankovic**As of: Sep 3, 2020 10:22:41 AM
41,701 words - 308 matches - 163 sources

Similarity Index

13%

Mode: Similarity Report ▼

paper text:

UNIVERZITET CRNE GORE ELEKTROTEHNIČKI FAKULTET MSc Isidora Stanković

**ANALIZA NESTACIONARNIH SIGNALA: DOPRINOS KOMPRESIVNOG ODABIRANJA U
SMANJENJU INTERFERENCIJA U DISPERZIVNIM KANALIMA**

25

– Doktorska disertacija – Podgorica, 2020. godine UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING by MSc Isidora Stanković ANALYSIS OF NON-STATIONARY SIGNALS: CONTRIBUTION OF COMPRESSIVE SENSING FOR INTERFERENCE MANAGEMENT IN DISPERSIVE MEDIA

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

1

Podgorica, 2020.

PODACI O DOKTORANDU, MENTORU I ČLANOVIMA KOMISIJE DOKTORAND Ime i prezime: Datum i

1

mjesto rođenja: Naziv završenog postdiplomskog studijskog programa: Godina završetka:**MENTOR: KOMISIJA ZA OCJENU PODOBNOSTI TEZE I KANDIDATA: KOMISIJA ZA OCJENU DOKTORSKE
DISERTACIJE: KOMISIJA ZA ODBRANU DOKTORSKE DISERTACIJE:**

DATUM ODBRANE: Isidora Stanković 02.04.1993. godine, Podgorica, Crna Gora Imperijal Koledž u Londonu, UK, odsjek Electrical and Electronic Engineering, smjer MSc Communications and Signal Processing 2015. prof.

dr Miloš Daković, redovni profe- sor, **Univerzitet Crne Gore, Elektrotehnički fakultet** prof. **dr**

1

Igor Đurović, **redovni** profe- sor, **Univerzitet Crne Gore,** Elektrotehnički fakultet prof. **dr Miloš****Daković, redovni** profe- sor, **Univerzitet Crne Gore,** Elektrotehnički fakultet prof. **dr Irena Orović,**redovni profe- sor, Univerzitet Crne Gore, Elektrotehnički fakultet -----

PODACI O DOKTORSKOJ DISERTACIJI Naziv doktorskih studija: Naziv **doktorske disertacije:**

1

Ključne riječi: Datum prijave doktroske **teze: Datum sjednice Senata UCG na kojoj je prihvaćena**

teza: Naučna oblast: Uža naučna oblast: REZIME: **Doktorske studije elektrotehnike Analiza**

nestacionarnih **signala:**

dopri- nos kompresivnog odabiranja u sman- jenju interferencija u disperzivnim kanalima Nestacionarni signali; Kompresivno odabiranje; Disperzivni kanali; Sonarski sistemi; Greška rekonstruk- cije. 11.12.2018. 12.02.2019. Elektrotehnika i računarstvo Digitalna obrada signala U obradi signala, teorija koja stoji iza kompresivnog odabiranja pokazala se kao uspješna tehnika uzorkovanja u različitim oblastima. Upotreba malog broja mjerenja za akviziciju povećava efikasnost skladištenja, memorije i prenosa signala. Budućći da se brojni signali u prirodi mogu predstaviti kao rijetki u određenom domenu reprezentacije, tehnika je pokazala ogroman potencijal u mnogim oblastima kao što su medicina, telekomunikacije, radar i sonarni sistem. Međutim, iako je veoma uspješno, kompresivno odabiranje još uvijek nije u potpunosti razvijeno i primijenjeno u podvodnoj akustici. Akustični signali koji se prenose kroz vodu uvode mnoge složene karakteristike čineći njihovu analizu izazovnom i teškom. Proces prenošenja i primanja signala kroz plitko vodeno okruženje reprezentativan je primjer prenosa signala kroz disperzivni kanal. Nestacionarna priroda takvih signala zahtijeva prilagođen i pogodan alat za analizu razvijenu u vremensko-frekvencijskoj analizi signala. Unutar okvira kompresivnog odabiranja, važno je naglasiti da su nestacionarni signali samo približno rijetki ili nerijetki u odgovarajućem domenu transformacije. Budućći da se metoda rekonstrukcije u kompresivnom odabiranju intrinzično oslanja na rijetkost, rekonstrukcija približno rijetkih ili nerijetkih signala stvoriće grešku koja mora biti uzeta u obzir u proračunima i primjenama. Glavni doprinos ove teze je u proširivanju i prilagođavanju glavnih rezultata kompresivnog odabiranja na nestacionarne signale, uz primjenu na akustične i sonarne signale. Ovo može da obuhvati propagiranje u disperzivnim medijima. Konkretno, izvedena je tačno očekivana greška rekonstrukcije nestacionarnih signala u vremensko-frekvencijskoj analizi korišćenjem metoda kompresivnog odabiranja. Predložene su tehnike dekompozicije i rekonstrukcije signala u sonarnim sistemima i disperzivnim podvodnim kanalima korišćenjem vremensko-frekvencijske analize. Različite sekvence korišćene u sonarnom snimanju se razmatraju sa stanovišta rekonstrukcije zasnovane na kompresivnom odabiranju, uključujući smanjeni skup mjerenja ili visoko oštećenih uzoraka i podešavanje scenarija u stvarnom svijetu. Svi predstavljeni teorijski rezultati prate brojni primjeri. Takođe, prikazana je primjena rekonstrukcije opšte obrade slika i problema rašumljavanja. Algoritmi za dobijanje glavnih rezultata koji se koriste u tezi dati su u dodatku.

UDK: INFORMATION ON DOCTORAL DISSERTATION PhD study program: Dissertation title: Keywords:

1

Thesis application date: Date of sesstion of **UoM Senate**

where the thesis is accepted:

Scientific area: Specific scientific area ABSTRACT: **PhD studies in Electrical Engineering**

1

Analysis of non-stationary signals: contribution of compressive sensing for interference management in dispersive media Non-stationary signals; Compressive sensing; Dispersive channels; Sonar systems; Reconstruction error. 11.12.2018. 12.02.2019. Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Digital Signal Processing In signal processing, the theory behind compressive sensing presented a successful sampling technique in various fields. Using a small number of measurements for the acquisition improves the efficiency of storage, memory, and transmission of signals. Since numerous signals in nature can be represented as sparse in some representation domain, the technique showed massive potential in many areas such as medicine, telecommunications, radar, and sonar systems. Although very successful, compressive sensing is not yet fully developed and implemented in underwater acoustics. Acoustic signals transmitted through water introduce many complex characteristics making their analysis challenging and difficult. The process of transmitting and receiving signals through shallow water environment is a representative example of a signal transmission through dispersive channel.

The non-stationary nature of such **signals** leads to **the time-frequency signal analysis**

11

as well developed theory suitable for non-stationary signal processing. Within the compressive sensing framework, it is important to emphasize that the non-stationary signals are only approximately sparse or non-sparse in the corresponding transformation domain. Since the compressive sensing reconstruction methods intrinsically relies on the sparsity, the reconstruction of approximately sparse or non-sparse signals will produce an error that should be considered in the calculations and applications. The main contributions of this thesis are in extending and adjusting the compressive sensing methods and results to the non-stationary signals, with application to the acoustic and sonar signals. This can include dispersive media propagation. In particular, the exact expected error of the reconstruction of non-stationary signals in time-frequency analysis using the compressive sensing methods is derived. The decomposition and reconstruction of signals in sonar systems and dispersive underwater channels using time-frequency approaches are presented. Various sequences used in the sonar imaging are considered from the point of the compressive sensing based reconstruction, including a reduced set of measurements or highly corrupted samples and real-world scenario setup. All of the presented theoretical results are followed by numerous examples. Application of the proposed methods and obtained theoretical results to image reconstruction and denoising problems is also presented as an example that developed tools and theoretical results are important not only for underwater acoustic systems. The algorithms used to achieve the main results in the thesis are given in the Appendix. UDK: Abstract In signal processing, the theory behind compressive sensing presented a successful sampling technique in various fields. Using a small number of measurements for the acquisition improves the efficiency of storage, memory, and transmission of signals. Since numerous signals in nature can be represented as sparse in some representation domain, the technique showed massive potential in many areas such as medicine, telecommunications, radar, and sonar

systems. Although very successful, compressive sensing is not yet fully developed and implemented in underwater acoustics. Acoustic signals transmitted through water introduce many complex characteristics making their analysis challenging and difficult. The process of transmitting and receiving signals through shallow water environment is a representative example of a signal transmission through dispersive channel. The

non-stationary nature of such **signals** leads to **the time-frequency signal analysis**

6

as well developed theory suitable for non-stationary signal processing. Within the compressive sensing framework, it is important to emphasize that the non-stationary signals are only approximately sparse or non-sparse in the corresponding transformation domain. Since the compressive sensing reconstruction methods intrinsically relies on the sparsity, the reconstruction of approximately sparse or non-sparse signals will produce an error that should be considered in the calculations and applications. The main contributions of this thesis are in extending and adjusting the compressive sensing methods and results to the non-stationary signals, with application to the acoustic and sonar signals. This can include dispersive media propagation. In particular, the exact expected error of the reconstruction of non-stationary signals in time-frequency analysis using the compressive sensing methods is derived. The decomposition and reconstruction of signals in sonar systems and dispersive underwater channels using time-frequency approaches are presented. Various sequences used in the sonar imaging are considered from the point of the compressive sensing based reconstruction, including a reduced set of measurements or highly corrupted samples and real-world scenario setup. All of the presented theoretical results are followed by numerous examples. Application of the proposed methods and obtained theoretical results to image reconstruction and denoising problems is also presented as an example that developed tools and theoretical results are important not only for underwater acoustic systems. The algorithms used to achieve the main results in the thesis are given in the Appendix. i Acknowledgements I want to thank the

University of Montenegro (Faculty of Electrical Engineering) and the **University of**

38

Grenoble Alpes (GIPSA Lab, INP Grenoble), for giving me the opportunity to study and achieve the title of PhD under the double-degree program. Also, I would like to thank the Ministry of Science of Montenegro for seeing the potential of this thesis and financially supporting its realization. This thesis would not have been written without my two thesis supervisors, prof. Milos Dakovic and prof. Cornel Ioana. Your selfless guidance and patience during all these years helped me finish my goals, and I am eternally grateful for that. I want to thank prof. Irena Orovic, prof. Igor Djurovic, prof. Olivier Michel, prof. Angela Digulescu, prof. Ervin Sejdic, prof. Ilija Vujosevic, prof. Rada Dragovic Ivanovic, prof. Budimir Lutovac, prof. Vesna Popovic Bugarin, prof. Wei Dai, prof. Jerome Mars, prof. Gabriel Vasile, prof. Joachim Ender, prof. Mokhtar Mohammadi, and prof. Jonatan Lerga, for allowing me to work with and learn from them, as well as for their support and suggestions during the research. Also,

I would like to thank all the HR staff of Faculty of Electrical Engineering and GIPSA Lab for

132

helping me during the process and making the balance between the offices in Montenegro and France as easy as possible. A huge thank to my dear people from the Faculty of Electrical Engineering in Montenegro, Dr Milos Brajovic, Dr Andjela Draganic, Stefan Vujovic, and Nikola Bulatovic, for all the joyful moments we had in and out of the lab. A very big gratitude goes to Dr Karina Ashurbekova, Dr Saloua Chlaily, Akerke Dinzhumanova, Hardik Doshi, and Dr Jitendra Sewada, for making Grenoble feel like home to me. A special thanks to my office buddy Julien Muzeau for his strong patience and help during my stay in Grenoble. Also, I want to thank all other people from GIPSA Lab, for the unforgettable days and coffee breaks at 5 o'clock. To all my friends in London and Montenegro, I want to thank you for all the time when I let my problems go away when I am with you. It would definitely be hard to move forward without your presence and constant encouragement. I dedicate this thesis to my niece Iskra, who gives me the reason to finish my goals and be a better person every day. In the end, I want to thank my family for everything that they did for me, for all the powerful, kind, and encouraging words they were sharing with me to help me succeed not only in my thesis but also in life. I deeply appreciate all of your hard work, which helped me be where I am at right now. I love you.

iii Contents
Abstract i Acknowledgements iii

List of Figures ix List of Tables xi List of Acronyms and Abbreviations xiii Introduction 11

62

Background theory 5 1.1 Signal representation 6 1.2

Compressive sensing and sparse signal processing 10 1.3 Problem solutions
 14 2 Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 21 2.1 Initial estimate analysis for uniform sampling
 22 2.2 Initial estimate analysis for random sampling 24 2.3 Error in time-frequency signal
 reconstruction 25 2.4 Sampling generalization 34 2.5 Quantization error
 in compressive sensing 37 2.6 Noise folding 40 3 Wideband
 sonar signal reconstruction 43 3.1 General sonar signal modelling 44 3.2 Sequence selection
 51 3.3 Real-data reconstruction 55 3.4 Time-varying
 cross-range detection 57 3.5 High-resolution decomposition 61 4
 Decomposition in dispersive channels 4.1 Shallow water theory and dispersive channels - background 4.2
 Problem formulation - signal processing approach 69 70 76 v 4.3 Polynomial Fourier transform (PFT)
 4.4 Dual form of PFT (DPFT) 4.5 Model-based decomposition
 4.6 Comparison 78 79 89 93 5 Compressive sensing in image
 denoising 95 5.1 Problem formulation 96 5.2 Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm
 97 5.3 Error calculation in nonsparse images 102 Conclusions 109 Bibliography
 111 List of publications 121 A Reconstruction algorithms 125 List of Figures 1.1 The difference between traditional
 sampling theorem and compressive sensing 2.1 2.2 2.3 Initial estimate noise
 illustration. Sparse STFT reconstruction when signal is uniformly sampled. Total averaged

reconstruction error assuming different number of available measurements N_A and various sparsity levels K . The error is averaged over 100 realizations. 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 Sparse STFT reconstruction when of a randomly sampled signal. The recovery of the audio signal "Train". The recovery of the recorded audio signal "You and I". Total error in dB after the reconstruction in 100 realizations of "Train" and "You and I", with various sparsity levels. 2.8 2.9 Reconstruction of the ISAR MIG 25 image. Reconstruction error as a function of various sparsity levels K for different values Δ : for $N_A = 2N/3$ of available samples and for $N_A = 3N/4$ of available samples. Values for Δ used are $\Delta = 0$, $\Delta = 1$, and $\Delta \gg 0$. 2.10 Average reconstruction SNR of sparse signals with quantized measurements as a function of number of bits B , for various numbers of measurements and sparsity levels $K \in \{3, 8, 13, 18\}$ 2.11 Average SNR of the reconstruction of nonsparse signals with quantized measurements as a function of number of bits B , for various numbers of measurements and sparsity levels $K \in \{3, 8, 13, 18\}$ 2.12 Average SNR of nonsparse signals reconstruction with noise folding when various number of available measurements is considered, for different sampling methods.

. 3.1 3.2 The transmitted discrete-time sequence forms $s(n)$ and their corresponding autocorrelation functions. The ambiguity functions of the full sequences; Transmitted sequence forms with a reduced set of available samples $N_A < N$; The AFs of the sequences with reduced set of available samples. 3.3 3.4 The percentage of successfully detected target positions in 1000 realizations, for $0 < K < 20$ and noise levels of SNRs= 20,5,0 dB. Successful reconstruction performance of the Bjorck sequence for different sparsity levels K , number of measurements taken N_A and noise levels with SNRs= 20,5,0 dB. vii 12 23 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 38 40 41 42 50 52 53 54 3.5 Successful reconstruction performance of the Alltop sequence for different sparsity levels K , number of measurements taken N_A and noise levels with SNRs= 20, 5, 0 dB. 3.6 Successful reconstruction performance of the M sequence for different sparsity levels K , number of measurements taken N_A and noise levels with SNRs= 20, 5, 0 dB.

. 3

.7 The reconstruction of a noisy **nonsparse** target **area, with** noise level of **SNR=10dB** 4
and target points **K**

= 6. 3.8 The reconstruction of an underwater boat set-up, with noise level of SNR=15dB and target points $K = 14$ 3.9 Real water tank setup. 3.10 General block diagram of the experimental setup. 3.11 Illustration of the water tank setup: the position of the target to the transducer, with an elevation angle of 30o. 3.12 Real transmitted sequence forms, interpolated and modulated to operate under the transducer characteristics. 3.13 Received signal - real data. 3.14 The reconstruction of a nonsparse target area, with $K = 6$ main target points.. The noise level of the area is SNR=10dB. 3.15 The positions of the sensor: when the sensor is properly aligned with the receiver; and when the sensor is misaligned. 3.16 Transmitted signal with its spectrum; and the received signal when the sensors are misaligned. 3.17 Decomposition of the signal using high-resolution techniques:

standard STFT, standard LPFT, Capon's STFT, and Capon's LPFT,

7

standard MUSIC STFT, and MUSIC LPFT. 3.18 The spectrum of one time-instant (zoomed) in the standard LPFT; in the local Capon's representation and in the local MUSIC representation. 4.1 The general model of a shallow water environment. 4.2 The isovelocity model of a shallow water environment. 4.3 The isovelocity setup under water with depth D. 4.4 The ideal response of the four considered modes. 4.5 Ideal case scenario. 4.6 Decomposition of the components using DPFT in the ideal case. 4.7 S-method decomposition of the components in the ideal case. 4.8 Comparison of the signals in the ideal case. 4.9 Time-domain mode decomposition. 55 56 57 58 59 59 60 60 61 62 63 65 67 68 73 74 76 77 83 84 85 85 86 4.10 S-method of the decomposed modes and sum of the normalized representations of all modes in the simulated acoustic case without disturbances 87 4.11 Simulated acoustic signal with disturbances. 88 4.12 Decomposition of the components using DPFT in the acoustic simulated case with disturbances. 89 4.13 S-method decomposition of the components in the acoustic simulated case with disturbances. 90 4.14 Comparison of the signals in the acoustic simulated case with disturbances. 91 4.15 Decomposed modes in the time domain using the model-based technique 92 4.16 Sum of the components: received, and reconstructed. 92 4.17 S-method decomposition of the components when model-based decomposition is used. 93 5.1 Reconstruction of the color image "Peppers" (top left) corrupted with 50% of a combined noise. 100 5.2 5.3 The eight test images used for the comparison. 102 The eight test images used for the error analysis. 107 List of Tables 2.1 Total averaged reconstruction error in the reconstructed coefficients (in dB) for $N_A = N/2, 2N/3, 3N/4$, and sparsity levels $K = \{16, 24, 32, 48\}$ when randomly sampled signal is used. 2.2 The error in the ISAR reconstructed coefficients for MIG data for assumed sparsities $K = \{50, 150, 250, 350\}$ 3.1 Average reconstruction error of nonsparse images with $K = 5$ target points, $N_A = N = 31$ and $SNR = 20, 5dB$ 4.1 Parameters β_2, β_3 for each mode corresponding to the DPFT where the maximal concentration is achieved in the ideal case. 4.2

Parameters corresponding to the maximal DPFT values for each mode in the

11

simulated acoustic case without disturbances. 4.3

Parameters corresponding to the maximal DPFT values for each mode in the

11

acoustic simulated case with disturbances. 4.4 Error in the form of MSE in dB for the examples considered 5.1 5.2 5.3 32 34 53 82 84 88 94 SSIM index and MAE

between original and reconstructed image “Lena” for various quality factor QF and percentage of corrupted pixels. 2

... 101 PSNR and SSIM for the reconstruction of the eight

test images. The results are obtained by the proposed, two-stage adaptive algorithm and total variation L1 13

method. 101 Statistical and theoretical calculations of the PSNR for 8 test images in Fig. 5.3. 106 xi List of Acronyms and Abbreviations 2D-DCT 2D-DFT AC AF CAZAC CoSaMP CS DCT DFT DWT DOA DPFT ECG EEG FPGA FT IHT ISAR ISTA JPEG LASSO LFM LPFT MAE MF Two-Dimensional Discrete Cosine Transform Two-Dimensional Discrete Fourier Transform Auto-Correlation Ambiguity Function Constant Amplitude Zero Autocorrelation Compressive sampling

matching pursuit Compressive Sensing Discrete Cosine Transform Discrete Fourier Transform Discrete Wavelet 146

Transfrom Direction Of Arrival Dual Polynomial Fourier Transform Electrocardiogram Electroencephalogram Field Programmable Gate Arrays Fourier Transform Iterative Hard Thresholding Inverse Synthetic Aperture Radar Iterative Shrinkage Thresholding Algorithm Joint Photographic Experts Group Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator Linear Frequency Modulated Local Polynomial Fourier Transform Mean Absolute Error Matched Filter xiii MRI MSE MUSIC OMP PFT PSNR PWD RIP SAR SM SNR SSIM SSP TV-L1 STFT QF WT Magnetic Resonance Imaging Mean Squared Error Multiple Signal Classification Orthogonal Matching Pursuit Polynomial Fourier Transform Peak Signal to Noise Ratio Pseudo Wigner Distribution Restricted Isometry Property Synthetic Aperture Radar S-Method Signal to Noise Ratio Structural Similarity Sparse Signal Processing Total Variation L1 Short-Time Fourier Transform Quality Factor Wavelet Transform

Introduction In recent years, compressive sensing had an enormous breakthrough in the signal processing community as a successful sampling and reconstruction method for signals in various areas. The idea of using a small number of randomly positioned observations for signal acquisition improves efficiency of signal processing systems in terms of storage, memory, and transmission. Accurate recovery of signals with a reduced set of measurements is the primary goal

of compressive sensing and sparse signal processing. Defining **the** domain **of** sparsity of **a signal** 4

is the first step to be considered for application of compressive sensing to specific signal. Each of the signals and their sparsity domains has characteristics that are important for defining the method that should be used for their proper recovery. Many signals can be represented as sparse in some representation domain, resulting in compressive sensing showing a huge potential originally in medicine, and then later in many other fields, such as communications, meteorology, remote sensing, image processing, and radar and sonar systems. Although very successful, the idea of compressive sensing is still challenging for research and developing in many application areas, including the underwater acoustics field. Acoustic signals transmission through the water introduce many complex characteristics that are very difficult for analysis. Most of the problems occur in the process of transmitting and receiving signals in water due to its dispersive media properties. This is especially exhibited in shallow water environments, as a representative example of dispersive channels. The dispersivity produces multiple nonlinear components, changing the very nature of the original transmitted signals. The non-stationary nature of such signal components makes them suitable for the analysis using time-frequency tools. In the compressive sensing sense, non-stationary signals are only approximately sparse or nonsparse in the most of the common transformation domains. Such signals, when reconstructed under the sparsity assumption, will produce errors in the reconstruction procedure. This error highly depends on the sampling method and the sparsity domain of the analyzed signal. The exact error is of great importance for further improvement of the reconstruction performance in prospective. Except for the dispersive systems, time-varying nonsparse signals can also be found in the processing of many other areas, such as audio signals, images, radar systems, and wideband sonar images, where the processing under the sparsity assumption requires appropriate analysis of the reconstruction results. The problem of approximative sparsity is intrinsically built in the area of compressive sensing based reconstruction of targets in sonar images. In real-world cases, the sonar signals are positioned off-grid in the transformation domain, which makes them nonsparse in their nature. The problem of finding a sequence suitable for the transmission, as well as developing the proper theory behind the detection and reconstruction of targets, is a topic of great importance for theory and practice in sonar systems.

1 2 Introduction

Considering that only a few target points (or few targets) commonly are of the interest in the sonar images, the idea of compressive sensing can successfully be applied in their reconstruction. The compressive sensing methods can be suited and used for effective localization of the underwater targets in sonar systems. The dispersive characteristics of the underwater environment is of crucial importance in the underwater acoustics and signal processing. A typical example of a dispersive media is the shallow water environment since most activities are performed in waters with deep less than 200 meters. From the signal processing view, a dispersive channel introduces many complex nonstationary components during the signal transmission. It is essential to recognize, decompose, and reconstruct such components (modes) truthfully, for a better understanding of the environment in which the signal is transmitted. Although challenging, the theory of compressive sensing with appropriate transformation domain, adjusted to the complex nature of the signal modes, can provide an effective reconstruction of the strongest modes. Three key problems which are considered in this thesis are: 1. exact error calculation in the reconstruction procedure in compressive sensing (only error bound were given in the existing literature); 2. reconstruction of sonar images within the compressive sensing framework using various sequences for transmitted signal (so far only basic sequence forms were used in the literature, applied on the real data); 3. the problem of decomposition of signals in dispersive channels (with a robust method for such an requirement). One of the aims of this thesis is to fulfill the gaps of using the compressive sensing techniques in underwater acoustic and sonar systems with appropriate and exact reconstruction performance analysis, which can also serve as a basis for a further direction in implementation of these techniques in other signal processing fields. The

contribution of this thesis can be divided into three major parts: • Analysis of nonsparsity – Many signals, especially non-stationary and signals arriving from a dispersive environment are not strictly sparse in their corresponding transformation domains. They should be considered as approximately sparse or nonsparse signals, meaning that all components cannot be exactly reconstructed with compressive sensing methods. The expected reconstruction error caused by the nonreconstructed components is derived and exactly calculated. This helps further investigation on the quality of the reconstruction of various signals. The problem of quantization (digitization) of measurements is considered within the context of additive noise and signal nonsparsity. • Reconstruction of sonar signals – The wideband sonar images can be reconstructed using different sequence forms. An extensive analysis of different sequence forms within the compressive sensing reconstruction framework is done Introduction 3 with appropriate comparison and directions how to achieve an improved recovery of sonar images. The time-varying cross-range, as a challenging topic that causes sonar image smearing, was additionally analyzed, as a complex parameter in the analysis of such signals. Gathering of real data and their reconstruction helped further justification of the presented analysis. • Sparse decomposition of signals in dispersive channels – A novel approach to the decomposition of signals received in the dispersive channel is introduced. The method is based on the time-frequency representations derived from polynomial extension of Fourier transform. High-resolution and model-based techniques are considered for the analysis of received signals in such channels. The methods presented for sonar imaging can be applied to general problems in image processing.

A method for denoising and reconstruction of sparse images based on a gradient-descent algorithm is 19

developed as an example. Unlike common image reconstruction methods, the advantage of this method is that the uncorrupted pixels remain unchanged in the reconstruction process. The noisy pixels are blindly detected and reconstructed using compressive sensing approach by assuming (and not explicitly imposing) the image sparsity. The thesis is organized as follows. The background theory on signal processing and the compressive sensing theory are presented in Chapter 2. The analysis of nonsparsity, together is presented in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 presents the analysis of different sequences and their application in compressive sensing, for a successful reconstruction of sonar signals. The background of shallow water environment, together with the techniques for sparse decomposition of the received signals in dispersive channels is analyzed in Chapter 5. Additional work on the topic of image denoising using compressive sensing techniques is introduced in the Chapter 6. Chapter 7 concludes the thesis, with the brief description of presented results and discussion on future work. Chapter 1 Background theory Contents 1.1 Signal representation

1.1.1 Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) 1.1.2 154

Time-varying signals 6 7 8 1.2 1.2.1 Measurements of sparse signals
 10 1.2.2 Measurement matrix 11 1.2.3 Problem formulation
 13 Compressive sensing and sparse signal processing 10 1.3 Problem solutions

..... 14 1.3.1 Reconstruction algorithm 14 1.3.2 Conditions for reconstruction 17

Signal processing, as such, was introduced in the 1960s, and became one of the most important tools for the analysis of signals and corresponding information. Although introduced in the 20th century, the basics on which the analysis lies are known mathematical formulations for many centuries earlier. Its use is mostly related to the introduction of computers we know today (such as the Fourier series and transform). However, due to their rapid development, the digitalization of the world is inevitable. The data which should be stored became massive. That is why the techniques developed earlier are helpful, yet not enough. In recent years, it has been seen that numerous signals are of sparse nature in a specific representation domain. New technologies have been introduced, based on compressing those signals and trying to keep the original information in their full meaning. These technologies can be summarized under the theory of compressive sensing, which is based on sparse signal processing. In this Chapter the fundamental theory and notations used throughout this thesis are presented. The basics of signals and their representations in a transformation domain are introduced with the method of time-varying signals. It also introduces the background of compressive sensing and sparse signal processing. A basic yet effective reconstruction algorithm, which will be used through the thesis, is explained. Finally, the conditions necessary for a successful and unique reconstruction of sparse signals are presented.

5 Chapter 1. Background theory 1.1 Signal representation

Consider a time-domain

signal $x(t)$ of duration T_s . Its samples $x(n\Delta t)$ are within the sampling

14

interval $\Delta t = T_s/N$. The sampling interval satisfies the traditional sampling theorem. The traditional sampling theorem was introduced in few occasions [1–4], and states that

a signal can be fully recovered if its sampling frequency f_s is at least twice as high as the maximum signal frequency

39

f_{\max} , i.e. $f_s > 2f_{\max}$. (1.1) Any discrete one-dimensional signal $x(n) = x(n\Delta t)$ of length N , $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, can be written in the vector form

as $\mathbf{x} = [x(0), x(1), \dots, x(N - 1)]^T$, (1.2) where T is the transpose operation.

78

Examples of one-dimensional signals can be found in a large number of everyday applications, including audio, speech, sonar, radar, various environment sensing and biomedical signals (such as the electrocardiogram - ECG and electroencephalogram - EEG). The sampling theory can be extended to two-dimensional signals. Examples of two-dimensional signals are photos, radar/sonar images, biomedical images (such as magnetic resonance imaging - MRI), and many others. A two-dimensional signal of size $N \times M$ is represented in a matrix

form as $x(0,0) \ x(0,1) \cdots \ x(0,M-1)$ $x(1,0) \ x(1,1) \cdots \ x(1,M-1)$

2

$x(1,1) \cdots \ x(1,M-1)$

$x(2,0) \ x(2,1) \cdots \ x(2,M-1)$ $x(3,0) \ x(3,1) \cdots \ x(3,M-1)$ \dots $x(N-1,0) \ x(N-1,1) \cdots \ x(N-1,M-1)$

125

In the two-dimensional case, the sampling frequency has to satisfy the sampling relation for each considered sampling direction. In the theory, signals are commonly analyzed and processed in a certain representation (transformation) domain. Depending on its nature, the transform is suited for a specific type of the signal. The most common transformation domains are the discrete

Fourier transform (DFT), discrete wavelet transform (DWT), and discrete cosine transform (DCT).

95

For the case of radar and

sonar signals, the representation domains are related to specific sequences that will be explained along with this application field. In general, the transformation of a one-dimensional signal from one domain to another one can be presented using the matrix relations $X = \Phi x$ (1.4)

where Φ is the transformation matrix and X is the signal transform vector

18

$X =$

$[X(0), X(1), \dots, X(N-1)]^T$, (1.5)

1

Signal representation considering the length to be N . The inverse transform provides the relation between the transformation and the signal as $x = \Psi X = \Phi^{-1} X$ (1.6) with the common orthonormal transformation domains relation $\Phi^{-1} = \Phi^H$, where H is the complex-conjugate and transpose (Hermitian) matrix. In general, the full transformation and inverse transformation matrices are given by $\Phi = [\varphi_0(0) \ \varphi_0(1) \ \cdots \ \varphi_{N-1}(0) \ \varphi_{N-1}(1) \ \cdots \ \varphi_{N-1}(N-1)]$

$\varphi_0(0) \ \varphi_0(1) \ \cdots \ \varphi_{N-1}(0) \ \varphi_{N-1}(1) \ \cdots \ \varphi_{N-1}(N-1)$

52

and

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0(0) & \psi_1(0) & \dots & \psi_{N-1}(0) \\ \psi_0(1) & \psi_1(1) & \dots & \psi_{N-1}(1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \psi_0(N-1) & \psi_1(N-1) & \dots & \psi_{N-1}(N-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

93

These matrices depend on the type of the transformation used for a particular signal.

1.1.1 Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) The most frequently used transformation domain in the field of signal processing is the discrete Fourier transform, named after the mathematician Joseph Fourier (1768–1830). The basis functions are harmonic signals, allowing analysis of signals in the corresponding spectral domain. The DFT form, for

a discrete-time signal $x(n)$, is given by

$$X(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j2\pi nk/N}$$

Its inverse is

$$x(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} X(k) e^{j2\pi nk/N}$$

74

$e^{-j2\pi nk}$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j2\pi nk/N} = X(k)$$

129

Note that the relation between the

DFT coefficients is given by

$$\psi_n(k) = e^{-j2\pi nk/N}$$

144

The two-dimensional extension of the DFT is defined

$$X(k, l) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(n, m) e^{-j2\pi nk/N} e^{-j2\pi ml/M}$$

121

with the corresponding inverse transform

$$x(n, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} X(k, l) e^{j2\pi nk/N} e^{j2\pi ml/M}$$

(1.12) The transformation coefficients $e^{-j2\pi nk/N} e^{-j2\pi ml/M}$ are four-dimensional, since they depend on four indices (n, m, k, l). In order to use the standard derivations and optimization algorithms, as well as for notation simplification, the two-dimensional signals and transformation matrices are commonly rearranged into column matrices by layering its columns after each other in a way that $x(n +$

$$X(m-1) = x(n, m), \quad X(k + M(l-1)) = X(k, l)$$

14

14) where $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$

$-1, m = 0, 1, \dots, M-1, k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$ and $l = 0, 1, \dots, M-1.$ Then, the

127

four-dimensional transformation forms of coefficients are rewritten as large two-dimensional matrices. 1.1.2 Time-varying signals The signals whose spectral content change happens through time, are considered as time-varying or non-stationary signals [5–7]. Audio signals or signals transmitted through a dispersive channel are representative examples of time-varying signals. For their analysis, more complex transforms than the standard DFT must be used. These transforms should adapt for signal changes in both time and frequency domain, simultaneously. Consider a time-varying signal $x(n)$ with C components, $C x(n) = x_c(n), (1.15) \sum_{c=1}^C$ where $x_c(n), c = 1, 2, \dots, C,$ are the non-stationary signals. Commonly, time-varying signals are localized in time by using a window function defined by $w(nw)$. The basic linear time-frequency representation is a direct extension of the DFT of a windowed signal, and it is referred to as the short-time Fourier transform (STFT). It is calculated as the standard DFT applied to the windowed signal around the instant n . That is, the signal $x(n + nw)$ at n (and around it) is multiplied by a window $w(nw)$. Its DFT is then found as $Nw/2-1$ $SSTFT(n, k) = DFT\{x(n + nw)w(nw)\} = \sum_{nw=-Nw/2}^{Nw/2-1} x(n + nw)w(nw)e^{-j2\pi nwk/Nw}$ (1.16) positioned at an instant n , a frequency k , windowed by $w(nw)$ of length Nw . The window function can be a rectangular, Hamming, Hanning, or any other window introduced in the literature [8]. The indices nw that vary from $-Nw/2$ to $Nw/2 - 1$ will be used. Similar results would be obtained when the index values vary from 0 to $Nw - 1$ (due to the DFT periodicity). If the STFT, for a given instant n , is arranged into a vector form, the coefficients can be denoted by $SSTFT(n)$. 1.1. Signal representation The STFT represents a simple and robust tool for time-varying signal analysis. As mentioned, the main difference from the standard DFT is in introducing the time localization window. If this window is narrow, then more localized properties in the time domain, around the considered instant n , are obtained. However, narrow windows have poor frequency resolution, meaning that a compromise should be made. Many efforts have been done in literature to find the optimal window width for a given signal which would produce a good localization in the time domain, with a sufficiently high frequency resolution. For more complex signals, with fast changes of the spectral content, a suitable window can be found using, for example, the approach presented in [9]. In order to elevate the resolution problem more sophisticated quadratic representations are introduced in time-frequency analysis. The goal of those representations is to track spectral changes more accurately, preferably without using a localization window. The most prominent representation of quadratic time-frequency representations is the Wigner distribution whose discrete-time form is calculated as

$$N/2 S(n, k) = 2 \sum_{nw=-N/2}^{N/2} x(n + nw) x^*(n - nw) e^{-j4\pi nwk/N}$$

158

(1.17) $\sum_{nw=-N/2}^{N/2}$ It can track linear changes in the frequency of signal components without any window. In order to limit the computation interval, a window is introduced in this distribution as well. This distribution is then defined as the pseudo Wigner distribution (PWD) of the form $N/2 SPWD(n, k) = 2 \sum_{nw=-N/2}^{N/2} w(nw/2)w(-nw/2)x(n + nw)x^*(n - nw)e^{-j4\pi nwk/N}$. (1.18) $\sum_{nw=-N/2}^{N/2}$ Although a window is present (as in the case of STFT), its only purpose in PWD is to limit the calculation interval. This means that the window is not crucial for the spectral localization of the presentation. For such

reasons, the PWD is used for signals with fast spectral variations. However, the PWD is a quadratic distribution since it is calculated as the DFT of the product $x(n + nw/2)x^*(n - nw/2)$. For a multicomponent signal, we will have the product of different components $x_c(n + nw/2)x_s^*(n - nw/2)$ for $c \neq s$. The DFT of these products will appear in the time-frequency representation as new components (cross-terms) and can sometimes overlap with desirable auto-terms. A simple way to keep the good properties of the PWD, while avoiding or reducing cross-terms can be achieved by using the S-method (SM) LSM SSM $(n, k) = SST$

$FT(n, k + p) - SS^*T - FT(n, k - p)$ (1.19) $p = \sum_{-LSM}^{LSM}$ where $2LSM + 1$ is the width of 100

the window in the spectral domain. Two of the most widely used representations (STFT and PWD) can be obtained from the S-method as its special cases [9]. That is, when $LSM = 0$, the squared modulus of the standard STFT (i.e. spectrogram), is $S_{\text{spectrogram}}(n, k) = |SSTFT(n, k)|^2$, (1.20) while for $2LSM + 1 = Nw$ the standard PWD is obtained. The optimal representation is obtained by adding the terms for $p = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ which improve the representation from the STFT toward the PWD, until the cross-terms start to appear [9]. This effect can be detected by using measures of concentration of time-frequency representations. One such measure was based on norm-one and was introduced for measuring and optimizing time-frequency representation finding the minimum of $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|SSTFT(n, k)\|_1 = \|SSTFT(n, k)\|_1$ with respect to the window length. In this case $\min_{Nw, LSM} \|SSM(n, k)\|_1$ (1.21) (1.22) produces optimal representation. It is interesting to note that this kind of minimization is used in compressive sensing for sparse signal reconstruction [10–13]. This will be reviewed in the next section.

1.2 Compressive sensing and sparse signal processing

Many signals in the nature exhibit sparsity property in a transformation domain. This fact brought the idea of developing the compressive sensing technique, which was introduced in data processing as such by Donoho, Candes, and Baraniuk [14–18]. A signal with small number of nonzero component, in comparison to the total length of the signal, in a transformation domain is described as sparse. It is defined by Definition 1.1. Definition 1.1 A signal $x(n)$ of length N

is K -sparse in a transformation domain if it consists of K nonzero components in 161

the corresponding domain, $K \ll N$, at positions $K \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K\}$, and zero-valued components everywhere else, $X(k) = A_0, k \neq 0$, $\text{footrhekrw}\epsilon\text{is}K\epsilon$ (1.23) where A_k are the amplitudes of the components at positions $k \in K$. { 1.2.1

Measurements of sparse signals Unlike the traditional sampling theorem, one of the main advantages of sparse signal processing is that such

signals can be recovered using a reduced number of NA observations. The measurements are defined in 163

Definition 1.2. 1.2. Compressive sensing and sparse signal processing Definition 1.2 A measurement of sparse coefficients X

$(k), k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, is obtained as their linear combination $N - 1$

155

$y(i) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k(i)X(k)$, (1.24) where $a_k(i), k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, are the weighting coefficients for the i -th measurement, $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} a_k(i) = 0, 1, \dots, N_A - 1$. The aim of recovering sparse signals with

a reduced set of samples /measurements/observations had a wide range of interest in the 48

recent literature [19–23]. The theory stating this fact is known as compressive sensing (CS), developed under the framework of sparse signal processing (SSP). Notice that we can relate the general form of measurements, defined by (1.24), with signal samples defined by (1.10). Comparing these two relations, we can state that a signal sample, at one instant n_i , can be seen as the measurement of $X(k)$ with $N - 1$ $y(i) = x(n_i) = e^{-j2\pi n_i k/N} X(k)$, (1.25) $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1}$ where the weighting coefficients are the DFT transform coefficients, i.e. $a_k(i) = e^{-j2\pi n_i k/N}$. (1.26) A reduced set of measurements, within this context, can be considered as the reduced number of signal samples. The difference between the sampling by the traditional sampling theorem and by compressive sensing is shown in Fig. 1.1, where only $N_A = 32$ samples are used for the analysis, instead of the full set of measurements $N = 128$. The main objective of CS and SSP is to desirably reduce the number of acquisition samples/observations/measurements used for the signal sensing, transmission, and storing. Besides that, the small number of available measurements or signal samples can be the consequence of other physical restrictions in the considered system. It could also be a result of unavailable samples due to high corruption of some signal samples or parts of the signal. All of these scenarios will be considered in the thesis, since the formal mathematical framework is similar.

1.2.2 Measurement matrix

The measurement matrix consists of the coefficients used to form measurements of a sparse signal with elements $X(k)$. In the case that the signal samples are used as the measurements, the measurement matrix is defined based on the transformation matrix for the considered domain of the signal sparsity. The N_A available samples $y(i)$ at the positions defined by the set $N_A = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_A}\}$, 2 0 -2 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 2 0 -2 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 Figure 1.1: The difference between traditional Shannon-Nyquist sampling (top); compressive sensing sampling (bottom). can be written as

$y = [y(0), y(1), \dots, y(N_A - 1)]^T = [x(n_0), x(n_1), \dots, x(n_{N_A - 1})]^T$. (1.27) The 113

measurements, which are the linear combinations of the inverse transform coefficients, are presented in a matrix form as $y = AX$, (1.28) where A is a measurement matrix

of size $N_A \times N$ obtained by keeping the rows of the inverse transformation matrix Ψ , which correspond to the 112

instants $n_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, N_A - 1$, of the available samples/measurements $\psi_0(n_0) \psi_1(n_0) \dots \psi_{N-1}(n_0)$ $A = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_0(n_1) & \psi_1(n_1) & \dots & \psi_{N-1}(n_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \psi_0(n_{N_A-1}) & \psi_1(n_{N_A-1}) & \dots & \psi_{N-1}(n_{N_A-1}) \end{bmatrix}$ (1.29) Using the notation $a_k(i) = \psi_k(n_i)$ we can write a more general form of the measurement matrix as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_0(0) & a_1(0) & \dots & a_{N-1}(0) \\ a_0(1) & a_1(1) & \dots & a_{N-1}(1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_0(N_A - 1) & a_1(N_A - 1) & \dots & a_{N-1}(N_A - 1) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.30)$$

Depending on the particular application, several measurement matrices other than the partial DFT, are frequently used in compressive sensing. An example of a widely used measurement matrix is the Gaussian measurement matrix, where the weighting coefficients are the Gaussian distributed random numbers $a_k(i) \sim N(0, 1/N_A)$, (1.31) with zero mean and variance $1/N_A$. The weighting coefficients can also be uniformly distributed random numbers, or random numbers assuming values $+1$ or -1 (i.e. Bernoulli measurement matrix). Note that randomness is a desirable property of the measurement matrices. Considering the DFT, the randomness can be increased by sampling the signal at an arbitrary instant t_i instead of the regularly defined Nyquist samples at $i\Delta t$. This case will be also examined.

1.2.3 Problem formulation In the mathematical sense, the objective of the CS based approach is to reconstruct the N unknown elements of a sparse signal using only the $N_A < N$ available samples y . Reduction in the number of available measurements will result in a system of N_A equations, whose matrix form is $AX = y$. Since there are $N > N_A$ unknown variables in X , the system is under-determined and cannot be solved uniquely, without additional constraints. The primary and most crucial constraint in CS is that the signal is sparse. If this constraint is satisfied, the solution is obtained by minimizing the sparsity of the signal X , given the measurement equations. Firstly, in order to minimize the sparsity, the sparsity measure must be defined. The most straightforward sparsity measure is the L_0 -norm, which counts the nonzero values in the transformation domain. The L_0 -norm of X with K nonzero elements is $\|X\|_0 = K$. (1.32) The problem formulation, using the L_0 -norm,

is then $\min \|X\|_0$ subject to $y = AX$. (1.33) **This is a direct and basic way to**

minimize sparsity. However, it is an NP-hard (NP – non-deterministic polynomial-time) combinatorial problem. Also, it is sensitive to noise and not feasible for computational purposes, having NK possible combinations for a viable solution. This is why more practical cases, such as the closest convex cost function, the L_1 -norm, are used $(\min \|X\|_1 \text{ subject to } y = AX)$. (1.34) In theory, it has been proved that the minimization of the L_1 -norm will have the same solution as the minimization of the L_0 -norm following particular conditions [24]. The L_1 -norm minimization allows the application of linear programming methods for convex function minimization.

1.3 Problem solutions The CS theory has produced a vast number of methods to find the unique solution to the previously stated problem. These can be divided into three broad groups of algorithms minimizing the signal sparsity:

- L_0 -based reconstruction algorithms, solving Eq. (1.33),

such as – Orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP) [25–27], – Compressive sampling matching pursuit (CoSaMP)

140

[28], • L1-based reconstruction algorithms, solving Eq. (1.34), such as – LASSO minimization [29–32], – Gradient-based reconstruction [33, 34] – Total variations [35–37], – Iterative hard thresholding (IHT) [38–40]. • Bayesian-based reconstruction [41, 42]. The summary of some of these algorithms can be found in Appendix 1. In the next subsection, the OMP and its iterative extension will be further detailed.

1.3.1 Reconstruction algorithm

In all reconstruction methods, the initial estimate plays a crucial role. It is not only a starting point for all of them, but contains information about the solution existence as well. The initial estimation gives a good insight of the reconstruction performance which could be expected. Initial estimate The available samples (measurements) are used to estimate the initial values of the sparse coefficients $X(k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$. The values of the initial estimate will be denoted by $X_0(k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$, or in a vector form as X_0 . The initial estimate can be considered as a back-projection of the measurements to the matrix A , $X_0 = AHy$. (1.35) The elements of this initial estimate can be written as $X_0(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A(k,i)y(i)$. (1.36) From Eq. (1.35), using $y = AX$, the relation between the initial estimate with the true coefficients (the actual solution of our problem) is $X_0 = AHAX$. (1.37)

1.3. Problem solutions

Note that if $AH A$ is an identity matrix, i.e., $AH A = I$, then the initial estimate would be equal to the correct coefficients X , resulting in the solution of our problem. However, this is impossible to achieve when a reduced set of measurements is available (when the measurement matrix is of size $N_A \times N$). The off-diagonal elements in the matrix $AH A$ cannot be zero. The maximal value of these elements, denoted by μ (discussed later in Section 1.3.2.), satisfies the Welch upper bound [43, 44], meaning that $\mu \geq N - N_A \sqrt{N_A(N - 1)}$. (1.38) From this inequality, we see that the maximal off-diagonal element must be greater than zero when $N_A < N$. Only if all signal samples are available (when $N_A = N$), then it is possible to get the bound equal to zero and $AHA = I$. This is an expected result when the reconstruction process reduces to the inverse signal transform. Then, the measurements would be equal to the full set of signal samples $y = x$. Since the properties of the initial estimate will be crucial throughout this thesis, its form for a sparse signal will be presented in detail. The measurements in (1.24) for a sparse signal with nonzero coefficients $X(k)$ at $k \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K\} =$

K , can be written as $X(k) = \sum_{i=1}^K a_{k,i} X(i)$

52

(k_l) , (1.39) $\sum_{i=1}^K$ The initial estimate elements from (1.36) is of the form $X_0(k) = \sum_{i=1}^K a_{k,i} y(i) = \sum_{i=1}^K a_{k,i} \sum_{l=1}^K a_{l,i} X(l) = \sum_{l=1}^K (\sum_{i=1}^K a_{k,i} a_{l,i}) X(l) = \sum_{l=1}^K \mu(k,l) X(l)$ or, by changing the order of summation, $X_0(k) = \sum_{l=1}^K (\sum_{i=1}^K a_{k,i} a_{l,i}) X(l) = \sum_{l=1}^K \mu(k,l) X(l)$. (1.40) (1.41) $\mu(k, k_l) = \sum_{i=1}^K a_{k,i} a_{k_l,i}$. (1.42) $\sum_{i=1}^K$ This relations will be used for the analysis of the reconstruction accuracy. Note that the value of $\mu(k, k_l)$ is equal to the element of matrix AHA at the position (k, k_l) .

OMP reconstruction algorithm

For most of the presented results, an iterative variant of the OMP reconstruction algorithm [19,28], will be used. This algorithm belongs to the group of implicit zero- norm minimization solutions, since it is based on counting and minimizing the number of nonzero elements in $X(k)$. Most of the results presented in the thesis are valid for other CS algorithms as far the conditions for unique reconstruction are satisfied. The reconstruction algorithm is implemented in two main steps: 1. estimation of the set of positions K of the nonzero components in X , and 2.

reconstruction of the element $X(k)$ values using the measurements/available samples with the estimated nonzero positions. In order to find the positions of nonzero elements, the initial estimate from (1.35) is calculated and used. Two of the methods are considered, an one-step reconstruction and the iterative version of this algorithm. Note that, the hardware realization of the algorithm in the Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA) circuit is shown in [45]. More architectures for CS methods can be used, as presented in [46, 47]. One-step OMP The simplest case is when we can expect that the number nonzero coefficients of the initial estimate $X_0(k)$ at $k \in K$ are notably greater in comparison to all other elements at $k \notin K$. In this case, matrix A_{HA} should be such that X_0 contains K coefficient much higher than the other coefficients. The position detection of the nonzero component is done by finding the positions of the K largest components in X_0 , that is $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K\} = \arg\{\max|X_0|\}$. (1.43) Taking the positions of the K largest components forming the set K in (1.35) the amplitude reconstruction is performed. As it has been stated before, if A_{HA}

were an identity matrix, X_0 would be identical to the exact solution X . However, with a reduced set of

12

samples, the Welch lower bound prevents this. Nevertheless, it is important to achieve that the diagonal elements of A_{HA} are more significant regarding the other non-diagonal elements. For the second part of the algorithm, let consider that all K positions are found correctly. Then, the values in $X(k)$ at $k \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K\}$ are set to zero, and the vector

$X_K = [X(k_1), X(k_2), \dots, X(k_K)]^T$ is with unknown nonzero

133

values that should be found (reconstructed). Note that this assumption transforms the initial under-determined system $y = AX$ with N_A equations and N unknowns in X to an over-determined system of N_A equations with K unknowns ($X(k_1), X(k_2), \dots, X(k_K)$). The new set of equations now reads $y = A_K X_K$. (1.44) This system can be solved for the nonzero spectral values X_K at the estimated positions K . The matrix A_K is an $N_A \times K$ sub-matrix of A , keeping only the columns of the nonzero elements positions in $X(k)$ $a_{k_1}(0) a_{k_2}(0) \dots a_{k_K}(0)$ $A_K = \begin{bmatrix} a_{k_1}(1) & a_{k_2}(1) & \dots & a_{k_K}(1) \\ a_{k_1}(N_A - 1) & a_{k_2}(N_A - 1) & \dots & a_{k_K}(N_A - 1) \end{bmatrix}$. (1.45)

1.3. Problem solutions The smallest number of measurements needed to recover K coefficients at the known positions is $N_A = K < N$. However, for an accurate estimation of the nonzero positions, a much larger number of measurements is needed according to the reconstruction conditions (which will be discussed in the next section). When $N_A >$

K , the system is over-determined, and the solution is found in the mean squared error (MSE) sense. The solution is

65

$X_K = (A_K A_K)^{-1} A_K y = \text{pinv}(A_K) y$, (1.46) where $\text{pinv}(A_K) = (A_K A_K)^{-1} A_K$ is a matrix A_K pseudo-inverse and $A_K A_K$ is called a $K \times K$ Gram matrix of A_K . Iterative OMP The OMP procedure considers the criteria when the K components are larger than the initial value coefficients at originally zero-coefficient positions. That condition can be relaxed by using the iterative version of the method. In order to estimate the position of the largest nonzero component, only

its value must be larger than the values at the originally zero-valued coefficient positions. The position of the largest component is found

12

as $k_1 = \arg \max\{X_0\}$. (1.47) Its amplitude value is estimated using Eq. (1.46) as it were the only nonzero coefficient. It is reconstructed using the sub-matrix A_1 . Then, this component is

subtracted from the measurements, i.e., $y - A_1 X_1$, and the procedure is continued by estimating the

12

next largest coefficients with the new measurements. After the initial estimate is calculated with these samples, its largest value position is found as k_2 , and the new set of two nonzero positions is formed as $\{k_1, k_2\}$. Matrix A_2 is formed with these two positions and (1.46) is solved for X_2 . After the two largest coefficients are detected and estimated, they are removed from the measurements as $y - A_2 X_2$. If these new measurements are equal to zero after the subtraction, it means that we have solved the problem and that signal is $K = 2$ sparse. If this not the case, the new measurements (removing the two largest coefficients) are used for the next initial estimate and the third largest coefficient position detection. The procedure is iteratively continued until some desired stopping criterion is achieved. The simplest measure for it can be that the new measurement matrix, after K steps, calculated as $y - A_K X_K$, is equal to zero or its energy is below a defined small accuracy level. 1.3.2 Conditions for reconstruction Having the condition of sparsity fulfilled, additional criteria should be satisfied for a successful and unique reconstruction with a reduced number of samples. These criteria are intensively studied and they are commonly expressed using the coherence index of a measurement matrix or the restricted isometry property (RIP) of this matrix. Coherence index The most widely used criterion for a successful reconstruction is based on the coherence index of the measurement matrix A . Consider an $N \times N$ measurement matrix A and denote its columns by vectors a_i ,

$i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, that is $A = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}]$. (1.48) The

122

scalar product of two columns of this matrix, k and i , is defined by $\langle a_k, a_i \rangle = \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} a_i(p) a_k^*(p)$. (1.49) Notice that this product is, by definition, equal to the (k, i) element of matrix $A^H A$. Definition 1.3 The

coherence index of a measurement matrix is defined as the maximal value of the normalized scalar product

14

$\mu = \max_{i \neq k} |\mu(i, k)| = \max_{i \neq k} \langle a_k, a_i \rangle = \max_{i \neq k} \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} |a_i(p)|^2 |a_k(p)|^2$, (1.50) for $i \neq k$. For the normalized measurement matrices $\sum_{p=0}^{N-1} |a_i(p)|^2 = 1$, the coherence index is defined by $\mu = \max_{i \neq k} |\mu(i, k)|$

$= \max \langle aHk, ai \rangle = \max ai(p)a*k(p) . (1.51) \quad || \sum p=0$ This value is an important parameter in choosing the measurement matrix which $||$ will be further discussed by Statement 1. Statement

1: A K-sparse signal can be reconstructed from the measurements in a

128

unique way

if the coherence index of the matrix A satisfies the condition $K < 1 + 1$

12

1.2. (1.52) (μ) A smaller coherence index means that signal with larger sparsity values K can be reconstructed. The relation can be derived considering the initial estimate as $K X_0(k) = X(k) \mu(k, kl)$. (1.53) $\sum_{l=1}^K$ Without loss of generality, assume that the largest coefficient value is $X(k_1) = 1$. The largest disturbance to this coefficient estimation is if the remaining $(K-1)$ nonzero 1.3. Problem solutions coefficients are almost equally strong, i.e., close to 1. Then the initial estimate would be $K X_0(k) = \mu(k, kl)$. (1.54) Since $\mu(k, kl) \leq \mu$, the largest possible value at the original zero coefficient position is $\sum_{l=1}^K |X_0(k)| \leq K\mu$. At the largest coefficient position, $k = k_1$, the worst case is if all other $(K-1)$ terms are maximal (equal to μ) but with opposite sign than its value, that is $X_0(k_1) > 1 - (K-1)\mu$. The detection of the largest element is successful if its worst case initial estimate is greater than the worst case value at zero coefficient positions $1 - (K-1)\mu > K\mu$ (1.55) Note that, if this relation is satisfied for the largest coefficient, then, after it is successfully detected, reconstructed and removed, the relation holds for the signal with lower $(K-1)$ -sparsity. Restricted isometry property (RIP) The restricted isometry property is another way to define a condition which the measurement matrix should satisfy in order to uniquely reconstruct a signal under the CS approach. Firstly, a K sparse signal is uniquely reconstructed if the size of the smallest non-singular sub-matrix of A (spark) is such that $\text{spark}\{A\} > 2K$. (1.56) This condition means that all submatrices of A with order lower than $2K$ are nonsingular. Statement 2: A K -sparse signal can be uniquely reconstructed using the measurement matrix A , if the RIP condition $1 - \delta_{2K} \leq \|A_{2K} X_{2K}\|_2 \leq 1 + \delta_{2K}$, (1.57) $\|X_{2K}\|_2$ holds for all its sub-matrices A_{2K} of order $2K$, where δ_{2K} is the isometric constant in the range $0 \leq \delta_{2K} < 1$. The constant δ_{2K} can be calculated as $\delta_{2K} = \max\{1 - \lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max} - 1\}$ (1.58)

where λ_{\min} and λ_{\max} correspond to the minimum and the maximum eigenvalue of $A_{2K} A_{2K}^T$, respectively. The

136

RIP condition ensures that the solution of the Eq. (1.33) and Eq. (1.34) give the identical results, meaning that the results of the approximation are close to the true values [24]. It is seen that, in the case of Eq. (1.34), the isometric constant is in Although these conditions are fundamental for obtaining a successful and unique reconstruction of a sparse signal, it is interesting to note that they are very conservative for real-world sparse signals.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the reconstruction conditions are met and 51
 the

practical guidelines are satisfied (that the number of measurements is significantly higher than the sparsity). Chapter 2 Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals Contents 2.1 Initial estimate analysis for uniform sampling 22 2.2 Initial estimate analysis for random sampling 24 2.3 Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction 25 2.3.1 Additive input noise 27 2.3.2 Error calculation examples 28 2.4 Sampling generalization 34 2.5 Quantization error in compressive sensing 37 2.5.1 Quantization effect analysis 39 2.6 Noise folding 40

The compressive sensing framework assumes sparse signals. However, due to their nature, many real signals (particularly non-stationary signals) are only approximately sparse or not sparse at all. Additionally, the sparsity condition can be distorted due to many other reasons. The most evident one is the additional noise in signals. Moreover, a very simple, yet an immense real-world problem, is the analysis of signals which are not on the sparsity domain grid. This includes signals which are not on grid frequencies. These signals can be analyzed and processed within the compressive sensing framework assuming that they are sparse under natural circumstances. The influence of their nonsparsity will result in the error through the reconstruction. For such signals, only the limit bounds of the reconstruction error were derived in the literature [15,24,48–50]. The main contribution of this Chapter is the calculation of the precise expected squared reconstruction error in time-varying signals. The STFT is assumed as the sparsity domain of the analysis. The reconstruction of nonsparse signals constrained with a sparsity condition will be examined and compared to the statistical error calculation. In the first part of the Chapter, the properties of the initial estimate in the reconstruction procedure will be explained as the basis to the error derivation. The noise in the initial estimate will be calculated on uniformly and randomly sampled signals. These results will support the error calculation in the STFT domain. The result will be generalized for cases when the signal is nonuniformly sampled [51] as a consequence of sampling jitter or intentional sampling deviations. Since most of the real systems Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals are implemented in hardware using finite length registers, a specific form of noise, the quantization noise, is also present in signals [52], and it will be also analyzed in this Chapter. At the end, the effect of noise folding will be considered, which will conclude the effectiveness of the error calculation in many real circumstances of signals nature.

2.1 Initial estimate analysis for uniform sampling The initial estimate X_0 from Eq. (1.35) is the key for deriving the exact error of an approximately sparse or nonsparse signal. It can be understood as the back-projection of the samples on the measurement matrix, which is defined as the matched filtering. It is the first important step for the analysis and reconstruction of a signal. The available data are back-projected to the measurement matrix and used in all reconstruction algorithms. Moreover, the back-projection relation contains more properties of the desired sparse signal than being used just as its initial estimate. In Section 1.3.3. it was shown that the key criteria for the signal reconstruction can be related to the back-projection relation and initial estimate (e.g. the coherence index). The initial estimate

can be rewritten as $X_0(k) = x(n_i)\varphi_{ni}(k)$. (2.1) 147

$i \in N_A$ If all measurements are available, the initial estimate of an originally sparse signal $X(k)$ will be sparse and equal to the original signal transform. However, if the set of available measurements is reduced, the missing samples will produce noise in the initial estimate and cause its deviation from the original transform. Having less available samples will make the signal in the transformation domain more noisy, as illustrated in Fig. 2.1. For an easier understanding, let us consider the STFT calculated at one instant using a rectangular window. The analysis of the transform then reduces to the DFT analysis of the signal samples within the window. For the DFT case, the Eq. (2.1) reads $X_0(k) = \sum_{n \in N_A} x(n)e^{-j2\pi nk/N}$. (2.2)

Firstly, let assume a simple single-component signal, i.e. $K = 1$, with amplitude A_0 at a position k_0 , $X_0(k) = A_0 e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)n}$. (2.3)

The expected value of $X_0(k)$, i.e., $E X_0(k)$, denoted by $\mu X_0(k)$ is equal to $\mu X_0(k) = A_0 E e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)n}$. (2.4) In [8, 53], it has been shown that the expected value is $E e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)n} = \delta(k - k_0)$, (2.5)

2.1. Initial estimate analysis for uniform sampling

Figure 2.1: Initial estimate noise illustration: time domain (left); frequency domain (right). Top - signal with full set of measurements. Middle - signal with 50% of available samples with corresponding DFT. Bottom - signal with 25% of available measurements with corresponding spectrum. Red dots represent true values, black lines present available values. where $\delta(k - k_0) = 1$ for $k = k_0$, and $\delta(k - k_0) = 0$ for $k \neq k_0$. Since there are N_A terms in (2.4), we get $\mu X_0(k) = A_0 N_A \delta(k - k_0)$. (2.6)

For the calculation of variance, the value at the position of the component, i.e. $k = k_0$, is $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = 0$. For the case when $k \neq k_0$, the variance of the initial estimate will be nonzero, while the mean value is zero. The variance is calculated using $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = |A_0|^2 E e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)(n-m)}$. (2.7)

variables $e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)n}$ are equally distributed, producing expectation equal to It has been previously confirmed in [53] that, for random $n \neq m$ and $k \neq k_0$, $E e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)(n-m)} = -1/N - 1$. (2.8)

For $n = m$, the complex sinusoid is deterministic, and the relation $E e^{j2\pi(k-k_0)(n-m)} = 1$ holds. Note that, in (2.7), there are N_A terms when $n = m$, and $N_A(N_A - 1)$ terms when $n \neq m$. Therefore, for $k \neq k_0$, the DFT coefficient variance becomes $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = |A_0|^2 N_A(N_A - 1)$. (2.9)

In the general case, i.e. when $K > 1$, the initial estimate is a summation of independent random variables $X_0(k) = \sum_{l=1}^K A_l e^{j2\pi(k-k_l)n}$. (2.10)

According to (2.6), the mean value of a $K > 1$ sparse signal is then $\mu X_0(k) = \sum_{l=1}^K A_l \delta(k - k_l)$. (2.11)

Since the random value at $k = k_l$, $l = p$, does not contribute to the noise, the variance of $X(k)$ will be $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = \sum_{l \neq p}^K |A_l|^2 N_A(N_A - 1)$. (2.12)

2.2 Initial estimate analysis for random sampling

In some practical scenarios, signals are randomly sampled due to intentional strategy to increase randomness in sampling or due to the effect of high jitter in sampling. The jitter can be caused by lack of synchronisation, hardware or transmission problems. Random sampling affects the processing of signals under the CS framework, since the sample values are not on the grid anymore, i.e., at random positions $0 < t_n < N$. Then, the initial estimate of a signal with available samples at random positions $t_n \in N_A = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{N_A}\}$ is $X_0(k) = \sum_{n \in N_A} x(t_n)e^{-j2\pi t_n k/N}$. (2.13)

2.3. Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction

The mean value of such signal remains the same as for the uniform sampling case, i.e. the mean value is equal to the one from Eq. (2.11). In the case of random sampling, it is interesting to notice that, unlike when the signal is uniformly sampled on the grid, the variance at the signal component of a signal will not be zero even when N signal samples are available. This will conclude that the all components in the initial estimate $X_0(k)$ are affected by a noise. The noise has a variance $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = N_A \sum_{l=1}^K |A_l|^2 [1 - \delta(k - k_l)]$. (2.14)

2.3 Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction

Intuitively, it can be seen that this idea is closely related to finding the exact

error of the reconstruction of approximately sparse or nonsparse signals when they are re-constructed under the assumption that their nature is originally sparse. For a signal $x(n)$, with the corresponding transformation $X(k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$, the definitions of approximately sparse and nonsparse signals are given next. Definition 2.1 An approximately sparse signal, of length N , is a signal which consists of K significant non-zero components at $k \in K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K\}$ and $N - K$ small non-zero components $k \notin K$, i.e. $\min |X(k_1)|, |X(k_2)|, \dots, |X(k_K)| \gg \max$

$$|X(k_{K+1})|, |X(k_{K+2})|, \dots, |X(k_{N-1})| \} \quad (2.15) \text{ Definition 2.} \quad 153$$

2 A nonsparse signal, of length N , is a signal which consists of N non-zero components of the same order of amplitude. Since the signal is considered as originally sparse in its nature, the reconstruction is performed under the constraint that it is K -sparse. This results that the remaining $N - K$ components, that are not reconstructed, will impact on the error of the reconstructed components. An analysis of the error in the reconstructed signal caused by this effect will be analyzed. For the analysis, recall a time-varying $x(n)$, with a STFT of $S_N(n, k)$, and its windowed version $x(n, nw)$ of length N_w , as $N_w / 2 - 1 \leq n \leq N_w / 2 + 1$. $S_N(n, k) = \text{DFT}\{x(n + nw)w(nw)\} = \sum_{m=n-N_w/2}^{n+N_w/2} x(m)w(m-k) e^{-j2\pi nwk/N_w}$. (2.16) Assuming sparsity K , the signal is reconstructed using the available measurements at positions $n + nw \in N_A$. Consequently, the number of missing measurements is $N_Q = N - N_A$. Notice that using any CS reconstruction method (assuming that conditions for a successful and unique reconstruction are met), we detect and reconstruct K coefficients, with $A_I(n)$ corresponding to the reconstructed amplitudes at $k \in K$. The amplitudes of the nonreconstructed components generate noise in the reconstructed coefficients $S_R(n)$. The noise variance caused by the components that are not reconstructed is obtained from the variance of the initial estimate, given by Eq. (2.14) as $|A_I(n)|^2 \sim N_A N_Q / (N - 1)$. (2.17) The amplitude values at the positions of the original nonzero coefficient in the initial estimate $S_{N0}(n)$ are proportional to N_A . In the reconstruction process, the amplitudes should be reconstructed to their true values (i.e., when the full measurement set is available). Thus, the values of the recovered amplitudes should be proportional to N , instead of N_A . resulting in the scaling factor to be N/N_A . Therefore, the noise variance scaling factor

in the reconstructed coefficients is $(N/N_A)^2$. Hence, the noise variance 139

caused by one nonreconstructed component to the reconstructed coefficient will be $|A_I(n)|^2 \sim N_A^2 N_Q / (N - 1) \sim |A_I(n)|^2 N_Q / N$. (2.18) The noise energy in the K components of $S_R(n)$ is the summation of the K variances of each reconstructed coefficient. The total energy of noise in the reconstructed coefficients generated by the $N - K$ nonreconstructed components is $\|S_R(n)\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \notin K} |S_{N0}(n)|^2 = \sum_{k \notin K} N_A^2 |A_I(n)|^2$, (2.19) where $S_{N0}(n)$ is obtained from $S_R(n)$ by adding zero values at the positions $k \notin K$. The energy of the nonreconstructed elements in the STFT

can be written as $\|S_{N0}(n)\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \notin K} |A_I(n)|^2$ 151

, (2.20) where $S_{N0}(n)$ is a signal of length N , which represents the amplitudes of $S_N(n)$ at positions K , and is zero-valued everywhere else. From (2.19) and (2.20), it can be concluded that the

energy of error in the reconstructed components is proportional to the energy of the nonreconstructed components

3

of the nonsparse signal in the form $\|SNR(n) - SNK(n)\|_{22} = K N N A Q N \|SN(n) - SNK(n)\|_{22}$. (2.21) Note that, in the case when a randomly sampled signal is considered, the error is $\|SNR(tn) - SNK(tn)\|_{22} = N A \|SN(tn) - SNK(tn)\|_{22}$. K (2.22) If the signal is strictly sparse, we can conclude there is no reconstruction error, i.e. $\|SNR(tn) - SNK(tn)\|_{22} = 0$, (2.23) meaning that $SN(tn) = SNK(tn)$, whether the signal is sampled uniformly or randomly. For uniformly sampled signal, the reconstruction error is zero-valued when all samples are available, i.e. $N A = N$ and $N Q = 0$. 2.3.1 Additive input noise In a more realistic case, the received measurements are usually with some additive noise $y + \epsilon = AX$, (2.24) where ϵ is the additive noise with variance $\sigma \epsilon^2$. Having noisy measurements will provide that the initial estimate of the signal, $SN_0(tn, k)$, is with an additional noise component as well. The variance in $SN_0(tn, k)$,

caused by the measurements input noise, is $\sigma^2 N_0(tn, k) = N A \sigma \epsilon^2$. (2. 25) In the

162

reconstruction process, as mentioned, the initial estimate is scaled by the factor N/NA . The noise variance in one reconstructed component is then $\text{var}\{SNR(tn, k)\} = N A \sigma \epsilon^2 / N A N N A^2 \sigma \epsilon^2$. $N^2 =$ (2.26) That will result in the total MSE in K reconstructed coefficients, due to the additive noise $\|SNR(tn) - SNK(tn)\|_{22} = K N N A^2 \sigma \epsilon^2$. (2.27) The error energies, caused by the nonsparsity effects and the additive input noise independently, can be summed to produce a general relation for the expected squared error including both effects. The equation for the noisy and nonsparse signals case is given by [54] $\|SNR(tn) - SNK(tn)\|_{22} = K C K \|SN(tn) - SNK(tn)\|_{22} + K N N A^2 \sigma^2 \epsilon$, (2.28) with $C K = N Q / N A N$ for uniform sampling ($tn = n \Delta t$) and $C K = 1 / N A$ for random sampling. The accuracy of the theoretic result in Eq. (2.28) will be validated on different signals. The result for the error calculation will be compared with a statistically calculated error, $E_{\text{statistical}} = 10 \log(\|SNK(tn) - SNR(tn)\|_{22})$ (2.29) where $SNK(tn)$ is the original K -sparse signal at positions $k \in K$ and $SNR(tn)$ is the reconstructed signal at $k \in K$. 2.3.2 Error calculation examples Example 1: Uniform sampling. Let assume a signal consisting of two main components which are linear frequency modulated (LFM) $x(t) = 1.3e^{j\pi 52 N t} + 32(N t)^2 + 2\pi\phi_1 j\pi 4 N t - 20(N t)^2 + 2\pi\phi_2$ () + $2.1e^{j\pi 4 N t}$ (), (2.30) with $N = 1024$. The values ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are the random phases in the signal. The cases of uniform and random sampling are considered. The signal is sampled with sampling interval $\Delta t = 1$. The STFT of the signal with full set of measurements at $t = n \Delta t$ and with Hamming window of length $N_w = 256$ is presented in Fig 2.2 (top left). A reduced number of available measurements/samples is considered next. The available samples are affected by a random Gaussian noise with zero-mean and variance $\sigma \epsilon = 0.1$. The STFT with the set of available samples of size $N A = 2N/3$ is presented in Fig. 2.2 (top right). From the Figures it is seen that the signal is non-stationary, thus it is not strictly sparse. The reconstruction with sparsity level of $K = 8, 16, 32, 48$ is presented in the remaining subplots of Fig. 2.2, respectively. It is interesting to note that, by using only $K = 8$ the weakest component is not reconstructed. When $K = 16$, only few parts of the component are reconstructed. Only by using $K = 32$ or more we can get the recovery of all three components. Using the calculation from Eq. (2.28), the theoretical error is calculated as $E_{\text{theoretical}} = 10 \log(K N Q / (N A N \|SN(n) - SNK(n)\|_{22} + K N N A^2 \sigma \epsilon^2))$. (2.31) The total reconstruction error assuming different number of available measurements $N A$ and various sparsities K is illustrated in Fig. 2.3. The results are

averaged in 100 realizations. The statistical error is presented with the dots, while the theoretical error is shown with lines. The filled dots present that the reconstruction is performed successfully with high probability. In this case, the condition to consider a successful reconstruction is when $NA > 4K$. Example 2: Random sampling. Assume a LFM signal with three main components $x(t) = x_1(t) + x_2(t) + x_3(t)$ (2.32) where $x_1(t) = 0.7e^{j\pi 52 Nt + 32(Nt)^2 + 2\pi\phi_1}$ (ϕ_1) $x_2(t) = 1.3e^{j\pi 113Nt + 46(Nt)^2 + 2\pi\phi_2}$ (ϕ_2) $x_3(t) = e^{j\pi 446Nt - 54(Nt)^2 + 2\pi\phi_3}$ (ϕ_3) (2.33) (2.34) (2.35) 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 Figure 2.2: Sparse STFT reconstruction when signal is uniformly sampled: STFT with the full set of measurements (top left); STFT with the reduced set of noisy measurements with variance $\sigma_\epsilon = 0.1$ (top right), the reconstruction with $K = 8, 16, 32, 48$ (remaining subplots). with $N = 1024$ and random phases ϕ_1, ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 . In this case, a random set of NA available samples at $0 \leq t_n \leq 1024$ is considered. The STFT, when the full set of measurements is considered, is shown in 2.4 (top left). It can be observed that random sampling of the signal adds to the nonsparsity of the signal, together with the reduced number of available samples, Fig. 2.4 (top right). The signal is reconstructed with assumed sparsity levels of $K = 16, 24, 32, 48$. 10 5 0 -5 -10 -15 -20 0 50 100 150 200 250 Figure 2.3: Total averaged reconstruction error assuming different number of available measurements NA and various sparsity levels K . The error is averaged over 300 realizations. The signal is uniformly sampled. Lines present the theoretical results, while the dots are the statistical values. The filled dots show when the recovery is performed with a high probability, i.e. for $NA > 4K$. Using the calculation from Eq. (2.28), the theoretical error is $E_{\text{theoretical}} = 10 \log \left(\frac{NA + 1}{N} \right) \frac{\|SN(n) - SN_K(n)\|_2^2}{K N NA^2 \sigma_\epsilon^2}$. K (2.36) (ϕ) The total reconstruction error when $NA = N/2, 2N/3, 3N/4$ is presented in Table 2.1. The total error is averaged in 100 realizations. The statistical results are presented with dots, and the theoretical error is presented with the lines. The filled dots present the results when the reconstruction success is of high probability. Note that the error in the random sampling case is larger than the one received in the uniform case. It is due to the fact that it causes higher nonsparsity than in the uniform sampling. Additionally to that, noise increases the nonsparsity in the signals. Our goal, however, is to find the exact error which is produced by the reconstruction. The statistical and the theoretical error show high agreement in the reconstruction, proving the exactness of the derivation. Example 3: Application on audio signals. The audio signal "Train", included in the MATLAB software, is considered. Its original STFT, with full set of samples, is presented in Fig. 2.5 (top left). The STFT is performed using a Hanning window with a 50% overlap, which allows simple and direct reconstruction of the audio signal. Assume that the sparsity of the signal is $K = 55$ and that only half of the measurements are 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 250 200 150 100 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 Figure 2.4: Sparse STFT reconstruction of a randomly sampled signal: STFT with the full set of measurements (top left); STFT with the reduced set of noisy measurements with variance $\sigma_\epsilon = 0.1$ (top right), the reconstruction with $K = 8, 16, 32, 48$ (remaining subplots). available. The

STFT of the signal with the available set of measurements is presented in Fig.

55

2.5 (top right). The reconstructed STFT assuming the sparsity $K = 10$ is illustrated in Fig. 2.5 (bottom left). The reconstructed STFT with sparsity $K = 50$ is presented in Fig. 2.5 (bottom right). Also, an audio signal with the

words "You and I" is recorded. It was recorded on a MacBook Air laptop using the MATLAB 55

software. The signal was sampled at a frequency $f_s = 44.1$ kHz, with 16-bit A/D conversion and single-channel mode.

Table 2.1: Total averaged reconstruction error in the reconstructed coefficients (in dB) for $N_A = N/2, 2N/3, 3N/4$, and sparsity levels $K = \{16, 24, 32, 48\}$ when randomly sampled signal is used.

$N_A = N/2$ $K = 16$ 24 32 48 Theory Statistics $N_A = 2N/3$ -0.23 -0.35 -0.58 -0.83 -1.07 -0.74 -1.01 -1.23 Theory Statistics $N_A = 3N/4$ -0.50 -0.61 -0.93 -1.25 -1.57 -1.00 -1.28 -1.61 Theory Statistics -0.54 -0.96 -1.35 -1.64 -0.65 -1.03 -1.37 -1.57 100 200 300 400 500 10 20 30 40 50 100 200 300 400 500 10 20 30 40 50 100 100 200 200 300 300 400 400 500 500 10 20 30 40 50 10 20 30 40 50 100 150 100 200 300 400 500 50 100 150 100 200 300 400 500 50 100 150 100 200 300 400 500 50 100 150

Figure 2.5: The recovery of the audio signal "Train": STFT with full set of measurements (top left); STFT with 50% of available samples (top right); Reconstructed STFT with $K = 10$ (bottom left); Reconstructed STFT with $K = 50$.

Figure 2.6: The recovery of the recorded audio signal "You and I": STFT with full set of measurements (top left); STFT with 50% of available samples (top right); Reconstructed STFT with $K = 30$ (bottom left); Reconstructed STFT with $K = 80$. Assume that 50% of the samples are unavailable. Two sparsities are assumed, $K = 30$ and $K = 80$. The four subplots in Fig. 2.6 present the original STFT, the STFT with a reduced number of measurements, the STFT reconstruction with $K = 30$, and the STFT reconstruction with $K = 80$, respectively. The total error of the reconstruction using different sparsities K for the two audio signals is shown in Fig. 2.7. The error is calculated according to 100 realizations. The black solid line presents the theoretical error. The red circles are the statistical results. We can conclude that in both cases, the results are similar, proving that the exact error equation is found and statistically confirmed.

Example 4: Radar signals. Another suitable application for the recovery of non-sparse signals assuming sparsity constraint is inverse synthetic aperture radar (ISAR) imaging [55–60]. In general, ISAR images require only few components for transmission and reception, which is sufficient for obtaining information the range and cross-range of a target. That makes them usually sparse in the 2D-DFT domain. Assuming sampling on the grid, an ISAR signal, of size $N \times M$, has reconstruction error $E_{\text{theoretical}} = 10 \log K \frac{N}{N - N_A} \frac{M}{M - N_A} \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2} + K \frac{N}{N - N_A} \frac{M}{M - N_A} \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2}$. (2.37)

Figure 2.7: Total error in dB after the reconstruction in 100 realizations of "Train" (left) and "You and I" (right), with various sparsity levels. Black line represent the theoretical results, red circles is the statistical estimation. where $N_Q = N M - N_A$. The ISAR image of an airplane MIG-25 is considered [61]. It is approximately sparse in the 2D-DFT domain. The ISAR image is shown in the top left subplot of Fig. 2.8. In the logarithmic scale (top right subplot), the nonsparsity is noticeable. Sparse reconstructions from $N_A = N M / 2$ available samples, with $K = 50, 150, 250, 350$ are shown in the remaining four subplots of Fig. 2.8. The error calculation, according to Eq. (2.37), is presented in Table 2.2. Table 2.2: The

error in the ISAR reconstructed coefficients for MIG data for assumed sparsities K 48

$= \{50, 150, 250, 350\}$. $N_A = NM/2$ $K = 50$ 150 250 350 Theory -20.92 Statistics -20.19 $N_A = 2NM/3$ $K = 50$ -24.72 -28.71 -31.60 -24.36 -28.17 -30.34 150 250 350 Theory -16.36 Statistics -17.85 -17.87 -20.12 -20.12 -19.32 -21.58 -21.58

2.4 Sampling generalization For uniform sampling, the considered instants in the reduced set of

measurements are defined by sampling theorem and a random subset of all such instants. The random sampling is done at a set of fully random instants within the considered time interval. These two cases can be considered as the special cases of the nonuniform (jittered) sampling at the instants $t_n = n + v_n$, where v_n is the random variable causing the shift in the uniform sampling at instant n (unit sampling interval is assumed without loss of generality). The random variable (jitter) with a uniform distribution $-\Delta/2 \leq v_n \leq \Delta/2$ is assumed. The two special cases of this nonuniform sampling are: (i) the uniform sampling (when $\Delta = 0$) and (ii) the random sampling (when Δ is large). The resulting reconstruction depends on the degree of randomness in the nonuniform sampling, as it will be shown next. The general form of the expected squared error in the reconstructed coefficients is obtained using the initial estimate. Having a signal $x(t_n)$ sampled at $t_n = n + v_n$, its initial estimate can be written as $X_0(k) = A_0 e^{j2\pi k t_n} = A_0 e^{j2\pi k n} e^{j2\pi k v_n}$. (2.38) $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ The initial estimate of a single-component $x(t_n)$, with amplitude A_0 at k_0 , will then be $X_0(k) = A_0 e^{j2\pi k n} e^{j2\pi k v_n}$. $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ The mean value of $X_0(k)$ becomes $\mu X_0(k) = A_0 E\{e^{j2\pi k n}\} E\{e^{j2\pi k v_n}\}$. $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ (2.39) (2.40) We have seen that for the first term, $A_0 E\{e^{j2\pi k n}\}$, the mean value is $\mu X_0(k) = A_0 N \delta(k - k_0)$. For the second term, caused by random sampling jitter, the expected value is calculated as $\sum_{v_n=-\Delta/2}^{\Delta/2} \mu v = E\{e^{j2\pi k v_n}\} = \int_{-\Delta/2}^{\Delta/2} p(\theta) e^{j2\pi k \theta} d\theta = \int_{-\Delta/2}^{\Delta/2} \frac{1}{\Delta} \text{sinc}(\pi(\theta - k_0)/\Delta) \pi(k - k_0)\Delta d\theta = \text{sinc}(\pi(k - k_0)\Delta)$. (2.41) N The probability density function $p(\theta) = \frac{1}{\Delta}$ is used for the uniform random variable $\theta = v_n$, within the interval $[-\Delta/2, \Delta/2]$. When $k - k_0 = 0$, the expected value in Eq. (2.41) is 1. The variance is calculated as, $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = |A_0|^2 E\{e^{j2\pi k(n-m)}\} E\{e^{j2\pi k(v_n - v_m)}\}$. $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$ (2.42) For $k \neq k_0, n \neq m$, the second term is written as $E\{e^{j2\pi k(n-m)}\} E\{e^{j2\pi k(v_n - v_m)}\} = E\{e^{j2\pi k(n-m)}\} E\{e^{j2\pi k v_n}\} E\{e^{-j2\pi k v_m}\}$, (2.43) which, obviously, is equal to μ_{2v} , as the expectations over statistically independent v_n and v_m . For $n = m$, Eq. (2.43) produces the result equal to 1. When all the available samples are considered, there are NA terms in the sum when $m = n$, and $NA(NA - 1)$ terms when $n \neq m$. In the multicomponent case, i.e. $K > 1$, the variance is a sum of individual variances of each noise-only component $k \neq k_l$. For $K > 1, k_l = k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K$, the generalized variance of the components at $k_l \neq k_1, k_2, \dots, k_K$ will be $\sigma_{X_0^2}(k) = NA |A_l|^2 [1 - N \text{sinc}^2(\pi(k - k_l)\Delta)]$. (2.44) $\sum_{l=1}^K [N [1 - \delta(k - k_l)]]$ 2.5. Quantization error in compressive sensing The variance is frequency dependent. Its mean can be estimated as a frequency independent parameter $G(\Delta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \text{sinc}^2(\pi k \Delta)$. (2.45) Note that, in the same manner as in the analysis for the partial uniform DFT and the partial random DFT, we can define variances in other measurement matrices. Example: Generalization. The nonuniform distribution analyzes the case when the signal is close to the uniform sampling, with a small-offset of the true value. This is known as the jittering effects, which affects many real-signals in their transmission. Consider an approximately sparse signal in the DFT domain, $1 + \kappa(l)$, for $l = 1, 2, \dots, K, X(k_l) = \{-3l/2K, \text{ for } l = K + 1, K + 2, \dots, N$. (2.46) The sparsity level $K = 7$ and $\kappa(l)$ is a random variable. It is uniformly distributed between 0 and 0.4. The error in the reconstructed coefficients is calculated and given in Fig. 2.9. The cases with $NA = 2N/3$ and $NA = 3N/4$ available samples are considered. The error calculation is analyzed for the cases when $\Delta = 0$ (uniform sampling), $\Delta = 1$ (nonuniform sampling) and $\Delta \gg 0$ (random sampling). The assumed sparsity is varied $S_K = 1, 2, \dots, 15$. Black color represents the statistical values $E_{\text{statistical}} = 10 \log \|X - X_R\|_2^2$, while red color represents the theoretical results, $E_{\text{theoretical}} = 10 \log NA [1 - N \text{sinc}^2(\pi G(\Delta) \|X - X_0\|_2^2 / K)]$. (2.47) (2.48) We can see that, in all three cases, the error significantly drops when the assumed sparsity is $S_K = 7$ reached the signal approximate sparsity. The uniform sampling produces the best reconstruction results in all considered cases, while an increased randomness results in a higher reconstruction error.

The theoretical and statistical results highly agree, proving that, in the general case, the accuracy of the derived error. 2.5 Quantization error in compressive sensing So far, it has been assumed that the measurements can take as many bits as needed for their representation. If a non-quantized signal is strictly sparse, the error, calculated as a difference between the original and reconstructed signal, will be zero or negligible. However, the reconstruction will produce some error if a signal is reconstructed from quantized (digitized) measurements. After quantization, the input signal will be -10 -10 -15 -15 -20 -20 -25 -25 -30 -30 -35 -35 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Figure 2.9: Reconstruction error as a function of various sparsity levels K for different values Δ : for $NA = 2N/3$ of available samples (left) and for $NA = 3N/4$ of available samples (right). Values for Δ used are $\Delta = 0$ (lower lines), $\Delta = 1$ (middle lines), and $\Delta \gg 0$ (higher lines). corrupted with uniform additive noise, whose values are between the bounds of the quantization levels. Despite the effects the quantization is exploiting, it is of great importance in the hardware implementation. The samples measurements are stored into registers of $(B + 1)$ bits,

where B bits are for the measurement absolute value and the additional bit is for its sign. The

samples are formed as $y_B = \text{digital}_B\{AX\}$ (2.49) or for complex-valued case, where both real and imaginary parts are quantized, as $y_B = \text{digital}_B\{\Re\{AX\}\} + j\text{digital}_B\{\Im\{AX\}\}$, (2.50) Considering the quantized measurements, the transformation coefficients $X(k)$ are reconstructed with the quantization error that depends on number of bits and number of measurements. When a signal is quantized in the amplitude, the error which produced by the quantization is the quantization noise within the limits $|e(n_i)| < \Delta q/2$, (2.51) where Δq is related to B as $\Delta q = 2^{-B}$. (2.52) To achieve appropriate analysis, the quantization error of is assumed to be an uniformly distributed white noise, which affects the measurements in the form $y = y_B + e$, (2.53) where e is the vector of the quantization noise with elements $e(n_i)$. Note that the quantization errors must be uncorrelated with each other nor with the considered signal. 2.5. Quantization error in compressive sensing By definition, the mean and variance of that noise is [8] $\mu_e = E\{e\} = 0$, (2.54) $\sigma_e^2 = \Delta^2 q/12$. (2.55) When

a complex-valued signal is analyzed, both real and imaginary parts of samples add to the noise, resulting in a variance

$\sigma_e^2 = 2\Delta^2 q/12 = \Delta^2 q/6$. (2.56) As mentioned in Section 2.3.1. (Additive noise), noisy y will lead to noisy $X_0(k)$ with variance $\sigma_{X_0(k)}^2 = \sigma_e^2$. The noise variance of the reconstructed signal is then $\sigma_{X_2R(k)}^2 = \sigma_e^2$. (2.57) The energy of the reconstruction error in the K reconstructed components is $\|X_R - X\|_2^2 = K\sigma_e^2$. (2.58) In this interesting to note that, the energy of error in the reconstructed components will remain unchanged if [52] $K\sigma_e^2 = K 2^{-2B} 6 = \text{const}$. (2.59) That is, reducing the number of B bits

to $B - 1$ bits will require reducing the number of sparsity components from K to $K/4$. The logarithmic expression of the error

can be written as $e^2 = 10 \log \|X_R - X_K\|_2^2 = 3.01 \log K - 6.02B - 7.78$. (2.60) () 2.5.1 Quantization effect analysis

The effect of quantization will be examined in the next two examples. Example 1: Sparse signal quantization error. The sparse signal reconstruction analysis is performed in this example. The signal is of the form $X(kl) = NA(1 - \kappa(l))$, for $l = 1, \dots, K$ (2.61) $\{0, \text{ for } l = K + 1, \dots, N$, with length $N = 256$ and the random changes of coefficient amplitudes is uniformly distributed in between $0 \leq \kappa(l) < 0.2$. It is considered that $NA = 128$ available measurements are quantized. The quantization levels to bits $B \in \{4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 24\}$ and sparsity levels $K \in \{3, 8, 13, 18\}$ are analyzed. The average statistical and theoretical signal-to-noise ratios SNR_{st} and SNR_{th} values are shown in Fig. 2.10. The results are averaged over 300 realizations. The 150 100 50 4 8 12 16 20 24 150 100 50 4 8 12 16 20 24 150 100 50 4 8 12 16 20 24 Figure 2.10: Average reconstruction SNR of sparse signals with quantized measurements as a function of number of bits B , for various numbers of measurements and sparsity levels $K \in \{3, 8, 13, 18\}$. The statistical error is presented with dots and the theoretical results are presented by dot-dashed lines: when the signal is uniformly sampled (left); nonuniformly sampled (middle); randomly sampled (right). statistical error SNR_{st} is presented with black dots, and the dash-dot lines are the theoretical errors, SNR_{th} . It can be concluded that the results are of high agreement. Example 2: Nonsparse signal quantization error. The signal is modeled as $X(kl) = \sqrt{KNA}(1 - \kappa(l))$, $\sqrt{\kappa(l)}$ for $l = 1, \dots, K$ (2.62) $\{KNA \exp(-l/8K)$, for $l = K + 1, \dots, N$. (2.62) The length of the signal is $N = 256$ and the random uniform changes of coefficient amplitudes is assumed to be between $0 \leq \kappa(l) < 0.2$. In order to reduce its influence to the quantization level, the

amplitudes of the coefficients $X(k)$ for $kl \in / K$ are $X(kl) = \exp(-l/(8K))$. In

16

that case, the effect of quantization influences the reconstruction procedure when up to $B = 14$ bits are used. The error caused by the nonsparsity is dominant for the case when $B \geq 16$. The results are presented in Fig. 2.11, proving a similar results of the statistical results with the theoretical error. 2.6 Noise folding Another important issue is the analysis of the

quantization noise in the transform coefficients prior to taking the measurements [62].

16

This noise is called the

quantization noise folding and it will be denoted by z . Then, the measurements are of the form $y_B + e = A(X + z)$, which can be rewritten in the form of $y_B + v = AX$ (2.63) (2.64) where $v = e - Az$. The value e is the quantization noise which affects the signal samples with covariance $\sigma_e^2 I$. The noise vector z is random whose covariance is $\sigma_z^2 I$. 2.6. Noise folding 100 80 60 40 20 4 8 12 16 20 24 100 80 60 40 20 4 8 12 16 20 24 100 80 60 40 20 4 8 12 16 20 24 Figure 2.11: Average SNR of the reconstruction of nonsparse signals with quantized measurements as a function of number of bits B , for various numbers of measurements and sparsity levels $K \in \{3, 8, 13, 18\}$. The statistical error is presented with dots and the theoretical results are presented by dot-dashed lines: when the signal is uniformly sampled (left); nonuniformly sampled (middle); randomly sampled (right). Note that it is independent of e . Thus, the covariance matrix of the noise v is $C = \sigma_e^2 I + \sigma_z^2 AA^H$. (2.65) For the partial DFT matrix, the relation $AA^H = NN^H$ holds. The variance of v is then $\sigma_v^2 = \sigma_e^2 + NA \sigma_z^2$, N (2.66) with the covariance matrix $C = \sigma_v^2 I$. However, when sparse signals are considered, the quantization error only affects the K nonzero components of X . It means that the noise Az variance is $NKA\sigma_e^2$ or $\|X_R - X_K\|_2^2$

$XK_{l22} = K\sigma_e^2 + N\sigma_z^2$. K (2.67) Finally, for the nonsparse partial DFT matrix case, the error is calculated as $\|X - XK_{l22}\|_F^2 = K\sigma_e^2 + N\sigma_z^2 + K(K-1) - NNA - 11G(\Delta) \|X - XK_{l22}\|_F^2$. (2.68) NA We assume that the quantization of the K main components in X mostly influences $[\cdot]$ the corresponding part of the error calculation. This relation is statistically checked in the next example. Example: Error calculation with noise folding. The simulation with non-sparse signals affects by noise folding is repeated for 300 realizations using the formulation from Eq. (2.68). The results are presented in Fig. 2.12, proving a close agreement theoretical and statistical results.

Figure 2.12: Average SNR of nonsparse signals reconstruction with noise folding when various number of available measurements is considered, for different sampling methods. Top subplots - $NA = N/4$ available samples, middle subplots - $NA = N/2$, bottom subplots - $NA = 3N/4$. Left subplots - uniform sampling, middle subplots - nonuniform sampling when $\Delta = 1$, left subplots - random sampling when $\Delta \gg 0$.

3.1 General sonar signal modelling	44
3.1.1 Relation to compressive sensing	45
3.1.2 Sequence forms and properties	47
3.2 Sequence selection	51
3.3 Real-data reconstruction	55
3.4 Time-varying cross-range detection	57
3.4.1 Decomposition and reconstruction	58
High-resolution decomposition	61

3.5.1 Problem formulation	63	3.5.2 High-resolution techniques	64	3.5.3 Examples	64	98
-------------------------------------	----	--	----	--------------------------	----	----

66 Many radar systems are based on a few targets in the signal, showing the potential of using the compressive sensing algorithms for their processing. The idea of importing the CS theory to the detection of targets and their successful recovery in the radar systems was discussed previously in the literature [55, 63–66]. In the research, indeed, the CS framework is seen as a useful tool for the reconstruction of sparse radar signals. Even though radar and sonar systems have many common basic principles, yet the application of CS techniques is still relatively new in sonars. Despite the similarity in the rules, there are specific characteristics of the sonar systems that need to be considered for a successful analysis. The main difference is the environment in which they operate, mainly due to entirely different propagation characteristics. This will be discussed in more detail later in this thesis. The complexity of the problem made it difficult for the transmitted signals to be anything more than basic forms of sonar signals to be analyzed and used in the recent literature. The usage of specific sequence form of these signals has already produced promising results in the reconstruction of sonar images. The implementation of CS idea to the underwater sonar signals was initially discussed in [67, 68]. However, only the Alltop sequence was considered a sequence used to form the transmitted signal and reconstruct the sonar image with a reduced number of measurements. In [69], the results in sonar imaging were improved using the M sequence, as an excellent alternative to the Alltop sequence, in forming the transmitted signal waveform.

43 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction In this Chapter, we will consider a whole spectrum of various sequences in the sonar imaging within the CS to find the best solution to the sonar signal reconstruction problem. The considered sequences are the random binary sequence, the random Gaussian, Bjorck, and Zadoff-Chu sequence, in addition to the Alltop and maximum length sequence (M

sequence). All these sequences are studied and compared concerning the performances notable for sonar imaging within the CS framework. The implementation of the radar systems was also expanded from narrowband [63] to wideband [66]. Although the Alltop and the M sequences were considered theoretically, in practice, only the basic forms were considered due to their simpler hardware implementation [70]. This challenge will also be taken into account in the analysis of real data in the next sections. The main results presented in this Chapter were published in [71–74]. In the analysis, it is common to consider the targets on the grid. However, in practice, they are off-grid, causing even the targets with a small number of reflecting points to be only approximately sparse when considered in sonar signals. This effect of image leaking due to the off-grid impacts influences the CS reconstruction. It has been examined by extending the analysis of approximately sparse and nonsparse signals from the previous section. We tackle one more problem in this Chapter: the decomposition of two misaligned receivers for two close components. It will be shown that the problem can be successfully surpassed using high-resolution techniques in time-frequency analysis.

3.1 General sonar signal modelling

A typical model of a transmitted wideband sonar signal is of form $x(t) = s(t) \exp(j2\pi f_c t)$, (3

1) where $s(t)$ is the transmitted sequence. The sequence is coded within the λ width

λ , $0 \leq t < N\lambda$, and modulated with the carrier frequency f_c . The received signal is a delayed and attenuated version of $x(t)$. If one target is considered, i.e., if $K = 1$, the received (echoed) signal is formed as $r_1(t) = g$

$\exp(-j2\pi f_c (t - \tau))$, (3. 2) where v is

the velocity of the target, c is the underwater speed of sound, and g is a complex-valued scattering coefficient. Due to the Doppler effect, the received signal is scaled in frequency for $(c + v)/(c - v)$. Additionally, it is shifted in time for a value τ . The signal is sampled according to the sampling theorem at instants $n\Delta t$, with Δt being the sampling interval. The discrete received signal, when $K > 1$ targets are considered, is the sum of K received discretized components of form (3.2). That is $K r(n) = \sum_{k=1}^K g_k \exp(j\omega_k n)$, (3.3) where ω_k is the circular shift of the sequence. The parameter d_{ki} presents the time delay τ which is defined by the range of the targets. The parameter ω_{ki} corresponds to the cross-range of targets corresponding to the frequency shift. If we consider the targets to be on the grid, the coordinates are then taken from the finite set $(d_p, \omega_q) \in \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N\} \times \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N\}$ (3.4) where d_p takes values from $d_p \in \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_N\}$ and $\omega_q \in \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N\}$, making it a total of N^2 of possible positions of the targets. If the targets are off-grid, they will spread over several points, with the most significant influence on a few neighboring grid points. The off-grid effects cause the analyzed signals to be only approximately sparse. In the analysis we will first assume that the targets are on the grid, as it is common in literature, and then analyze the effects of sparsity degradation due to off-grid sampling. For a pair $(d_p, \omega_q) = p, 2N\pi q$, the basis function

can be calculated as $(\phi_p, q)(n) = s(n - p) \exp(j2\pi q n)$. (3.5) and

received is the signal $r(n) = \sum_{k=1}^K g_k \phi_{p_i, q_i}(n)$. (3.6) of the k -th scatterer. The relation between the indices for the scatterer k , and range $\sum_{i=1}^M$ and cross-range positions p and q is $k = p + N q$, $p = k - N \lfloor k/N \rfloor$, (3.7) $q = \lfloor k/N \rfloor$, where

$p = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $q = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, N^2-1$, and $\lfloor k/N \rfloor$ presenting the rounding of k/N to the closest lower integer value. The periodic autocorrelation (AC) function of the sequence $s(n)$ is defined

4

as $R_s(n) = \sum_{m=1}^N s(n+m)s^*(m)$ (3.8) Note that the AC function is associated to the coherence index μ from Eq. (1.50), as it will be seen later in the chapter. 3.1.1 Relation to compressive sensing Taking into account the nature of the received signal, it can be analyzed as a signal in the representation domain with basis functions $\phi_k(n) = s(n-dk) \exp(j\omega_k n)$, (3.9) and rewritten as or in matrix form $r(n) = \sum_{i=1}^M g_i \phi_{p_i, q_i}(n)$ $r = \Phi g$, (3.10) (3.11) The vector r

is the received column vector of the echoed signal, and Φ is the matrix with basis functions. The scattering coefficients $g(k)$

30

$g(k) = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_K]^T$ are within the column vector

$g = [g(0), g(1), \dots, g(N^2-1)]^T$. In the

5

compressive sensing sense, if the signal g consists of only few target points, it means that there are only K nonzero coefficients in the full $N \times N$ matrix, with $K \ll N$. Then, the signal is considered as sparse. Since it is sparse, it can be recovered from the received samples $y = [r(n_1), r(n_2), \dots, r(n_{NA})]^T$ or $y = Ag$ where the elements of A are from (3.5), i.e., $a_{k,l} = s(n_l - dp) \exp(j\omega_k n_l)$, (3.12) (3.13) For a given scattering k , dp corresponds to the rearranged range coefficients and ω_k is for the rearranged cross-range coefficients. Note that, since N samples are transmitted, and the results lies in the area of $N \times N$ points, the number of measurements is naturally $NA = N$. As mentioned in previous chapters, the initial estimation of the signal is performed using the available observations $g_0 = A^H y$ or in element-wise form $g_0(k) = \sum_{n_i \in M} r(n_i) a_{k, n_i}^*$. If $r(n_i)$ is replaced according to (3.10), we get $g_0(k) = \sum_{n_i \in M} \sum_{i=1}^M g_i \phi_{p_i, q_i}(n_i) a_{k, n_i}^*$. Denoting the terms $\sum_{n_i \in M} \phi_{p_i, q_i}(n_i) a_{k, n_i}^*$ by $\mu(k, k_i)$ $\mu(k, k_i) = \sum_{n_i \in M} \phi_{p_i, q_i}(n_i) a_{k, n_i}^* = \sum_{n_i \in M} s(n_i - dk) s^*(n_i - p_i) e^{j2\pi(q_i - q)n_i/N}$, (3.15) (3.16) (3.17) (3.18) 3.1. General sonar signal modelling the initial estimate will be $g_0(k) = \sum_{i=1}^M g_i \mu(k, k_i)$. (3.19) For a random set of measurements, the values $\mu(k, k_i)$ and $g_0(k)$ are random variables [53,75]. If the calculation is performed over all samples, i.e., $n_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, we get It is important to note that, even by taking all samples, the set with measurements is $N-1$ $\mu(k, k_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} s(n - dk) s^*(n - p_i) e^{j2\pi(q_i - q)n/N}$. (3.20) small. That demands the use of

CS based reconstruction algorithms **since the number of possible target positions is $N \times N$** = 4
 $N^2 \gg N_A = N$. **The maximal absolute value $\mu(k, k_i)$, for $k \neq k_i$**

$\neq k_i$, is associated to the coherence index of the measurement matrix from (1.50), which, as mentioned, defines the condition for a unique signal reconstruction. The uniqueness condition, as seen in (1.52) is $K < (1 + 1/\mu)/2$. In the case when all samples are taken, the analysis of the maximal absolute value $\mu(k, k_i)$ for $q_i = q_k$ is reduced to the analysis of the AC function (3.8) $N^{-1} \mu(k, k_i) = s(n - dk)s^*(n - pi)$, for $q_i = q_k$. $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}$ (3.21) can indicate the quality of recovery we may expect from a certain sequence. The whole expression for $\mu(k, k_i)$ and $k \neq k_i$ reduces to the analysis of the ambiguity function (AF) [76] which is defined as $N^{-1} AF(n, r) = s(n + m)s^*(m)ej^{2\pi r m/N}$, (3.22) $m \sum_{m=0}^{N-1}$ for all n and r . It can be seen that (3.22) equals the AF

of the Rihaczek distribution of the sequence [5, 6]. Then, **the analysis of $\mu(k, k_i)$ reduces** 5
to the estimation of the maximum value of $|AF(n, r)|$ for $(n, r) \neq (0, 0)$. For $(n, r) = (0, 0)$,

the results is $AF(0, 0) = \mu(k, k) = 1$. This step will be important in the case of time-varying signals, which is discussed in Section 3.4. 3.1.2 Sequence forms and properties In the literature, only the basic signal processing forms are used, such as the LFM signal, for underwater transmission [70]. Instead of the basic forms, there are a vast of other sequence forms which can be used for the transmission in sonar systems. Some of them will be represented with their key properties and further examined for the usefulness in the transmission. Six of them are presented in the next definitions. Discrete-time sequence, of length N , is denoted by $s(n)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Definition 3.1 The Gaussian sequence is formed as $s(n) \sim \sqrt{1/N} \exp(j2\pi n^2/2N)$. (3.23) The Gaussian sequence is one of the most commonly used sequence forms, whose properties are well known in the literature. The auto-correlation (AC) of the Gaussian sequence is

$(n) = E\{s(n + m)s^*(m)\} = \delta(n - m)$. (3.24) **Note that the AC function takes an** 4

approximative form for finite-duration sequences. Definition 3.2 The binary Gaussian sequence is formed as [77] $s(n) \sim \sqrt{1/N} \text{sign}(\exp(j2\pi n^2/2N))$. (3.25) The signum of the Gaussian sequence is a simpler yet effective form of the Gaussian sequence, resulting in only the sign part of the measurement. It may be considered as a binary random sequence. Definition 3.3 The Alltop sequence is first presented in [63,67]. It is formulated in the form of $s(n) = \sqrt{1/N} \exp(j2\pi n^3/3N)$. (3.26) The property of this sequence is the small intensity of the side lobes in the auto-correlation function, which are in the order of $1/\sqrt{N}$. For the aperiodic AC function, the side lobes are approximately similar to $1/\sqrt{N}$ as well. Definition 3.4 The Bjorck sequence, for a prime number $N > 2$, $N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, is formulated as [78, 79] $s(n) = \sqrt{1/N} \exp(j \arccos(1 - 4n^2/N^2))$, (3.27) where

For each sequence, noise levels of SNR= 20, 5, 0 dB are considered. Case 4: Randomly positioned targets. The three most robust sequence from the previous cases, Alltop, maximum-length and Bjorck, are further analyzed. Consider that six real targets are positioned randomly in an area of interest. More false targets are arriving due to different reasons, making the reconstruction performance of the Bjorck sequence for different sparsity levels K, number of measurements taken NA and noise levels with SNRs= 20, 5 dB (upper row) and SNR=0 dB (lower row). area nonsparse by nature. Additionally, the environment is noisy the level of

SNR=10 dB. The noisy and nonsparse **interest** area **is** presented **in Fig. 3.7 (top** left). **The reconstruction using the Bjorck sequence**

4

is illustrated in Fig. 3.7 (top right). The reconstruction when M sequence and Alltop sequences are used are presented in Fig. 3.7 (bottom). Case 5: Real-world set-up. In Fig. 3.8 (top left) an underwater boat set-up is modeled. We assume the sparsity level is the number of target points needed to model the boat. Assume the number is K = 14, as counted in Fig. 3.8 (top). Since the number of points is high, the sequence of length N = 31 cannot be used. The next available sequence length, satisfying the conditions for all three considered sequences (Alltop, Bjorck and M sequence) is N = 127. Assume a noise level of

SNR=15 dB. The reconstruction when **the M sequence is** used is presented **in Fig.**

4

3.8 (top right). The reconstruction results with the Alltop and Bjorck sequences are shown in Fig. 3.8 (bottom). 3.3. Real-data reconstruction performance of the Alltop sequence for different sparsity levels K, number of measurements taken NA and noise levels with SNRs= 20, 5dB (upper row) and SNR=0dB (lower row). 3.3 Real-data reconstruction In this section, the challenge of the real data is analyzed. In summary of Section 3.2, considering all cases, the Bjorck sequence resulted in the best solution for further work. Therefore, it will be used for the next experiments. It is concluded that the Zadoff-Chu sequence, due to its quadratic nature, failed in the reception and reconstruction. Also, the Alltop and M sequence performed very good and gave similar results. Therefore, for the practicality in the implementation, the Alltop and Bjorck sequences will be used for the next experiments. An underwater experimental setup is created in the rooms of the GIPSA Laboratory at INP Grenoble. A water tank of 2 cubic meters was used for the experiment. An interferometer transducer is used for the transmission and reception of signals. The interferometer was supplied by the "ITER Systems" company from Annecy, France, with the operating frequency of

468 kHz, and **100 kHz bandwidth.** Note that **the sequences are modulated to** satisfy **the** operating frequency **range of the**

35

transducer. 15 15 20 20 10 50 10 20 50 2 0 50 95 5 5 95 50 2 0 5 2 0 95 9 20 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 100 100 15 80 10 60 20 40 5 2 0 50 50 95 20 95 20 40 60 80 100 100 80 60 40 20 Figure 3.6: Successful reconstruction performance of the M sequence for different sparsity levels K, number of measurements taken NA and noise levels with SNRs= 20, 5dB (upper row) and SNR=0dB (lower row). The transducer has one transmitter sensor and four receiver sensors. However, since the goal is

to examine the robustness of the CS theory to the real data, the

141

results will be analyzed from only one receiver. The transducer was fixed under the angle of 30o close to the water surface. The setup of the water tank, and the individual instruments used for the experiment are presented in Fig. 3.9. The block diagram followed for the experiment is illustrated in Fig. 3.10. According to the block diagram, the setup includes steps such as the interpolation, modulation, filtering, and power amplifying of the sequence. When the signal is received, the CS methods are applied. The target as in Fig. 3.9 (bottom left) was put at the tank floor. The position of the target to the transducer is illustrated in Fig. 3.11 and the real setup is shown in Fig. 3.9 (bottom right). The Alltop and the Bjorck sequences are transmitted, modulated and interpolated, as in Fig. 3.12. The received signals, when Alltop and Bjorck sequences are used, are shown in Fig. 3.13 (first two rows). For comparison, a chirp sequence as in [70] is transmitted also. The result, when the chirp sequence is used, is shown in Fig. 3.13 (third row). The reconstruction using the matched filter 3.4. Time-varying cross-range detection 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 Figure 3

.7: The reconstruction of a noisy nonsparse target area, with noise level of SNR=10dB and target points K

4

= 6: The nonsparse area of interest (top right); Reconstruction when Bjorck sequence is used (top right), when M sequence is used (bottom left), and

when Alltop sequence is used (top right). (MF) is shown in Fig. 3.13 (middle column). The reconstruction using the

35

iterative version of the OMP algorithm is shown in Fig. 3.13 (right column). 3.4 Time-varying cross-range detection In the examples considered in previous sections, the velocity is defined as constant, and therefore stationary. In more realistic cases, the cross-range (velocity) is varying and has to be detected so that the target can be successfully and truthfully found under this setup. If the cross-range parameter is misdetectd, the exact position and velocity of the target will not be estimated accurately, leading to an incorrect reconstruction. The time variations of target velocity can be written as $v + at$. Target velocity corresponds to the cross-range of the received signal. Having the received signal in the form $K r(n) = gki\phi ki$ (3.34) $\sum_{i=1}^{15} 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30 5 10 15 20 25 30$ Figure 3.8: The reconstruction of an underwater boat set-up, with noise level

of SNR=15dB and target points $K = 14$: The modelled area of interest (top right); Reconstruction when M sequence is used (top right), when Bjorck sequence is used (bottom left), and when Alltop sequence is used (top right). will have the basis functions as $\varphi_{ki}(n) = s(n - d_{ki}) \exp j\omega_{ki}n + j\alpha_{ki}n^2$. According to (3.5), the

basis function is $(\varphi_{ki}(n) = s(n - p) \exp j2\pi q n + j\alpha n^2)$. $n \in N$

5

for $(d_{ki}, \omega_{ki}) = (p, 2N\pi q)$. The elements of the measurement matrix are then $(a_{k,l}) = s(nl - d_{ki}) \exp j\omega_{ki}nl + j\alpha_{ki}n^2l$. (3.6)

3.4.1 Decomposition and reconstruction (3.35) (3.36) (3.37) The technique for decomposition of targets in sonar signals is inspired by the idea of decomposition of time-varying signals using the polynomial Fourier transform (PFT) in [85]. The estimation of the parameter corresponding to the cross-range in wideband 3.4. Time-varying cross-range detection Figure 3.9: Real water tank setup: Water tank (top left); Transducer (top right); The shape of the target (bottom left); Position of the target and transducer in the water (bottom right). Figure 3.10: General block diagram of the experimental setup. sonar signals is the aim of this analysis. When $\alpha_i = \alpha$, the CS reconstruction will be successful. The

parameter $\tilde{\alpha} \in a$ in $\mu(k, k_i)$ is varied until the signal is

5

maximally concentrated, i.e., $\tilde{\alpha} = \arg \max_{(\tilde{\alpha}, k)} |g_0(\tilde{\alpha}, k)|$. (3.38) The solution of (3.38) is when $\tilde{\alpha}$ is equal or close to the true value of

a . The set a represents the set of possible values for $\tilde{\alpha}$.

5

For more target points ($K > 1$), the procedure is as follows: Figure 3.11: Illustration of the water tank setup: the position of the target to the transducer, with an elevation angle of 30° . 30 20 10 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 10-5 80 60 40 20 0 0 1 2 3 4 5 10-5 Figure 3.12: Real transmitted sequence forms, interpolated and modulated to operate under the transducer characteristics: Alltop sequence (left), and Bjorck sequence (right) • The

set of possible parameters a is defined. • The initial estimate, $g_0(k)$, is calculated for each value $\tilde{\alpha} \in a$. • The parameter $\tilde{\alpha}$

5

is found in such a way that the initial estimate is concentrated the best by using (3.38). • The value of $\mu(k, k_i)$ is calculated using the determined parameter. • The first component of g_R is reconstructed with y and $\mu(k, k_i)$. • The reconstructed component is removed from the initial estimate, $g_0 - g_R$. • The previous steps are repeated with the

reconstructed component removed from y , until all the parameters are determined and all K elements are reconstructed.

5

* $h_2(n)$ (3.41) where '*' is the convolution of $s_r(n)$ with two transfer functions $h_1(n)$ and $h_2(n)$, coming from the two propagation paths, respectively. In general case, for two transfer functions, the received signal consists of two components. For $t_1 \approx t_2$, the received signal is a modulated version of the transmitted signal, i.e., $r(t) \approx 2A(t) \cos 2\pi c(t_1 - t_2)t + \phi_1 \cos 2\pi(\Omega t + ct_2)$. (3.42) A special case is when the received signal consists of two time-shifted versions of the transmitted signal $r(t) = s_r(t - t_1) + s_r(t - t_2)$. (3.43) The signal, with its corresponding spectrum, is presented in Fig. 3.16 (top). The received signal and its corresponding DFT domain, are shown in Fig. 3.16 (bottom). As seen, the two received components are closely positioned in both time and frequency. The aim is to separate them in order to successfully reconstruct the original (transmitted) signal. Note that the signal attenuation is neglected since our main interest is the signal form, which will make the calculation of the attenuation caused during the transmission easier.

3.5.2 High-resolution techniques

Recall the normalized STFT with a rectangular window of the width

$$N \text{ STFT}(\omega, n) = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} x(n+m) e^{-j2\pi n \omega} \quad (3.44)$$

where the vector notation of the basis functions and the signal are

$$\mathbf{a}(\omega) = [1, e^{-j\omega}, e^{-j2\omega}, \dots, e^{-j(N-1)\omega}]^T, \quad \mathbf{x}(n) = [x(n), x(n+1), \dots, x(n+N-1)]^T$$

Note that the value ω is introduced instead of $2N\pi k$ to increase the frequency axis density in order to achieve high resolution. Definition 3.7 The averaged Capon's STFT is defined as [91, 92] $SCAPON(n, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{a}^H(\omega) \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{-1} \mathbf{x}(n) \mathbf{a}(\omega)$ (3.45) Figure 3.16: Transmitted signal with its spectrum (top); The received signal when the sensors are misaligned (bottom). where $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{x}(n) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{x}(n) \mathbf{x}^H(n)$, (3.46)

is the autocorrelation matrix over N samples (ergodicity over N samples around n is assumed), which comes from the power of the signal in the STFT representation domain.

By the eigenvector decomposition, the autocorrelation matrix can be written as $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{x}(n) = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{x}(n) \mathbf{x}^H(n) = \mathbf{\Lambda}(n) \mathbf{V} \mathbf{H}(n)$, (3.47) where $\mathbf{\Lambda}(n)$ is the diagonal matrix with eigenvalues on its diagonals and $\mathbf{V}(n)$ is the matrix whose columns are eigenvectors of the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{x}(n)$. Definition 3.8 The averaged MUSIC STFT is defined as [93] $SMUSIC(n, \omega) = \mathbf{a}^H(\omega) \mathbf{V} \mathbf{S}_e \mathbf{H}(n) \mathbf{V}^H \mathbf{x}(n) \mathbf{a}(\omega)$ (3.48) where $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{S}_e$ is the eigenvector matrix with S_e eigenvectors with lowest S_e eigenvalues. For a signal with time-varying component, the local form of the PFT and corresponding STFT (local polynomial FT - LPFT) should be used with any of the high-resolution techniques [7]. Let us consider a signal with quadratic phase $x(n) = A e^{j(\alpha n^2 + \omega_0 n + \phi_0)}$. (3.49) As in the case of polynomial Fourier transform, the Capon high-resolution method can be further expanded to the LPFT by calculating the autocorrelation matrix with a

signal multiplied by an exponential factor $\exp(-j\alpha n^2)$, i.e. $x_a(n) = x(n) \exp(-j\alpha n^2)$

$nw)e^{-j\alpha n^2 w}$. (3.50) The parameter α is estimated as the maximal concentration value of $LPFT_{\alpha}(k, n) = aH(\omega)x_{\alpha}(n)$ (3.51) as $\alpha = \arg \max |LPFT_{\alpha}(\omega, n)|$. (3.52) For the optimization of the parameter, we can use the concentration measures such as $\alpha = \arg \min |LPFT_{\alpha}(\omega, n)|$. Since the LPFT is biased in amplitude when greatly concentrated, it

would not be appropriate to use it for the concentration comparison of different parameters α . Therefore, for the

comparison, the standard LPFT is used. The local AC function is calculated using a sliding window function with the optimally found parameter $\hat{R}^x(n, Nw, \alpha) = \frac{1}{Nw+1} \sum_{n=Nw/2}^{n+Nw/2} x(nw)x^*(n-Nw/2)$ where Nw is the

width of a symmetric sliding window. Definition 3.9 The optimal local Capon's representation is

defined by [7, 92] $LPFT_{CAPON}(n, \omega) = aH(k)\hat{R}^{-x}(n, Nw, \alpha)a(\omega)$. (3.53) (3.54) In the same way, the local representation of the MUSIC algorithm can be presented by using the eigenvectors of the autocorrelation function of the windowed signal $x(nw)$. 3.5.3 Examples Assume that a signal of the form (3.40) is transmitted, with frequency range between $f_{min} = 40$ Hz and $f_{max} = 98$ Hz, sampled at frequency $f_s = 1024$ Hz. The decomposition of the signal is performed and compared using the standard, Capon's and MUSIC spectrogram, together with their local forms. A rectangular window is used for the

analysis of local forms. The window is of length $Nw = 64$. For the MUSIC calculation, we have used the $Se = 100$ lowest eigenvectors for the STFT decomposition, and $Se = 2$ for each windowed function in the LPFT decomposition. The decomposition of the signal is presented in Fig. 3.17. An one time-instant of Fig. 3.17 is presented in Fig. 3.18, where it is visible that the two components can be separated using the local forms of Capon's and MUSIC high-resolution techniques. From Fig. 3.17, it can be seen that two components are successfully separated

by the local forms of the high-resolution techniques, i.e. Capon's and MUSIC, while other approaches result in a modulated single component signal. The local MUSIC representation shows the best result in the sense of distinguishing the two components. However, the local Capon's representation is much stronger and computationally more efficient for any further analysis.

Chapter 4 Decomposition in dispersive channels Contents

4.1 Shallow water theory and dispersive channels - background 70

4.1.1 Normal mode solution 73

4.2 4.3 Problem formulation - signal processing approach 76

Polynomial Fourier transform (PFT) 78

4.3.1 Local polynomial Fourier transform (LPFT) 78

4.4 Dual form of PFT (DPFT) 79

4.4.1 Sparsity in DPFT 80

4.4.2 Results

..... 81 4.5 Model-based decomposition 89 4.5.1 Results
 91 4.6 Comparison 93 The decomposition and reconstruction of signals transmitted through a dispersive channels are analyzed in this Chapter. Dispersive channels are characterized by multi- component and multi-phase signals, even when the transmitted signal is of a simple form. The problem of the decomposition and localization of signal component in dis- persive channels is an intensively studied research topic. The warping techniques have showed interesting and promising results in the decomposition and reconstruction of normal modes of the signal. The characterization of the signal propagating trough dis- persive channels was also analyzed in [94]. The problem of localization of these signal using the phase-continuity of the signals was studied in [95]. After the transmission through a dispersive environment, the signal consists of several components called modes. These modes are non-stationary due to frequency dependent properties of the media for signal propagation. Therefore, the standard Fourier transform is not suitable for the implementation on such signals. Since the frequency variations can be approximated by a polynomial function, the natural choice for the methods developed in this thesis is the polynomial Fourier transform (PFT). Since the number of important modes is small, the non-stationary signals in dispersive channels can be considered as

sparse in the PFT domain. The analysis **of** the sparse **signals in the PFT domain** 126

is quite specific since the transformation basis functions are not orthogonal [96]. After the PFT analysis, it has be found that the dual form of the PFT is a more appropriate domain for the analysis of the signal in dispersive channels. 69 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels This form of the PFT is examined and the sparsity property is employed reconstructing the signal with a small number of available samples. Since the dual PFT is only an approximation of the signal modes, the next step was to use the exact normal mode form as the sparsity domain and the domain of analysis of dispersive channel signals. Therefore, the second considered approach is based on the decomposition of the exact modes of the dispersive channel signals. The modal-function based decomposition is adapted and used in the analysis at last. 4.1 Shallow water theory and dispersive channels - background Shallow waters are of great research interest for many years [94, 97–108]. Typically, shallow waters are defined by the depth of the sea/ocean which is not greater than $D = 200$ meters. Also, signals traveling through water have a faster speed than signal traveling through air (where the speed is $c = 380\text{m/s}$). The exact speed of sound in water depends on many factors such as the salinity or the temperature of the water, but it can be generally approximated at $c = 1500\text{m/s}$. This, consequently, makes their wavelengths λ much shorter, usually $D \gg \lambda$, with D being the shallow water channel depth. The reason they attract the researches is the extremely complex analysis of such setups. The complexity of the problem depends on many factors, such as the volume and bottom properties. Further, the noise in shallow water occurs due to the many activities happening on the coastlines and surface of the sea, which causes cavitations in the sea itself. Thus, it can be concluded that shallow waters are more dispersive than deep waters. Dispersivity occurs in underwater channels due to the roughness of the bottom, the strength of the waves, the cavity level of the water and many other reasons. The main characteristics of dispersive channels is that they are frequency dependent. The frequency characteristics (phase and spectral content) change during the transmission of the signal. The propagation of sound in shallow water environment is mathematically repre- sented by the wave equations. For the analysis, let consider the wave equation of the displacement potential ψ in free space [99, 109] $\nabla^2\psi + 1 \partial\psi = 0, (4.1) c^2 \partial t^2$ where ∇ presents the

Laplacian operator for the considered coordinate system. If the Cartesian coordinate $r = (x, y, z)$ system is assumed, the Laplacian operator is defined by $\nabla^2\psi = \partial^2\psi/\partial x^2 + \partial^2\psi/\partial y^2 + \partial^2\psi/\partial z^2$. (4.2) Accordingly, for the cylindrical coordinates system, with coordinates (r, θ, z) , the Laplacian operator will be $\nabla^2 = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$. (4.3) It is usual in the theory that the displacement potential is not azimuth dependent, reducing the analysis from (r, θ, z) to (r, z) . If we assume a pressure term of a point source (for example, an underwater source, i.e., a target), the wave equation becomes inhomogeneous $\nabla^2\psi(r, t) - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2\psi(r, t)}{\partial t^2} = f(r, t)$, (4.4) where $f(r, t)$ presents the volume injection in coordinate system r at time t . Using the Fourier transform pair $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-j\omega t} dt = F(\omega)$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) e^{j\omega t} d\omega = f(t)$ we can get a frequency and space domain wave equation $\nabla^2\Psi(r, \omega) + c^2\Psi(r, \omega) = F(r, \omega)$, (4.5) where $\Psi(r, \omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $\psi(r, t)$ and $F(r, \omega)$ is the Fourier transform of $f(r, t)$.

is the Fourier transform of $f(r, t)$. Note that **the Fourier transform of** $\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2}(r, t)$ **is**

116

equal to $-\omega^2\Psi(r, \omega)$. Using the notation the Helmholtz equation $\nabla^2\Psi(r, \omega) + k^2\Psi(r, \omega) = F(r, \omega)$, (4.9) is obtained. As an example, we can consider a plane in the Cartesian coordinates along x -axis, which does not depend on the coordinates x and z , when the wave equation Eq. (4.9) with $F(r, \omega) = 0$, assumes the form $\frac{\partial^2\Psi(x, \omega)}{\partial x^2} + k^2\Psi(x, \omega) = 0$. (4.10) It results in the solution $\Psi(x, \omega) = Ae^{jkx} + Be^{-jkx}$ (4.11) where $k = \omega/c$ is the wave vector as in Eq. (4.8). When $B = 0$, the wave is propagating directly in direction of r . When $A = 0$, the wave propagates against the direction r [99, 109]. In the cylindrical case, if we assume that only the range r changes, the homogeneous wave equation reduces to $\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \Psi(r, \omega) + k^2\Psi(r, \omega) = 0$ resulting in Bessel functions $\Psi(r, \omega) = AJ_0(kr) + BY_0(kr)$. The result can be related to the Hankel functions as $\Psi(r, \omega) = CH_0^{(1)}(kr) + DH_0^{(2)}(kr) = C[J_0(kr) + jY_0(kr)] + D[J_0(kr) - jY_0(kr)]$, (4.12) (4.13) (4.14) (4.15) where $H_0^{(1)}(kr) \approx 2 \frac{e^{j(kr - \pi/4)}}{\sqrt{\pi kr}}$ (4.16) and $H_0^{(2)}(kr) \approx 2 \frac{e^{-j(kr - \pi/4)}}{\sqrt{\pi kr}}$. (4.17) These results can be approximated as e^{jkr} and e^{-jkr} . $\Psi(r, \omega) = A e^{jkr} + B e^{-jkr}$. (4.18) Assuming only direct wave (when $B = 0$), we can write that $\Psi(r, \omega) = A e^{jkr}$, (4.19) and, by using the derivation of the surface displacement [99], calculate that $\Psi(r, \omega) = -S_w \frac{4\pi r}{c} e^{jkr}$, (4.20) where S_w is the strength of the source. Note that $g_w(r, 0) = 4\pi r e^{jkr}$ (4.21) is the definition of the Green's function. For a source at $rt = (r_t, z_t)$, the general Green's function is defined by $e^{jk|r - r_t|} g_w(r, r_t) = 4\pi|r - r_t|$. (4.22)

4.1. Shallow water theory and dispersive channels - background

4.1.1 Normal mode solution In the underwater acoustics, there are four main methods of deriving the solution for a wave equation: fast field program, normal modes, ray theory, and the parabolic equation model [99, 104]. In this thesis, normal mode solution will be analyzed, as one of the most widely used solutions in underwater acoustics. It is based on solving depth-dependent equations using the method of variable separation. The general model of the environment is presented in Fig. 4.1. The boundary of the bottom depends on the nature of the ocean, such as the roughness, depending on the weather conditions and different environment in the ocean itself. This will introduce more layers of the seabed. Also, the scattering of the transmitted signal can cause a non-ideal environment for the analysis. The isovelocity waveguide model, which is presented in Fig. 4.2, characterizes a rigid boundary of the seabed. This yields to an ideally spread velocity of c . All channel models are based on the fact that the structure of the channel is a waveguide, with multiple normal-modes received, representing delayed versions of the transmitted signal. The goal is to estimate and decompose the received signal, by finding each mode separately. Figure 4.1: The general model of a shallow water environment [106]. The one-point received

pressure field y from a point source located at depth z_t and range $r = 0$ is defined by the 104

Helmholtz equation $\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial z^2} = -\omega^2 \delta(r) \delta(z - z_t)$ (4.23) Using the method of variable separation, we can write the pressure as product of two functions one dependent on range r and another one dependent on depth z $y(r, z) = Q(r)G(z)$. (4.24) By substituting this form into Eq. (4.23) and considering only its homogeneous part, we get $\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dQ}{dr} \right) + \rho(z) \frac{d^2 G}{dz^2} - \omega^2 Q(r)G(z) = 0$. (4.25) Figure 4.2: The isovelocity model of a shallow water environment [106]. Note that this equation has two terms $\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dQ}{dr} \right)$ and $G \frac{d^2 \rho(z)}{dz^2} + c^2(z)G$. The first term is a function of coordinate r (only), while the second term is a function of coordinate z only. Their sum can be zero only if both of them are constant and do not depend on r and z . This constant is called the separation constant and denoted by $k^2 m$, where m presents the mode index. Now, by equating the second part of the last wave equation with this constant $k^2 m$ we get $\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dQ}{dr} \right) - k^2 m Q(r) = 0$ (4.26) or $\rho(z) \frac{d^2 G}{dz^2} + [c^2(z) - k^2 m] G(z) = 0$. (4.27) Note that $G(0) = 0$ and $\frac{dG}{dz} \Big|_{z=D} = 0$, where D is the ideal rigid bottom. It is interesting to note that the modal equation is a Sturm-Liouville problem [110] whose properties are well-studied. The modes are orthogonal and the pressure function can be written as their sum $y(r, z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q_m(r)G_m(z)$. (4.28) The modal equation, for this sum of the modes, can be written as $\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dQ}{dr} \right) - k^2 m Q(r) = -\delta(r) \delta(z - z_t)$ (4.29) By multiplying this equation with $G_n(z)$ and using the property that the modes are normal for the considered interval of z , the following equation is obtained $\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r \frac{dQ_n}{dr} \right) + k^2 r(n, \omega) Q_n(r) = -\delta(r) \delta(z - z_t)$ (4.30) 4.1. Shallow water theory and dispersive channels - background Its solution is given by the Hankel function $Q_n(r) = j 4 \rho(z_t) G_n(z_t) H_0^{(1)}(k r(n, \omega) r)$. (4.31) Ignoring the time dependence for now, we can conclude that $y(r, z) = 4 \rho(z_t) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m(z_t) G_m(z) H_0^{(1)}(k r(m, \omega) r)$. (4.32) By approximating the Hankel function, the final value for pressure will be $y(r, z) \approx j \sqrt{e^{-j\pi/4}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m(z_t) G_m(z) e^{j k r(m, \omega) r}$. (4.33) In terms of signal processing [105, 106], considering the time dependence of the solution, with a source pressure field $x(t)$, the normal-mode solution to the Helmholtz equation in Eq. (4.23) can be rewritten for the pressure release as $\nabla^2 y(r, z, t) = -x(t) \delta(r) \delta(z - z_t)$ (4.34)

$\nabla^2 y(r, z, t) = -x(t) \delta(r) \delta(z - z_t)$ (4.34) 134

When the range and the depth parameters are known, the acoustic pressure of the received signal can be reduced to $y(t)$. Following the approximation of the Hankel function to the received pressure in Eq. (4.33), the corresponding FT is $Y(\omega) = X(\omega) C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{j k r(m, \omega) r} \rho(z_t) G_m(z_t) G_m(z)$. (4.35) where the constant C is $C = \sqrt{e^{-j\pi/4}} j \sqrt{4 \rho(z_t) \delta(z - z_t)}$ (4.36) and the frequency-dependent shape function $C_m(\omega)$ is $C_m(\omega) = G_m(z_t) G_m(z)$. (4.37) since $G_m(z_t), G_m(z)$ are dependent on ω . In the isovelocity case, the general solution is $G_m(z) = A \sin(k_z z) + B \cos(k_z z)$, (4.38) where $k_z = \omega^2 c^2 - k^2 r$, (4.39) is the vertical wavenumber. The aim of this thesis is to introduce a novel approach of decomposition, reconstruction and analysis of the modes using techniques of compressive sensing, described in Chapter 2. 4.2 Problem formulation - signal processing approach For the practical setup, it is assumed that the transmitter is placed in water at the depth z_t . This wave is assumed to be transmitted through an isovelocity channel

as in [94, 95, 97, 101–103]. The setup is presented in Fig. 4.3. The receiver is placed at z_r meters in water. The value r presents the distance from the transmitter to the receiver. Considering the received spectrum Eq. (4.35), the transfer function of the channel in the normal-mode case is $H(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m(z_t)G_m(z_r) \exp(jkr(m, \omega)r) \exp(-jkr(m, \omega)r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_t(m, \omega) \exp(jkr(m, \omega)r) \exp(-jkr(m, \omega)r)$, (4.40) where $G_m(z_t)$ is the transmit modal function of the m -th mode and $G_m(z_r)$ is the modal function of the m -th mode corresponding to the receiver [95,105,111]. The rate of attenuation is $A_t(m, \omega) = A(m, \omega)/r$. The multi-component structure of the transfer function depends on the number of modes. Note that the dispersive characteristic of the signal depends on the wavenumbers $k_r(m, \omega) = \omega^2/c^2 - (m - 0.5)\pi^2/D^2$. (4.41) The speed of sound in underwater communications is $c = 1500$ m/s. The response to a monochromatic signal, $s(n) = \exp(j\omega_0 n)$ (4.42) at the m -th mode, is $s_m(n) \approx A_t(m, \omega_0) \exp(j\omega_0 n - jk_r(m, \omega_0)r)$. (4.43) Figure 4.3: The isovelocity setup under water with depth D . The transmitter is located at position z_t , the receiver is positioned at z_r , with the transmitter-receiver range r [95]. The phase velocity of this signal is $v_m = \omega/k_r(m, \omega) = \omega / \sqrt{\omega^2/c^2 - (m - 0.5)\pi^2/D^2}$, (4.44) and the horizontal velocity of the corresponding phase in the representation of the m -th mode. The group velocity represents the energy propagation of the component of the signal. Considering the time dependence of the signal, $y(t) = \int Y(\omega) e^{-j[\omega t - k_r(m, \omega)r]} d\omega$, (4.45) and the fact that the phase must stay the same in order to have the signal remain unchanged through the whole time interval, the group velocity is defined as $u_m = dt/dk_r(m, \omega) = 1 / dk_r(m, \omega)/d\omega = 1 / \omega \sqrt{\omega^2/c^2 - (m - 0.5)\pi^2/D^2}$. (4.46) Since the received signal can be written in the Fourier transform domain as $X(\omega) = S$

$(\omega)H(\omega)$, (4.47) where $H(\omega)$ is the transfer function of the channel in the

138

normal-mode form and $S(\omega)$ is the transmitted signal Fourier transform, within signal processing framework the time-domain form of the received signal is then equal to the

convolution of the transmitted signal and the impulse response of

11

(4.40), that is

$x(n) = s(n) * h(n)$, (4.48) where $h(n)$ is the impulse response of

6

(4.40). Amplitude of the first four modes of the impulse response of a dispersive channel environment and its ideal time-frequency representation is calculated and shown in Fig. 4.4. Our first goal is to present efficient tools for decomposition of mode functions. This will help to easier detect and estimate the signal parameters. The detection approaches related to this kind of problems will be introduced in the next sections. 150 100 50 0 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1 450 400 350 300 250 200 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9 2 Figure 4.4: The ideal response of the four considered modes. 4.3 Polynomial Fourier transform (PFT) The standard Fourier transform is spread in the frequency domain for the signal with polynomial phase function. The idea behind the polynomial Fourier transform (PFT) is to introduce a polynomial function in the Fourier transform whose adjustment would improve a polynomial phase signal concentration in the

frequency domain. Let assume a polynomial phase signal (PPS). The signal is of order P , presented in the form of $x(n) = \sum_{l=1}^P A_l e^{j2N\pi l n}$. (4.49) The standard

Fourier transform of $x(n)$ is $\sum X(k) = \sum x(n)e^{-j2N\pi kn}$, (4.50)

157

would contain all frequencies defined by the instantaneous frequency variations of the polynomial phase signal.

Definition 4.1 The PFT is defined starting from DFT and introducing an additional polynomial phase parameters [112–114] $X_{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_P}(k) = \sum_n x(n)e^{-j2N\pi(\alpha_2 n^2 + \alpha_3 n^3 + \dots + \alpha_P n^P)} e^{-j2N\pi kn}$. (4.51) \sum_n The PFT parameters are denoted by $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_P$. The aim is to estimate the parameters $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_P$ when the transformation of the signal is largely concentrated. The signal components can be extracted and localized following this procedure [7, 85]. When the largest component of the transform is found, the

signal will be maximally **concentrated in the PFT** representation **domain**. That is, **when** **the**

5

PFT signal is best concentrated, we can find optimal PFT parameters as $(\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\alpha}_3, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_P) = \arg \max |X_{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P}(k)|$. $(k, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P)$ (4.52) to achieve the maximum sparsity. In the ideal scenario, the PFT of $x(n)$ will have the highest concentration when $(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P) = (\hat{\alpha}_2, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_P)$. In reality, the goal is to calculate the values to be as close as possible to the ideal parameters, i.e., $\alpha_2 \approx \hat{\alpha}_2, \dots, \alpha_P \approx \hat{\alpha}_P$. 4.3.1 Local polynomial Fourier transform (LPFT) For time-varying signals, when the parameters may change in time, a localized version of the PFT is introduced in the same way

as the STFT **is defined** by **using the Fourier transform**. Spectral localization **of the**

160

signal is achieved applying a window and calculating the PFT of the windowed signal to get the local PFT (LPFT). 4.4.

Dual form of PFT (DPFT) Definition 4.2 The LPFT is defined as [7, 115] $X_{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_P}(k, n) =$

$\sum_m x(n+m)w(nm)e^{-j2N\pi(kn + \alpha_2 n^2 + \dots + \alpha_P n^P)}$. \sum_m where $w(nm)$ is the window function for the localized signal analysis.

In the same way as for the PFT, the maximum of LPFT is achieved when $(\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\alpha}_3, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_P) = \arg \max |X_{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P}(k, n)|$, $(k, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P)$ (4.53) (4.54) where $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_P$ are the parameters that can now be adapted for each considered instant n .

However, in order to simplify the notation we will not use argument n in the parameters in this case. 4.4 Dual form of

PFT (DPFT) The dual form of PFT (DPFT) is introduced as a more suitable representation for the decomposition of signals when their spectral content is localized within a short time-interval, while the changes of their spectral content are significant. As it is the case for both PFT and LPFT, the idea is to estimate the parameters where the maximal

concentration of the DPFT is calculated. The signal model is a polynomial-phase in the frequency domain, $X(k) =$

$Ae^{-j2N\pi \sum_{l=1}^P b_l k^l}$. \sum The discrete DPFT will then be $x_{\beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_P}(n) = \sum_k X(k)e^{j2N\pi(nk + \beta_2 k^2 + \dots + \beta_P k^P)}$. \sum_k The maximum of

DPFT is achieved when $(\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \dots, \hat{b}_P) = \arg \max |x_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_P}(n)|$. $(n, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_P)$ (4.55) (4.56) (4.57) The highest

concentration is calculated when the estimated values are equal to the true ones, i.e., $(\beta_2, \dots, \beta_P) = (b_2, \dots, b_P)$. For a

successful decomposition, the parameters should be estimated such that $\hat{b}_2 \approx b_2, \dots, \hat{b}_P \approx b_P$. Note that a local

version of the DPFT may be used for the analysis of more complex time-varying signals. The local DPFT uses a window in the frequency domain $W(k)$ and it is defined as $x_{\beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_P}(n, k) = W(l)X(k+l)e^{j2N\pi(nl + \beta_2 l^2 + \dots + \beta_P l^P)}$. (4.58)

4.4.1 Sparsity in DPFT Signals with a small number of polynomial phase components, considered in the previous section, may be considered as sparse in the DPFT. These signals can be efficiently decomposed and analyzed using the compressive sensing methods. Note that the CS approach can be applied even in the cases when not all signal samples in the Fourier transform are available, allowing application in denoising of acoustic signals corrupted with high sinusoidal interferences (clutter). These frequency samples are removed, declared as unavailable, and the signal is reconstructed using the undisturbed frequency values, as it will be shown in the examples. Consider that the Fourier transform of a signal X has a reduced number of available samples, for example, due to denoising procedure on harmonic disturbances. Let consider the PPS from (4.55) $X(k) = A e^{-j2N\pi \sum_{l=1}^P b_l k^l} = A e^{-j2N\pi (b_1 k + b_2 k^2 + \dots + b_P k^P)}$ (4.59) and its samples at $k \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{N_A}\} = N_A$. The initial estimate of the P-order DPFT of a signal whose Fourier transform is $X(k)$, using a reduced set of its samples, is $x_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_P}(n) = X(k) e^{j2N\pi (nk + \beta_2 k^2 + \dots + \beta_P k^P)}$ (4.60) $k \in N_A$. Assume that the parameters $\beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_P$ are correctly estimated, so that the DPFT achieves the maximum concentration. The DPFT of a single-component signal is then $x_{\beta_2, \dots, \beta_P}(n) = A e^{j2N\pi k(n - b_1)} = A \delta(n - b_1)$. (4.61) \sum_k Having only one component, with the rest of the spectrum being zero-valued, we can conclude that it is sparse. In the multicomponent signals case $M X(k) = A_m e^{-j(b_{1m} k + b_{2m} k^2 + \dots + b_{Pm} k^P)}$, (4.62) $m = 1, \dots, M$ set of parameters is iteratively estimated separately for each component individually.

Without loss of generality, we consider that the component amplitudes are decreasing, i.e. 51

$A_1 > A_2 > \dots > A_M$. The first component is matched with $(\beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{P1}) = (b_{21}, \dots, b_{P1})$. (4.63) After the first match, other components are considered as insignificant. The measurements matrix is found from (4.60) assuming only the available samples at $k \in N_A$. The relation for various values of n is $x_{\beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{P1}}(n_1) X(k_1) \dots x_{\beta_{22}, \dots, \beta_{P2}}(n_2) \dots x_{\beta_{2K}, \dots, \beta_{PK}}(n_K) \dots x(k_{N_A})$ (4.64)

where the matrix A_K is defined by $e^{-j2N\pi (n_1 k_1 + \phi_1)} \dots e^{-j2N\pi (n_K k_{N_A} + \phi_{N_A})}$ with $\phi_i = k_i b_{21} + \dots + k_i^P b_P$ 21

$A_K = [\dots | e^{-j2N\pi (n_1 k_{N_A} + \phi_{N_A})} \dots e^{-j2N\pi (n_K k_{N_A} + \phi_{N_A})}]$ with $\phi_i = k_i b_{21} + \dots + k_i^P b_P$ (4.65) (4.66) for $i = 1, \dots, N_A$. Using the available coefficients of $X(k)$, $k \in N_A$, the nonzero values in time $[x_{\beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{P1}}(n_1), x_{\beta_{22}, \dots, \beta_{P2}}(n_2), \dots, x_{\beta_{2K}, \dots, \beta_{PK}}(n_K)]$ are reconstructed using the CS algorithm from Section 1.3.1. The first component is calculated as $x_1 = (A_H^T A_1)^{-1} A_H^T y$. (4.67) When the first DPFT component at n_1 is recovered, the remaining coefficients of $X(k)$ are estimated for the first element. Then, the first component is deleted from the set of available measurements and the algorithm is repeated for the next coefficient. After its parameters are found and denoted by $(\beta_{22}, \dots, \beta_{P2}) = (b_{22}, \dots, b_{P2})$, both the first and second component are reconstructed simultaneously. The components are reconstructed using $(\beta_{21}, \dots, \beta_{P1}) = (b_{21}, \dots, b_{P1})$, and $(\beta_{22}, \dots, \beta_{P2}) = (b_{22}, \dots, b_{P2})$ (4.68) and the components are removed for the further estimation of the remaining components. The procedure is repeated for all n_i . Note that, if the DPFT values are off-grid, we may use few samples around the position n_i for a more accurate

reconstruction. The stopping criterion of the reconstruction is defined by the desired error rate. The results of the decomposition are single components of a non-stationary signal. The analysis of the signal will be done in the frequency domain, using the dual version of the STFT, since all examined modes are spread over a broad spectrum of frequencies. The dual STFT is defined by $N_s/2-1$ STFTD(k, n) = $X(p-k)W(p)e^{jN_2\pi s pn}$. $p = \sum -N_s/2$ where N_s is the length of the window in the frequency domain. Following the form of the S-method (1.19), the dual S-method is then L $SMD(k, n) = STFTD(k, n+i)STFTD^*(k, n-i)$. $i = \sum -L$

4.4.2 Results (4.69) (4.70) Three examples, showing the effectiveness of the proposed method, are presented. The algorithm used for the reconstruction is the OMP algorithm explained in Section 2.1. Example 1: Ideal polynomial phase signal with sinusoidal disturbances. Let consider the case when the polynomial phase structure of signal is fully satisfied (4.55). Assume that the received signal consists of four components (modes).

$$X(k) = X_1(k) + X_2(k) + X_3(k) + X_4(k),$$

150

(4.71) where $X_1(k) = e^{j2N\pi(150k+0.06k^2)}$, $X_2(k) = e^{j2N\pi(180k+0.015k^2+0.00009k^3)}$, $X_3(k) = e^{j2N\pi(300k+0.00008k^3)}$, $X_4(k) = e^{j2N\pi(480k+0.035k^2+0.0001k^3)}$. The frequency index range is $k = 0, \dots, N-1$ with $N = 1024$. The time domain of the signal is presented in Fig. 4.5 (top left). The corresponding frequency domain of the signal (4.71), is shown in Fig. 4.5 (top right). Assume that $N_Q = 256$ of samples in the frequency domain are corrupted by strong sinusoids, resulting in the signal $N_Q x_d(n) = x(n) + Bie^{j(\omega ln + \psi l)}$. (4.72) $\sum l=1$ Time and frequency domains of the corrupted signal are illustrated in Fig. 4.5 (middle). The first goal is to detect and remove the strong periodic disturbances from the signal. In order to filter the signal, a simple notch filter is used to set to zero the disturbed components (i.e., hard thresholding). The filtered

signal, in time and frequency domain, is illustrated in Fig. 4.5 (bottom). The decomposition is performed using the

117

DPFT according to the definition (4.60), assuming the third-order DPFT. The parameter β_2 is varied between -0.2 to 0.2 and β_3 between -0.3 to 0.3 . The parameter values where the DPFT gives the best concentration for each mode are detected in an iterative way. When the first set of parameters β_2, β_3 is found, the

peak in the DPFT corresponds to a single component with these parameters. The component can be dismissed from the DPFT and

6

the estimation of the remaining components is continued. The DPFT decomposition of the four modes is shown in Fig. 4.6, with the estimated β_2, β_3 presented in Table 4.1. Table 4.1: Parameters β_2, β_3 for each mode corresponding to the DPFT where the maximal concentration is achieved in the ideal case. Parameters/Mode 1 2 3 4 β_2 0.1232 0.1888 0.0600 0.1536 β_3 0.0132 0.0168 0.0000 0.0144 For the TF representation, we have used the S-method

with $L = 31$ and Hanning window of length $N_w = 256$. The S-method of the received signal

38

is shown in Fig. 4.7. Figure 4.5: Ideal case scenario: Time domain received signal (left); received signal

in the frequency domain (right): the received signal without disturbance (top), the received signal with disturbance (middle), the signal with filtered disturbances (bottom).

99

(top left). The decomposition of the four reconstructed components in the S-method representation is presented the next four subplots of

Fig. 4.7. The sum of the normalized representations of the four modes is presented in Fig. 4.7 (bottom right). For the comparison, the original (without noise) and the reconstructed signal in time-domain are shown in Fig. 4.8. Example 2:

6

Decomposition of a simulated acoustic signal. The acoustic signal, interpreted in Section 4.2. will be used for the decomposition. Note that this signal is not characterized by the ideal polynomial phase structure, but rather it can be approximated by a polynomial phase signal. Figure 4.6: Decomposition of the components using DPFT in the ideal case. A simple one-component LFM as in (4.42) is transmitted over a dispersive media. The dispersive channel consists of $M = 4$ modes. The received signal is of form (4.48). It depends on (4.40) and (4.41). The amplitude attenuates by $A_m =$

$(6 - m)W(f)$, where $W(f)$ is the frequency response of the Hanning window

21

of length $N_w = 256$. The depth of the dispersive channel is assumed to be

$D = 20$ meters. The distance between the transmitter and receiver is $r = 2350$ meters. The frequency range is

6

$f_{\min} = 195$ Hz and $f_{\max} = 430$ Hz. The received signal is presented in Fig. 4.9 (top left). The DPFT of the third order is used for the analysis, and the parameters β_2, β_3 are varied between -0.2 to 0.2 and -0.3 to 0.3 , respectively. The

estimated parameters are presented in the Table 4.2. The DPFT mode decomposition is illustrated in Fig. 4.9. Table 4.2:

Parameters corresponding to the maximal DPFT values for each mode in the 11

simulated acoustic case without disturbances. Parameters/Mode 1 2 3 4 β_2 -0.0380 -0.0400 0.1780 0.1240 β_3 -0.0180 -0.0420 -0.1530 -0.2340 The

Hanning window of size $N_w = 512$ **is used for the dual STFT,** while for **the** dual S **-** 6
method

$L = 63$ is used. The S

-method of the received modes **is shown in Fig. 4.** 10 (top left). **The sum of** the four 6
normalized component **representations**

is presented in Fig. 4.10 (bottom right). The S-method decomposition of the four modes, obtained 200 400 600 50 100 150 200 250 200 400 600 50 100 150 200 250 200 400 600 50 100 150 200 250 200 400 600 50 100 150 200 250 200 400 600 50 100 150 200 250 Figure 4.7: S-method decomposition of the components in the ideal case. 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Figure 4.8: Comparison of the signals in the ideal case: The original signal (left); the reconstructed signal (right). 6000 4000 2000 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 6000 4000 2000 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1500 1000 500 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 8000 6000 4000 2000 0 4000 0 200 400 600 800 1000 3000 2000 1000 0 6000 0 200 400 600 800 1000 4000 2000 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Figure 4.9: Time-domain mode decomposition: Received signal (top left); Sum of the reconstructed modes (bottom right); Optimal DPFT estimation for each mode separately (remaining subplots). Red circles - samples related to the corresponding mode. by the DPFT before the CS theory, is presented in the remaining subplots of Fig. 4.10. Example 3: Acoustic signal with strong disturbances. Assume the signal Example 2, affected by high sinusoidal interferences according to (4.72). Assume the case same as in previous example, with $A_m = 1$. The

received signal without interferences **is** illustrated **in Fig.** 4.11 **(top). It is assumed that** 6
the received signal has high-impulse interferences **in** the **frequency domain in** 25% of **the**

spectrum. The corrupted received signal is shown in Fig. 4.11 (middle). As in Example 1, the affected components are removed using hard thresholding, and the corrupted spectral sampled are considered as not available. Time and frequency 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 350 400 450 500 300 350 400

450 500 Figure 4.10: S-method of the decomposed modes and sum of the normalized representations of all modes in the simulated acoustic case without disturbances

domains of the filtered **received signal are** presented **in Fig. 4.11 (bottom). After** 6
 filtering, **the**

estimation of the parameters is achieved using a third-order DPFT, illustrated in Fig. 4.12. The parameters β_2 and β_3 are varied within the range -0.7 to 0.7 . The estimated DPFT parameters β_2 , β_3 can be found in Table 4.3. The S-method of whole signal and individual modes given in Fig. 4.13. The comparison between the received signal, when no noise is present, and the final reconstructed signal are presented in Fig. 4.14. It can be concluded that it is possible to decompose and recover original values of the acoustic samples using the CS techniques for reconstruction of reduced set of Table 4.3:

Parameters corresponding to the maximal DPFT **values for each mode in the** 11

acoustic simulated case with disturbances. Parameters/Mode 1 2 3 4 β_2 0.2576 0.3556 0.5712 0.1288 β_3 -0.1764 -0.1232 -0.3584 -0.0812 1500 6 104 1000 4 500 2 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 6000 104 10 4000 2000 5 0 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1500 6 104 1000 4 500 2 0 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Figure 4.11: Simulated acoustic signal with disturbances: Signals

in the time domain (left); Signals **in the frequency domain (right):** Received signal **without** 6
 disturbances **(top),** received signal **with** disturbances **(middle), filtered** received **signal**
(bottom).

4.5. Model-based decomposition 1500 1000 500 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1500 1000 500 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1500 1000 500 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1500 1000 500 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Figure 4.12: Decomposition of the components using DPFT in the acoustic simulated case with disturbances. samples in the frequency domain. It is seen that the obtained results are similar to the results obtained in Example 2, i.e., when the signal without interferences is examined. 4.5 Model-based decomposition In the previous section, the decomposition of the signal is performed by varying DPFT parameters.

In this section, we will **use the** idea **to** vary **the** parameters **of** the modal 137
functions as **the**

decomposition functions instead of the polynomial phase model of the signal. Since the components take the form of modal functions in the considered acoustic signal propagation case, we take the channel depth D_m and the range r as the parameters that are being estimated, instead of the polynomial coefficients β_2, β_3 . The goal is to vary the parameters of the transfer function model in the way we would vary the frequency parameters in the DPFT. Taking into account the FT of the discrete received signal $X(f)$ and the wavenumbers $kr(m, f)$ as in Eq. (4.41), instead of the DPFT, given by M

$$X(k) = A e^{-j(b_1 m k + b_2 m k^2 + b_3 m k^3)}, \quad (4.73) \quad m \sum_{=1}^{350} 400 \ 450 \ 500 \ 300 \ 350 \ 400 \ 450 \ 500 \ 550 \ 350 \ 400 \ 450 \ 500 \ 300 \ 350$$

400 450 500 550 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 550 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 550 350 400 450 500 300 350 400 450 500 550 Figure 4.13: S-method decomposition of the components in the acoustic simulated case with disturbances. the received signal will be then decomposed using its normal mode form $K X(k) = A(m) e^{jkr(m,k)r}$, (4.74) where $m \sum_{=1}^{1500} kr(m, k) = n 2 \Delta \pi k t c - (m - 0.5) \pi / D_m 2$. (4.75) The speed and the frequency range in which the underwater acoustic system operates are defined a priori. The values β_2 and β_3 are varied within the expected range in 4.5. Model-based decomposition 1500 1500 1000 1000 500 500 0 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Figure 4.14: Comparison of the signals in the acoustic simulated case with disturbances: The original signal (left) and the reconstructed signal (right). the transform $x_{m, \beta_2, \beta_3}(n) = X(k) e^{-j(n 2 \Delta \pi k t c - (m - 0.5) \pi / \beta_3) \beta_2 e^{-j 2 \pi n k / N}}$ (4.76) $\sum_k () ()$ If the parameters β_2, β_3 are correctly estimated, $\beta_2 = r$ and $\beta_3 = D_m$ then this new representation $x_{\beta_2, \beta_3}(n)$ will achieve maximum concentration. Therefore, the representation with the highest concentration produces estimate of the parameters r and D_m $(\hat{r}, \hat{D}_m) = \arg \max |x_{m, \beta_2, \beta_3}(n)|$ (4.77) (β_2, β_3) when these values are close to the true ones, i.e. $\hat{D}_m \approx D_m$ and $\hat{r} \approx r$. As is in the case of the DPFT, when the strongest component is detected, it is removed and the next mode parameters are detected. This procedure is continued until the remaining components are negligible. 4.5.1 Results To illustrate the decomposition and reconstruction, let consider the ideal case as from Section 4.2., with the frequency range between $f_{min} = 320$ Hz and $f_{max} = 570$ Hz. The distance between the transmitter and receiver r and the true channel depth D will remain the same. These two parameters are considered as unknown and further estimated. The transmitted signal is considered to be a pulse with a short interval, close to a delta function, whose spectrum is then equal to 1, i.e. $U(f) = 1$. The received signal is of form Eq. (4.48), which will result in $X(f) = H(f)$. Variables D and r are arbitrarily varied. The value for depth D is varied in the range between 0 to 100. The distance value r is varied in the range between 1000 to 3000. It has been calculated that the maximal values are found at the position $D = 20.0357$ m and $r = 2350$ m. The decomposition of each component is shown in Fig. 150 100 50 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 150 100 50 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 150 100 50 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 150 100 50 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 Figure 4.15: Decomposed modes in the time domain using the model-based technique 4.15. The sum of the received coefficients and the sum of reconstructed components are shown in Fig. 4.16. 150 100 50 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 150 100 50 0 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 Figure 4.16: Sum of the components: received (left); reconstructed (right) The decomposition results will be analyzed in the frequency domain using the dual S-method from Eq. (4.70). A Hanning of size $N_w = 63$ is used as the window. The dual S-method representation of a sum of the four received modes is shown Fig. 4.17 (top left).

Sum of the decomposed components and the amplitudes of individual components are given in Fig. 6

4.17 (bottom right), with the decomposition of each mode individually in the other four subplots of Fig. 4.17. 4.6. Comparison 200 250 300 350 400 450 260 280 300 320 340 360 200 250 300 350 400 450 260 280 300 320 340 360 200 250 300 350 400 450 260 280 300 320 340 360 200 250 300 350 400 450 260 280 300 320 340 360 Figure 4.17: S-method decomposition of the components when model-based decomposition is used. 4.6 Comparison The mean squared error (MSE) in the decomposition is calculated as $e = 10 \log$

$$\sum_{k,n} |SMDR(k, n) - SMDm(k, n)|^2 = \sum_{k,n} |SMDR(k, n) - SMDm(k, n)|^2 \quad (4.78)$$

where $SMDR(k, n)$ and $SMDm(k, n)$ are the sum of received dual S-method components of modes of the received signal after the decomposition. The errors in dB are shown in Table 4.4. Table 4.4: Error in the form of MSE in dB for the examples considered Case MSE [dB] Ideal-case DPFT Simulated acoustic signal DPFT Simulated acoustic signal with disturbance DPFT Model-based technique -12.6198 -10.1590 -7.9361 -30.5013 The MSE value of the model-based technique gives the best results in terms of error, which is expected due to its specific (i.e., not generalized) nature to find the exact values of parameters. The method is not sensitive to noise until the threshold for the detection is reached, i.e. when the input SNR is approximately -5 dB. When the threshold is reached, the error sharply increases, since some modes are not detected. Chapter 5 Compressive sensing in image denoising Contents 5.1 Problem formulation 96 5.2 Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm 97 5.2.1 Algorithm 97 5.2.2 Corrupted pixel selection procedure 98 5.2.3 Pixel selection and reconstruction 98 5.2.4 Results 99 5.3 Error calculation in nonsparse images 102 5.3.1 Noise-only coefficients 103 5.3.2 Nonsparse images reconstruction error 105 5.3.3 Numerical results 106 This chapter presents further work on compressive sensing, which can be considered as an extension of results presented in the previous chapters. Here, we focus on the reconstruction and error calculation of general images, analyzed

in the two-dimensional discrete cosine transform (2D-DCT) domain.

In the first part of the chapter, a method for recovery of sparse images is presented. The algorithm is based on a gradient-descent procedure. The proposed algorithm performs blindly to detect and reconstruct

corrupted pixels. The assumption is that the image is sparse in the

2D-DCT domain and

that the noise degrades this property. The advantage of the proposed reconstruction algorithm is that 19

the uncorrupted pixels remain unchanged in the reconstruction process. The

proposed method can be used without explicitly imposing the image sparsity. 19

The algorithm is compared with some state-of-the-art algorithms, proving its reconstruction robustness. In most cases, images are approximately sparse or nonsparse in the 2D-DCT domain. The sparsification step of images can produce the error in their final reconstruction. In the second part of the chapter, the exact error is derived for nonsparse images reconstructed under the sparsity assumption. The mean squared error calculation theory is compared to the corresponding statistical values. 95 Chapter 5. Compressive sensing in image denoising 5.1 Problem formulation Let consider an 8-bit $N \times M$ image, $x(n, m)$, meaning that its pixel values are integers between 0 and 255. For compressive sensing methods, it should be assumed that the image

is sparse in the 2D-DCT domain. Definition 5.1 The 2D-DCT 3

(and its inverse) of an image

$x(n, m)$ is defined by [8, 116] $N-1 \quad M-1 \quad X(k, l) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} x(n, m) \phi(k, l)$ 106

$x(n, m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} X(k, l) \psi(n, m, k, l)$, (5.1)

where $\phi(k, l, n, m)$ is the 2D-DCT basis function and $\psi(n, m, k, l)$ is the 2D-DCT 2

inverse basis function, defined as $\phi(k, l, n, m) = \psi(n, m, k, l) = c_k c_l \cos \pi(2n+1)k \cos \pi(2m+1)l \cos \frac{\pi}{2N} (2N-2k) \cos \frac{\pi}{2M} (2M-2l)$.

(5.2) The constants c_k and c_l are scaling constants defined as $c_k = 1/\sqrt{N}$, for $k=0$ and $c_l = 1/\sqrt{M}$,

for $l=0$ and $c_l = 1/\sqrt{M}$, for $l \neq 0$ 13

(5.3) In matrix form, the image and its 2D-DCT can be written as

$x = \Psi X$ and $X = \Phi x$, respectively, where Ψ and Φ are the

49

rearranged matrices defined in (5.2). For the compressive sensing framework,

we assume that the considered image is K -sparse in the 2D-DCT domain

109

and that only $N_A \ll NM$ of its pixels are available at the positions $(n, m) \in N_A = \{(n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \dots, (n_{N_A}, m_{N_A})\}$. Consequently, assuming that the positions of the corrupted pixels are known, we can set their values to zero (as it is done in the initial estimate). The

initial image form is then presented as $x_a(n, m) = x(n, m)$ for $(n, m) \in N_A$

2

{0 elsewhere. (5.4) Note that the nonzero entries of (5.4) are the measurements within the CS framework $y = [x(n_1, m_1), x(n_2, m_2), \dots, x(n_{N_A}, m_{N_A})]^T$. (5.5) The image is sparsified

according to the quantization matrix of the JPEG standard [116]. The

2

quality factor (QF) defines the level of sparsification

of the image. For different QFs, which influence the level of sparsity in the block,

9

the quantization matrix is defined as $Q_QF = \text{round}(Q_{50} \cdot q)$, (5.6) 5.2. Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm where Q_{50}

is the standard quantization matrix and the value q is the level presented as $q =$

9

2 -

$0.02QF$, for $QF \geq 50$ { QF 50 , for $QF < 50$ }. (5.7) The

39

reconstruction procedure is performed using blocks of the image of size 8×8 . Then, each block is analyzed and recovered separately. After each block is recovered, the full image is restored by combining the blocks back. Also,

different quality factors are assumed **to compare the performance of the algorithm with various sparsity levels.**

9

5.2 Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm Here, we will consider an image with $NQ = NM - NA$ pixels affected by noise. The amplitude of noise can be within the

range of the available NA pixel **values. The aim is to reconstruct the corrupted pixels without** knowing **the** number **of** affected pixels nor **their positions,** while not changing **the**

2

values of available noise-free pixels. 5.2.1 Algorithm The

algorithm is based on the minimization of the sparsity measure through

2

iterations [34, 117, 118]. Each particular

image pixel is considered as possibly corrupted. Its value is varied by adding an estimation parameter, $\pm\Delta$. **For each pixel, the gradient sparsity measure $\|X\|_1$ is estimated based on its finite difference value. The pixel producing the largest gradient estimate is marked as corrupted and omitted. Then the iterative process is repeated until the sparsity measure does not change significantly. All detected corrupted pixels are set as unavailable.** When **the**

2

set of corrupted pixels is defined, the reconstruction is performed. The reconstruction procedure is described in Algorithm 6 of Appendix [34]. The corrupted pixels are varied through the reconstruction procedure to produce the most sparse solution. During the reconstruction process, the uncorrupted pixels remain unchanged. The

algorithm can also be used when the noise is much stronger than the signal itself, meaning that the corrupted pixels are distinguishable from the uncorrupted pixels (salt-and-pepper noise), so that their positions are easily found. When we have strong noise in the image, we will omit the corrupted pixels from the calculations and continue with the reconstruction as described in Algorithm

9

6 of the Appendix. 5.2.2 Corrupted pixel selection procedure For the selection of potentially corrupted pixels, let assume that one pixel is corrupted at a position (n_0, m_0) . The image with the corrupted pixel will be defined by $x_a(n, m)$, where the corrupted pixel is $x_a(n_0, m_0) = x(n_0, m_0) + z$, with z being the noise value. Following the Algorithm 6, the corrupted pixel is varied according to $\pm\Delta$ to form x_a

$$x_a(n, m) = x(n, m) + (z + \Delta) \delta(n - n_0, m - m_0) \quad x_a(n, m) = x(n, m) + (z - \Delta) \delta(n - n_0, m - m_0) \quad (92)$$

(n_0, m_0) . (5.8) The gradient of the sparsity measure is estimated as $g(n_0, m_0) =$

$$X_{a+1} - X_{a-1} \quad (5.9) \quad \text{where } X_{a+1} \text{ and } X_{a-1} \text{ are the 2D-DCT of } \quad (89)$$

the images X_a with coefficients X_a

$$X_a(k, l) \text{ and } X_a(k, l), \text{ respectively. Assume that the 2D-DCT of the corrupted} \quad (43)$$

pixel is $(z \pm \Delta) \phi(k, l, n_0, m_0)$. The sparsity measures

$$\text{can be approximated as a sum of the original image measure and the measure of the} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{corrupted pixel (with the } \Delta \text{ shifts)} \quad X_{a+1} - X_{a-1} = X_a + \phi(k, l) \approx \|X\|_1 + |z + \Delta| C \quad \sum_{k,l=0}^{N-1}$$

$$0 \leq N - 1$$

$\|X_{a-1} - X_{a+1}\|_1 = \|X_{a-1} - X_a + X_a - X_{a+1}\|_1 \approx \|X\|_1 + |z - \Delta| C$ where C which depends on the corrupted pixel position (m_0, n_0) and the size of the image. The gradient is then $g(n_0, m_0) =$

$$X_{a+1} - X_{a-1} \approx |z + \Delta| C - |z - \Delta| C. \quad (5.11) \quad \text{For variations from the} \quad (2)$$

the image value smaller than the step $|z| < \Delta$ we get $g(n_0, m_0) \approx 2Cz \approx z$. (5.12) From (5.12), it can be concluded

$$\text{that the gradient is proportional to the intensity of noise at the corrupted pixel.} \quad 5.2.3 \quad \text{Pixel} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{selection and reconstruction} \quad \text{The aim is}$$

to find the positions of corrupted pixels and select which pixels are **uncor-rupted**. According to **the** previous subsection, this **will be** achieved **by**

2

repeating steps 9-15 of the gradient-based reconstruction procedure in Algorithm 6 in the Appendix. Note that this procedure should be repeated for all pixels, in order to estimate which pixels are corrupted. The full method of pixel selection and recovery is presented in Algorithm 7 of the Appendix. During the reconstruction, we include all previously de- tected

positions of corrupted pixels in each iteration. **The procedure is repeated until a required precision is achieved. The algorithm is repeated**

2

for each block, and the image is combined back when all blocks are reconstructed. In the reconstruction, we have concluded that the edge effects of some blocks can influence reconstruction success. Small pieces of the neighboring blocks may appear 5.2. Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm at the edging pixels in the current block. Since the algorithm finds the solution by minimizing the sparsity, it will recognize those small pieces as disturbances in the considered block. It will try to select them as corrupted pixels, meaning that they are removed. To overcome this problem, the pixel selection

analysis is done using **partially overlapping blocks. Only the central parts of the blocks** (the **ones which are** not overlapped) are **included for the final reconstruction.**

2

5.2.4 Results The image "Peppers", of size $N \times M = 512 \times 512$, is used to demonstrate the presented method. The image is affected by a combination of two noise types. These disturbing noise types are the salt-and-pepper noise (having intensity either 0 or 255) and the uniform noise (noise in the range between 0 and 255). In color images, the noise is randomly positioned in each of the three channels (R, G, and B) separately. Assume that 50% of the pixels are affected by noise, with 10% of them being the uniform noise. The results of the presented denoising algorithm are compared with a 5×5 median filter and two

state-of-the-art methods. The **first method is** from [119], **based on** adaptive filtering. **The**

145

second considered method is the total-variation imaging algorithm from [120, 121]. The results are shown in

Fig. 5.1. The **original image** is presented in **Fig. 5.1** (top left). The **image with**

159

the corrupted pixels is shown in Fig. 5.1 (top right). The reconstruction using the proposed method

and the reconstruction of the image using the 5x5 marginal median filter are presented in Fig. 5.1 (middle). In Fig. 5.1 (bottom), the reconstruction with the two

state-of-the-art algorithms is shown. The methods for comparing the reconstruction results, along with the specific values of the comparison parameters, will be given next. Comparison The

performance of the algorithm will be examined using the SSIM index as well as MAE and PSNR, with respect to the original image. The

SSIM index is introduced [122] and

defined as a function of luminance, contrast and structure comparison between two images,

i.e. $SSIM(x_o, x_r) = \frac{(2\mu_{x_o}\mu_{x_r} + c_1)(2\sigma_{x_o x_r} + c_2)}{(\mu_{x_o}^2 + \mu_{x_r}^2 + c_1)(\sigma_{x_o}^2 + \sigma_{x_r}^2 + c_2)}$ (5.13) where x_o and x_r

are the original and the reconstructed image, respectively. The values

μ_{x_o} , μ_{x_r} correspond to the mean values of the two images, $\sigma_{x_o x_r}$ is the covariance between x_o and x_r , $\sigma_{x_o}^2$, $\sigma_{x_r}^2$ are the variances of the considered images. The constants c_1 and c_2 are used for stabilization. The SSIM value is a constant between the values 0 and 1, where 1 is obtained when the similarity between images is complete and 0 is obtained when no similarity is present. The MAE is calculated as $MAE(x_o, x_r) = \text{mean}(\text{mean}(|x_o - x_r|))$. (5.14) Figure 5.1:

Reconstruction of color image "Peppers" corrupted with 50% combined noise: Image with corrupted pixels (top left); Reconstruction using the proposed method

(middle left); Reconstruction using the 5 x 5 median filter (middle right); Reconstruction using the

two state-of-the-art algorithms (bottom). Table 5.1 shows the SSIM index and MAE for different quality factors different percentage of corrupted pixels in the grayscale image "Lena", presented in Fig. 5.2 (top left). Note that the quality factor

(which determines the sparsity level of the block) nor the number of the corrupted pixels are not known by the gradient algorithm. 5.2. Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm Table 5

.1: SSIM index and MAE between original and reconstructed image "Lena" for various quality factor QF and percentage of corrupted pixels. 2

SSIM QF 12.5% 25% 37.5% 50% MAE 12.5% 25% 37.5% 50% 5 0.99 0.99 0.91 0.64 0.41 1.08 3.28 10.80 10 0.99 0.98 0.92 0.64 0.38 1.05 3.18 11.63 25 0.99 0.98 0.92 0.63 0.37 1.08 3.27 12.28 50 0.99 0.98 0.92 0.62 0.42 1.17 3.45 12.79 75 0.99 0.98 0.91 0.61 0.47 1.31 3.60 13.10 90 0.99 0.97 0.91 0.60 0.61 1.54 3.85 13.75 Table 5.2: PSNR and SSIM for the reconstruction of the eight test images in Fig. 5.2. The

results are obtained by the proposed, two-stage (2-stage) adaptive algorithm [119] and total variation L1 2

(TV-L1) [120, 121] method.

PSNR SSIM Test image Proposed 2-stage TV-L1 Proposed 2-stage TV-L1 2

Pout 45.87 39.59 39.46 0.98 0.63 0.92 Lifting body 43.92 35.90 40.15 0.99 0.73 0.94 Peppers 42.74 39.84 38.58 0.99 0.62 0.95 Lena 41.22 35.87 35.94 0.98 0.75 0.91

Boat 39.33 34.15 34.41 0.97 0.73 0.85 Butterfly 39.22 36.20 35.04 0.98 0.81 0.88 Camera 36.54 36.36 33.01 0.94 0.81 0. 2

79 Tissue 32.44 30.92 29.35 0.91 0.86 0.73 The peak

-to-noise ratio (PSNR) and the SSIM index will be used for the comparison of the 108

algorithm with the state-of-the-art algorithms based on a set of eight images from MATLAB software. The PSNR for an 8-bit image is $PSNR(x_o, x_r) = 10 \log_{10} \text{mean}(\text{mean}(|x_o - x_r|)^2)$ (5.15) The eight test images are shown in Fig. 5.2, including the image peppers, analyzed earlier. The

comparison among the reconstruction algorithms for eight test images corrupted by 50% of combined noise, is given in Table 5. 2. This table 9

shows the robustness of the proposed algorithm in comparison with the other two methods for image reconstruction.

Figure 5.2: The eight test images used for the comparison between the proposed algorithm and two state-of-the-art algorithms. 5.3 Error calculation in nonsparse images In the previous subsection, the images are considered as being sparsified according to the quality factor and the corresponding quantization matrix, since

a significant amount of the **energy is concentrated** within **a small number of**

3

2D-DCT components. However, the remaining nonzero coefficients make that the original images are

only approximately sparse or nonsparse. Since, **in CS theory, sparsity should be assumed,**
the reconstruction algorithms will not be able to recover small valued coefficients of nonsparse
 signals. **The**

3

exact formulation of the expected squared reconstruction error in the case of nonsparse images is
given in the form of a theorem [123]. **Theorem: Assume an image,** which is **nonsparse in the**
2D-DCT domain, with the **largest amplitudes**

3

in this domain $A_r, r = 1, 2, \dots, K$.

Assume that only N_A **out of total** N_M **samples are available, where** $1 \ll N_A < N_M$. **Also**
assume that the image is reconstructed under the assumption that **it is** K **-sparse. The energy**
of error in the K **reconstructed coefficients** $\|X_K - X_R\|_2^2$ **is related to the energy of unreconstructed**
components $\|X_{K^c} - X\|_2^2$ **coefficients as follows:**

3

$\|X_K - X_R\|_2^2 = K N (A_N (N_M - N_A)) \|X_{K^c} - X\|_2^2$, (5.16) 5.3. Error calculation in nonsparse images where $\|X_K - X_R\|_2^2 =$
 $K N (A_N (N_M - N_A)) N_M A^{2r}$, $r = \sum_{k=1}^K K$ and $N_M \|X_{K^c} - X\|_2^2 = A^{2r}$, $r = \sum_{k=1}^K K$ The proof is based on the initial estimate of
 the image $X_0(k, l) = x(n, m) \phi_N(n, k) \phi_M(m, l)$ $(n, \sum m) \in N_A$ where $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, l = 0, 1, \dots, M-1$. In a matrix form we
 can write $X_0 = A T y$. (5.17) (5.18) (5.19) (5.20) The coefficients in (5.19)

act as random variables, with different statistical prop- erties **at positions of the image**
components,

3

$(k, l) = (kr, lr)$, and positions not corresponding to image components, $(k, l) \neq (kr, lr)$. 5.3.1 Noise-only coefficients Let assume first the case when $K = 1$ at (k_1, l_1) . Assuming the amplitude to be $A_1 = 1$, the initial estimate can be written as The variable $X_0(k, l) = \phi_N(n, k_1)\phi_M(m, l_1)\phi_N(n, k)\phi_M(m, l)$. $(n, \sum m) \in NA$ $x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l) = \phi_N(n, k_1)\phi_M(m, l_1)\phi_N(n, k)\phi_M(m, l)$ (5.21) (5.22) is random for random set of values of (n, m) where the image is available. Its initial estimate is $X_0(k, l) = x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l)$. (5.23) $(n, \sum m) \in NA$ When $(k, l) \neq (k_1, l_1)$, the 2D-DCT coefficients correspond to position where the image component is not present. In this case, the initial estimate

behaves as a random Gaussian variable [53]. Following **the** orthogonality of the **basis** 3
 function **and the fact that values of**

$x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l)$ are equally distributed, the mean value of the initial estimate is $\mu_{X_0(k,l)} = E\{X_0(k, l)\} = 0, (k, l) \neq (k_1, l_1)$. (5.24)

In the case of a **coefficient corresponding to the image component, using the same** or- 3
 thogonality **property and the assumption of equal distribution of values**

$x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l)$, it follows that $\mu_{X_0(k,l)} = E\{X_0(k, l)\} = NNMA, (k, l) = (k_1, l_1)$. (5.25) For the zero-mean random variable, the variance is $\sigma_{X_0(k,l)}^2 = E\{x_{k_1 l_1}^2(n, m, k, l)\} + \{(n, \sum m) \in NA, x_{k_1 l_1}(n,$

$m, k, l)$ $x_{k_1 l_1}(i, j, k, l)$. (5.26) $(n, \sum m) \in NA, (i, j, \sum j) \in ($ 152

$n, N, Am)$ } As in the case when $(k, l) \neq (k_1, l_1)$ is observed, it can be concluded that $N^{-1} M^{-1} x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l) = 0$. (5.27) $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1}$ Multiplying the left and the right side of (5.27) by $x_{k_1 l_1}(i, j, k, l)$,

and taking the expectation of both sides we get $N^{-1} M^{-1} E\{x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k,$ 105

$l)\} x_{k_1 l_1}(i, j, k, l) = 0$, (5.28) $\{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1}\}$ with $(i, j) \in N$. Values $x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l)$ are equally distributed. Therefore, the terms $E\{x_{k_1 l_1}(n, m, k, l)x_{k_1 l_1}(i, j, k, l)\}$ for $(n, m) \neq (i, j)$

are the same and equal to a constant D. **The total number of these terms is** $NM - 1$. 3
 Furthermore, **based on** (5.28) **we get**

$(NM - 1) D + E\{x_{k_1 l_1}^2(n, m, k, l)\} = 0$. The initial variance definition can be(written as } $\sigma_{X_0(k,l)}^2 = NAE\{x_{k_1 l_1}^2(n, m, k, l)\} + (NA^2 - NA)D$, (5.29) (5.30)

as there are exactly NA expectations with quadratic terms in the first summation and $NA(NA - 3)$ terms in the second variance summation equal to D . In order to determine the unknown term

$E\{x_{2k1l1}(n, m, k, l)\}$, several special cases should be taken into account. Consider the general case when $k \neq k_1, k \neq N - k_1, l \neq l_1, l \neq M - l_1$. Then $\{E\{x_{2k1l1}(n, m, k, l)\} = E\{\phi_{2N}(n, k_1)\phi_{2M}(m, l_1)\} \times E\{\phi_{2N}(n, k)\phi_{2M}(m, l)\} = N^2 M^2 2^{-1} \quad (5.31)$ holds. Incorporating this result into (5.29) we get that $D = -1 \quad N^2 M^2 \quad NM^{-1} \quad (5.32)$

5.3. Error calculation in nonsparse images Next, based on (5.30), the variance can be written as $\sigma_{X_{20}}(k,l) = NN^2 AM(N^2(MN M - N - A_1)) \quad (5.33)$ This result also holds when $(k_1, l_1) = (0, 0)$. The

special cases of the 2D-DCT indices are considered in

[123]. Note that, when $A_1 \neq 1$, the result is multiplied by A_1 . As $N M \gg 1$, an accurate approximation, when all special cases are included, for the average variance of noise-only coefficients follows $\sigma_{X_{20}} \approx A_1 NN^2 AM(N^2(MN M - N - A_1)) \quad (5.34)$

In the realistic case of several components in the 2D-DCT domain, the observed random variable becomes $K \times 0$ $(k, l) = A_r \phi_N(n, k_r) \phi_M(m, l_r) \times \phi_N(n, k) \phi_M(m, l) \quad (5.35) \quad (n, \sum m) \in NA \quad \sum_{r=1}^K$

this case, the coefficients at noise-only positions $(k, l) \neq (k_r, l_r)$ are random variables

formed as the summation of independent zero-mean Gaussian variables over r . The unavailable pixels in each component add to the noise. The noise from each component is proportional to the squared amplitude of that component, following (5.34) with $A_r, r = 1, \dots, K$. Therefore, the mean value

of the K 2D-DCT coefficients is $\mu_{X_0}(k,l) = N M N K A_r \delta(k - k_r, l - l_r) \quad \sum_{r=1}^K$ The

average variance of noise-only coefficients in this case easily follows

as $\sigma_{X_{20}} = A_r NN^2 AM(N^2(MN M - N - A_1)) \quad K \quad \sum_{r=1}^K$ 5.3.2 Nonsparse images reconstruction error (5.36) (5.37) The image is reconstructed under the K -sparsity constraint. The conditions for a unique reconstruction are assumed to be met. According to (5.37), one nonreconstructed element behaves as a noise with variance $\sigma_{X_{20}} = A_r NN^2 AM(N^2(MN M - N - A_1)) \quad (5.38)$ which leads that the variance of all components which are not reconstructed will be $NM \sigma_T^2 = A_r NN^2 AM(N^2(MN M - N - A_1)) \quad (5.39) \quad r = \sum_{K+1}$ The total noise energy from the nonreconstructed coefficients in the K reconstructed components is $\|X_K - X_{RI}\|^2 = KNN^2 MA^2 \sigma_T^2 = KN(AN(NMM - N - A_1)) NM A_r \quad (5.40) \quad r = \sum_{K+1}$ Note that

the noise of the nonreconstructed coefficients can be related to their energy, $\|X_K - X\|_2^2 = A^2 r$. (5.41) From the previous analysis it follows that $\|X_K - X\|_2^2 = K N (A N (N M M - - N_1 A)) \|X_K - X\|_2^2$. (5.42)

This completes the proof of the theorem. 5. 3.3 Numerical results

3

An image set with standard MATLAB images is used for the numerical examination of the theorem. The set is presented in Fig. 5.3. Each image is

split into $B \times B = 16 \times 16$ blocks. The reconstruction is performed under the sparsity assumption $K = 16$

10

per block, with 60% of pixels available. The reconstruction is performed using the OMP algorithm. The

errors are calculated for each block separately and then the results are averaged over all blocks in the image. The statistical PSNR, for

41

an 8-bit image, is $PSNR_{statistics} = 10 \log (\|X_K - X\|_2^2 / 255^2)$, (5.43) and the theoretical PSNR, according to the theorem, is $PSNR_{theory} = 10 \log (K N A^2 (B - N_2 - A_1) \|X_K - X\|_2^2)$. (5.44) The

results are presented in Table 5.3, confirming a high agreement between the

3

results. Table 5.3: Statistical and theoretical calculations of the PSNR for 8 test images in Fig. 5.3. Test image Lifting body Boat Pout Autumn Pirate Pears Peppers Football Statistics 82.97 81.97 80.35 90.81 70.97 78.77 79.16 68.69 Theory 83.11 82.13 80.42 90.92 71.10 78.86 79.23 68.63

5.3. Error calculation in nonsparse images Figure 5.3: The eight test images used for the error analysis. Conclusions The compressive sensing theory can be used to develop a successful sampling technique in different fields and various signals. The idea of using a small number of measurements for the signal acquisition improves the efficiency of storage, memory requirements, and transmission of signals. Accurate recovery of signals sampled in such a way is the primary goal of compressive sensing and sparse signal processing. Since many signals in nature can be represented as sparse in some transformation domain, the technique showed huge potential in real-world problems. However, the idea is not yet fully developed and applied in the underwater acoustics field. The non-stationary nature of such signals makes it suitable for the analysis using time-frequency tools under the signal processing approach. In the compressive sensing sense, non-stationary signals are only approximately sparse or nonsparse in the corresponding transformation domain. In this thesis, three major points are considered, with the aim to find a successful solution for applying compressive sensing methods to the underwater acoustics. It is important to notice the nonsparse characteristic of the signals received in dispersive channels. The

nonsparsity, in general, will produce errors in the reconstruction of signals considered as sparse in their nature. The exact error generated in the reconstruction of time-varying signals was derived in this thesis. The uniform and random sampling were considered, together with a generalization of the error depending on the sampling method. For a more realistic case, the effect of quantization, as a crucial step for the hardware implementation, is analyzed. In the end, the noise folding effect is considered as well. In addition to the dispersive underwater channel analysis, wideband sonar images are considered as an important topic in the underwater acoustics. In the literature, only basic forms of signals were used for the transmission. The usage of various sequences showed interesting results in the reconstruction of sonar signals. The implementation of compressive sensing techniques on those signals was considered. We showed that the reconstruction of sonar signals could be significantly improved in detecting and localizing sparse targets. Dispersive channels introduce multi-component non-stationary signals as an additional challenge to this field. Combining the previously studied reconstruction, together with the principles of the polynomial Fourier transform and mode decomposition, the time-varying components of the sonar signals are successfully detected, decomposed, and analyzed. The dispersive media was perceived in two different approaches: the decomposition of signals received at a misaligned sensor, and a signal received from a dispersive isovelocity shallow water environment. Three different methods were considered: high-resolution local polynomial case, the dual extension of the polynomial Fourier domain, and a model-function based technique. It is concluded that the model-based method gives the best results in terms of error, which is expected due to its specific nature to 109 Conclusion find the appropriately adjusted forms and the values of corresponding parameters. The method is not sensitive to the noise until the threshold for the detections is reached. Also, a more general approach, based on the polynomial Fourier transform, is introduced. Although the mode forms do not fully coincide with the polynomial forms, it was seen that a reasonable error rate is achieved, with a quite general model. The method is further improved by using a sparse decomposition and reconstruction of components using the iterative algorithm. The presented theory and methods can be extended in various directions. In the decomposition of the dispersive media, the high-resolution techniques showed promising results combined with the polynomial Fourier transform. Another interesting course is in the error calculation, which was developed for the time-varying part of the signals received in the dispersive media. The derivation can be further extended in the direction of the dual polynomial Fourier transformation domain, as the sparsity domain. The last approach is based on the combination of the appropriate sequence form selection in the transmission part of the setup. The combination of the suitable sequences, combined with the dual extension as the sparsity domain and the appropriate error calculation, could result in a robust solution for further analysis of signals transmitted underwater. Finally, some of the results and developed methods are applied to the general image denoising problem, showing that the presented results and methods are not strictly limited to the underwater acoustic signal analysis.

Bibliography [1] C. E. Shannon, "Communication in the presence of noise," *Proceedings of the IRE*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 10–21, 1949.

72

[2]

H. Nyquist, "Certain topics in telegraph transmission theory," **Transactions of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers**, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 617–644, 1928. [3] V. A.

58

Kotelnikov, "On the transmission capacity of" ether" and wire in electro- communications," <http://ict.open.ac.uk/classics/l.pdf>, 1933. [4]

J. M. Whittaker, **Interpolatory function theory**, vol. 33. The University Press, 1935.

120

[5]

B. Boashash, **Time-frequency signal analysis and processing: a comprehensive reference**. Academic Press, 2015.

18

[6] C. Leon, "Time-frequency analysis: theory and applications," USA: Pnentice Hall,

1995. [7] L. Stankovic, M. Dakovic, and T. Thayaparan, **Time-frequency signal analysis with applications**. Artech house, 2014. [8] L.

21

Stankovic, **Digital signal processing: with selected topics: Adaptive systems, Time-frequency analysis, Sparse signal processing**. CreateSpace,

75

2015. [9] L. Stankovic, S. Stankovic, and M. Dakovic, "From the stft to the wigner distribu- tion [lecture notes],"

IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 163–174,

8

2014.

[10] P. Flandrin and P. Borgnat, "Time-frequency energy distributions meet com- pressed sensing," **IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing**, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 2974–2982, 2010. [11]

59

M. G. Amin, B. Jakanovic, Y. D. Zhang, and F. Ahmad, "A sparsity-perspective to quadratic time–frequency distributions," **Digital Signal Processing, vol. 46, pp. 175–190, 2015.** 54

[12]

D. Angelosante, G. B. Giannakis, and E. Grossi, "Compressed sensing of time- varying signals," in 2009 **16th International Conference on Digital Signal Processing, pp. 1–8, IEEE, 2009.** 47

[13] E. Sejdić, **I. Orović,** and S. **Stanković,**

"Compressive sensing meets time– frequency: an overview of recent advances in time–frequency processing of sparse signals," *Digital signal processing*, vol. 77, pp. 22–35, 2018. 111 Bibliography [14]

D. L. Donoho et al., "Compressed sensing," **IEEE Transactions on information theory, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1289–1306, 2006.** [15] **E. Candes, J. Romberg, and T. Tao,** "Robust uncertainty principles: exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information," **IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 489–509, 2006.** [16] **D. L.** 23

Donoho, M. Elad, and V. N. Temlyakov, "Stable recovery of sparse overcomplete representations in the presence of noise," **IEEE Transactions on information theory, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 6–18,** 60

2005. [17]

R. G. Baraniuk, "Compressive sensing," **IEEE signal processing magazine, vol. 24, no. 4, 2007.** 107

[18] **E. Candes and M. Wakin,** "An introduction to compressive sampling," **IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 2, no. 25, pp. 21–30, 2008.** [19] 15

M. Elad, Sparse and redundant representations: from theory to applications in signal and image processing. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010. [20] **Y. C. Eldar and G. Kutyniok,** **Compressed sensing: theory and applications. Cambridge university press,** 33

2012. [21]

H. Boche, R. Calderbank, G. Kutyniok, and J. Vybíral, Compressed sensing and its applications. Springer,

82

2015. [22]

M. A. Davenport, M. F. Duarte, Y. C. Eldar, and G. Kutyniok, "Introduction to compressed sensing," preprint, vol. 93, no. 1, p. 2, 2011. [23] M. Fornasier and H.

26

Rauhut, "Compressive sensing.," Handbook of mathematical methods in imaging, vol. 1, pp. 187–229, 2015. [24]

E. J. Candes, "The restricted isometry property and its implications for compressed sensing," Comptes rendus mathematique, vol. 346, no. 9-10, pp. 589–592, 2008.

10

[25] S.

G. Mallat and Z. Zhang, "Matching pursuits with time-frequency dictionaries," IEEE Transactions on signal processing, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 3397–3415, 1993. [26] J. A. Tropp, "Greed is good: Algorithmic results for sparse approximation," IEEE Transactions on Information theory, vol. 50, no. 10, pp. 2231–2242, 2004.

24

[27]

J. A. Tropp and A. C. Gilbert, "Signal recovery from random measurements via orthogonal matching pursuit," IEEE Transactions on information theory, vol. 53, no. 12, pp. 4655–4666, 2007. [28] D. Needell and J. A. Tropp, "Cosamp: Iterative signal recovery from incomplete and inaccurate samples," Applied and computational harmonic analysis, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 301–321, 2009.

20

Bibliography 113 [29]

I. Daubechies, M. Defrise, and C. De Mol, "An iterative thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems with a sparsity constraint," Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal

29

Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 1413–1457, 2004. [30] **A. Beck and M. Teboulle**, “A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems,” **SIAM journal on imaging sciences**, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 183–202, 2009.

[31] X. Lv, G. Bi, and C. Wan, “The group lasso for stable recovery of block-sparse signal representations,”

IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 1371–1382, 2011. [32] **34**
R. Tibshirani, “Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso,” **Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B** (Methodological), vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 267–288, 1996.

[33]

M. A. Figueiredo, R. D. Nowak, and S. J. Wright, “Gradient projection for sparse reconstruction: Application to compressed sensing and other inverse problems,” **IEEE Journal of selected topics in signal processing**, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 586–597, 2007. [34] **31**
L. Stanković, M. Daković, and S. Vujović, “Adaptive variable step algorithm for missing samples recovery in sparse signals,” **IET Signal Processing**, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 246–256,

2014. [35]

D. Needell and R. Ward, “Stable image reconstruction using total variation minimization,” **SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences**, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1035–1058, 2013. **28**

[36]

F. Kraher, C. Kruschel, and M. Sandbichler, “Total variation minimization in compressed sensing,” in **Compressed Sensing and its Applications**, pp. 333–358, **Springer**, 2017. **94**

[37]

C. Poon, “On the role of total variation in compressed sensing,” **SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences**, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 682–720, 2015. **28**

[38]

T. Blumensath and M. E. Davies, "Iterative hard thresholding for compressed sensing," **Applied and computational harmonic analysis**, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 265–274, 2009. [39] H.-J. M. Shi, M. 22

Case, X. Gu, S. Tu, and D. Needell, "Methods for quantized compressed sensing," in 2016 **Information Theory and Applications Workshop (ITA)**, pp. 1–9, 90

IEEE, 2016. [40]

T. Blumensath, M. Yaghoobi, and M. E. Davies, "Iterative hard thresholding and l0 regularisation," in 2007 **IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing-ICASSP'** 07, vol. 3, pp. III-877, IEEE, 2007. 40

[41]

M. E. Tipping, "Sparse bayesian learning and the relevance vector machine," **Journal of machine learning research**, vol. 1, no. Jun, pp. 211–244, 2001. [42] S. Ji, Y. Xue, and L. Carin, "Bayesian compressive sensing," **IEEE Transactions on signal processing**, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 2346–2356, 2008. 27

[43]

L. Welch, "Lower bounds on the maximum cross correlation of signals (corresp.)," **IEEE Transactions on Information theory**, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 397–399, 1974. 15

[44] S.

Datta, S. Howard, and D. Cochran, "Geometry of the welch bounds," **Linear algebra and its applications**, vol. 437, no. 10, pp. 2455–2470, 2012. 66

[45]

H. Rabah, A. Amira, B. K. Mohanty, S. Almaadeed, and P. K. Meher, "Fpga 101

implementation of orthogonal matching pursuit for compressive sensing reconstruction," IEEE Transactions on very large scale integration (VLSI) Systems, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 2209–2220, 2014. [46]

I. Orović, A. Draganić, N. Lekić, and S. Stanković, "A system for compressive sensing signal reconstruction," in **IEEE EUROCON 2017-17th International Conference on Smart Technologies,** pp. 170–175, **IEEE,**

8

2017. [47] S.

Vujović, M. Daković, I. Orović, and S. Stanković, "An architecture for hardware realization of compressive sensing gradient algorithm," in 2015 **4th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing (MECO),**

8

pp. 189–192, IEEE, 2015. [48]

H. Hassanieh, P. Indyk, D. Katabi, and E. Price, "Simple and practical algorithm

103

for sparse fourier transform," in Proceedings of the twenty-third annual ACM-SIAM symposium on Discrete Algorithms, pp. 1183–1194, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2012. [49]

M. E. Ahsen and M. Vidyasagar, "Error bounds for compressed sensing algorithms with group sparsity: A unified approach," **Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis,**

26

vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 212–232, 2017. [50]

C. Thrampoulidis, S. Oymak, and B. Hassibi, "Recovering structured signals in noise: Least-squares meets compressed sensing," in **Compressed Sensing and Its Applications,** pp. 97–141,

86

Springer, 2015. [51] L. Stanković,

M. Brajović, I. Stanković, C. Ioana, and M. Daković, "Reconstruction error in nonuniformly sampled approximately sparse signals," **IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters,** vol. 17, in print, 2020. [52] I. **Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković,** C. Ioana, **and**

8

L. Stanković, "Quantization in compressive sensing: A signal processing approach," IEEE Access, submitted, 2020. [53]

L. Stankovic, S. Stankovic, and M. Amin, "Missing samples analysis in signals for applications to I- 10
estimation and compressive sensing," **Signal Processing, vol. 94, pp. 401–408, 2014.** [54] I.
Stanković, M. Brajović, M. **Daković,**

and C. Ioana, "Effect of random sampling on noisy nonsparse signals in time-frequency analysis," in 2018 26th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), pp. 480–483, IEEE, 2018. [55]

J. H. Ender, "On compressive sensing applied to radar," **Signal Processing, vol. 90, no. 5, pp.** 102
1402–1414, 2010.

[56]

G. Zhao, Z. Wang, Q. Wang, G. Shi, and **F. Shen,** "Robust isar imaging based on compressive 57
sensing from noisy measurements," **Signal Processing, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 120–129,**

2012. [57]

F. Wang, T. F. Eibert, and Y.- Q. Jin, "Simulation of isar imaging for a space 84

target and reconstruction under sparse sampling via compressed sensing," IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 3432–3441, 2015. [58] L.

Stanković, T. Thayaparan, V. Popović, I. Djurović, and M. Daković, "Adap- tive s-method for sar/isar 56
imaging," **EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing, vol. 2008,**

pp. 1–10, 2007. [59]

L. Stankovic, "Isar image analysis and recovery with unavailable or heavily cor- rupted data," **IEEE 67
Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 2093–2106, 2015.**

[60]

Y.-S. Yoon and M. G. Amin, “Compressed sensing technique for high-resolution radar imaging,”
in **Signal Processing, Sensor Fusion, and Target Recognition XVII**, vol. 6968, p. 69681A,

53

International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2008. [61]

V. C. Chen and H. Ling, **Time-frequency transforms for radar imaging and signal analysis**. Artech
house,

18

2002. [62]

E. Arias-Castro and Y. C. Eldar, “Noise folding in compressed sensing,” **IEEE Signal Processing
Letters**, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 478–481, 2011. [63] **M. A. Herman and T. Strohmer**,

37

“High-resolution radar via compressed sensing,”

IEEE transactions on signal processing, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 2275–2284, 2009.

15

[64] L. Zegov, R. Pribić, and G. Leus, “Optimal waveforms for compressive sensing radar,” in

21st European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO 2013), pp. 1–5, IEEE, 2013.

130

[65] H. Yan, J. Xu, S. Peng, and X. Zhang, “A compressed sensing method for a wider swath in synthetic aperture
imaging,” 2013. [66]

H. Yan, J. Xu, and X. Zhang, “Compressed sensing radar imaging of off-grid sparse targets,” in
2015 **IEEE Radar Conference** (RadarCon), pp.

114

0690–0693, IEEE, 2015. [67]

H. Yan, S. Peng, Z. Zhu, J. Xu, and X. Zhang, “Wideband sonar imaging via compressed sensing,”
in **OCEANS 2014- TAIPEI**, pp. 1–4,

77

IEEE, 2014. [68]

H. Yan, J. Xu, X.-G. Xia, F. Liu, S. Peng, X. Zhang, and T. Long, “Wideband un-
derwater sonar imaging via compressed sensing with scaling effect compensation,” **Science China Information
Sciences, vol. 58, no. 2,**

17

pp. 1–11, 2015. [69]

H. Yan, J. Xu, X.-G. Xia, X. Zhang, and T. Long, “Underwater sonar target imaging via compressed
sensing with m sequences,” **Science China Information Sciences, vol. 59,**

17

no. 8, p. 122308, 2016. [70] J. S. Sewada, C. Ioana, M. Geen, and J. Mars, “Wideband signals for phase differencing
sonar systems,”

in 2018 OCEANS-MTS/IEEE Kobe Techno-Oceans (OTO), pp. 1– 5, IEEE, 2018.

118

[71]

I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, and L. Stanković, “Analysis of off-grid ef-
fects in wideband sonar images using compressive sensing,” in **OCEANS 2018 MTS/IEEE Charleston,**

5

pp. 1–6, IEEE, 2018. [72]

I. Stanković, C. Ioana, and M. Daković, “Sequence comparison in reconstruction and targeting in
underwater sonar imaging,” in **OCEANS 2019-Marseille,**

8

pp. 1– 10, IEEE, 2019. [73]

I. Stanković, J. S. Sewada, M. Geen, C. Ioana, M. Daković, and J. Mars, “Trans-
mitted sequence influence to sonar target detection using compressive sensing,” in **OCEANS 2019-Seattle,**

8

pp. 1–10, IEEE, 2019. [74]

I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Brajović, M. Daković, and L. Stanković, "Time-varying cross-range in wideband sonar imaging," in 2019 **11th International Symposium on Image and Signal Processing and Analysis (ISPA),**

8

pp. 318–323, IEEE, 2019. [75]

L. Stanković, M. Daković, I. Stanković, and S. Vujović, "On the errors in randomly sampled nonsparse signals reconstructed with a sparsity assumption," **IEEE Geo- science and Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2453–2456,**

4

2017. [76]

A. Papoulis and S. U. Pillai, Probability, random variables, and stochastic processes. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 2002.

64

[77] S.

Šajić, N. Maletić, B. M. Todorović, and M. Šunjevarić, "Random binary sequences in telecommunications," **Journal of Electrical Engineering, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 230–237, 2013.**

4

[78] G. Björck, "Functions of modulus 1 on \mathbb{Z}_n whose fourier transforms have constant modulus, and cyclic n -roots," 1990. [79] B. M. Popović, "Fourier duals of björck sequences," in International Conference on Sequences and Their Applications, pp. 253–258, Springer, 2010. [80]

A. Mitra, "On pseudo-random and orthogonal binary spreading sequences," **International Journal of Information and Communication Engineering, vol. 4, no. 6, pp. 447–454, 2008.**

88

[81]

D. Chu, "Polyphase codes with good periodic correlation properties (corresp.)," **IEEE Transactions on information theory, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 531–532, 1972.**

80

[82]

K. Li, L. Gan, and C. Ling, "Convolutional compressed sensing using deterministic sequences," **IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing**, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 740–752,

4

2012. [83]

J. J. Benedetto and J. J. Donatelli, "Ambiguity function and frame-theoretic properties of periodic zero-autocorrelation waveforms," **IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing**, vol. 1,

68

no. 1,

pp. 6–20, 2007. [84] **A. Kebo, I. Konstantinidis, J. J. Benedetto, M. R. Dellomo, and J. M. Sieracki,** "Ambiguity and sidelobe behavior of cazac coded waveforms," in 2007 **IEEE Radar Conference**, pp.

46

99–103, IEEE, 2007. [85]

I. Djurovic, T. Thayaparan, and L. **Stankovic,** "Sar imaging of moving targets using polynomial fourier transform," **IET Signal Processing**, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 237– 246, 2008. [86] **A.**

73

Anand and M. K. Mukul, "Comparison of stft based direction of arrival estimation techniques for speech signal," in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Recent Trends in Electronics, Information & Communication Technology (RTE- ICT), pp. 200–205, IEEE, 2016. [87] S.

Ejaz and M. A. Shafiq, "Comparison of spectral and subspace algorithms for fm source estimation," **Progress In Electromagnetics Research**, vol. 14, pp. 11–21, 2010.

87

[88] L.

Stankovic, V. Popovic, and M. Dakovic, "On the capon's method

124

application in time-frequency analysis," in Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology (IEEE Cat. No. 03EX795), pp. 721–724, IEEE, 2003. [89] P. Stoica, R. L. Moses, et al., "Spectral analysis of signals," 2005. [90]

I. Murgan, A. Digulescu, I. Candel, and C. Ioana, “Compensation of position offset of acoustic transducers using compressive sensing concept,” in **OCEANS 2016 MTS/IEEE Monterey, pp. 1–4,**

71

IEEE, 2016. [91]

M. T. Özgen, “Extension of the capon’s spectral estimator to time–frequency analysis and to the analysis of polynomial-phase signals,” **Signal processing, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 575–592,**

111

2003. [92]

I. Stanković, C. Ioana, and M. Dakovic, “High-resolution local polynomial fourier transform in acoustic signal analysis,” in 2017 **International Symposium ELMAR,**

8

pp. 163–166, IEEE, 2017. [93]

A. Belouchrani and M. G. Amin, “Time-frequency music,” **IEEE Signal Processing Letters, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 109–110,**

69

1999. [94]

Y. Jiang and **A. Papandreou-Suppappola,** “Discrete time-frequency characterizations of dispersive linear time-varying systems,” **IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 2066–2076,**

7

2007. [95]

C. Ioana, A. Jarrot, C. Gervaise, Y. Stéphan, and A. Quinquis, “Localization in underwater dispersive channels using the time-frequency-phase continuity of signals,” **IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 4093–4107, 2010.**

45

[96] S.

Stanković, I. Orović, and L. Stanković, “Polynomial fourier domain as a domain of signal sparsity,”
Signal Processing, vol. 130, pp. 243–253, 2017.

22

[97]

I. Tolstoy and C. S. Clay, Ocean acoustics, vol. 293. McGraw-Hill New York, 1966.

85

[98]

E. K. Westwood, C. T. Tindle, and N. R. Chapman,

143

“A normal mode model for acousto-elastic ocean environments,” The

Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, vol. 100, no. 6, pp. 3631–3645, 1996.

110

[99]

F. B. Jensen, W. A. Kuperman, M. B. Porter, and H. Schmidt, Computational ocean acoustics.
Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.

50

[100]

W. A. Kuperman and J. F. Lynch, “Shallow-water acoustics,” **Physics Today, vol. 57, no. 10, pp.**
55–61, 2004.

70

[101] M. Stojanovic, “Underwater acoustic communication,” Wiley encyclopedia of electrical and electronics engineering, 2001. [102]

M. Stojanovic and J. Preisig, “Underwater acoustic communication channels: Propagation models
and statistical characterization,” **IEEE communications magazine, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 84–89,**
2009.

81

[103]

C. Ioana, N. Josso, C. Gervaise, J. Mars, and Y. Stéphan,

11

“Signal analysis approach for passive tomography: applications for dispersive channels and moving configuration,” 2009. [104] E. de Sousa Costa, E. B. Medeiros, and J. B. C. Filardi, “Underwater acoustics modeling in finite depth shallow waters,” in

Modeling and measurement methods for acoustic waves and for acoustic microdevices,

IntechOpen, **2013.**

115

[105]

G. V. Frisk, Ocean and seabed acoustics: a theory of wave propagation. Pearson Education,

96

1994. [106]

J. Zhang and A. Papandreou-Suppappola, “Time-frequency based waveform and receiver design”

79

for shallow water communications,” in 2007 **IEEE** International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing- ICASSP’07, **vol. 3, pp.**

III-1149, IEEE, 2007. [107]

L. Ziomek, Fundamentals of acoustic field theory and space-time signal processing. CRC press,

1994.

83

[108] B. A. McCollom, Complex wavenumber determination for seismo-acoustic propagation scenarios.

PhD thesis, Colorado School of Mines. Arthur Lakes Library, 2013.

156

[109] L. Novotny, “Electromagnetic fields and waves,” Lecture Notes: 227-0052-10L [Power Point), ETH Zurich, Photonic Laboratory, pp. 23–25, 2013. [110]

I. Stakgold and **M. J. Holst**, *Green's functions and boundary value problems, vol. 99*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011. 61

[111]

J. J. Zhang, A. Papandreou-Suppappola, B. Gottin, and C. Ioana, "Time-

32

frequency characterization and receiver waveform design for shallow water environments," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2973–2985, 2009. [112]

V. Katkovnik, "A new form of the fourier transform for time-varying frequency estimation," *Signal Processing*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 187–200, 1995. 119

[113]

B. Boashash and P. O'Shea, "Polynomial wigner-ville distributions and their relationship to time-varying higher order spectra," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 216–220, 32

1994. [114]

V. Katkovnik, "Discrete-time local polynomial approximation of the instantaneous frequency," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 46, no. 10, pp. 2626–2637, 1998. 5

[115]

X. Li, G. Bi, S. Stankovic, and **A. M. Zoubir**, "Local polynomial fourier transform: A review on recent developments and applications," *Signal Processing*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp. 1370–1393, 2011. 44

[116] S. Stanković, **I. Orović**,

and E. Sejdić, *Multimedia signals and systems*. Springer, 2012. [117]

L. Stanković, M. Daković, and S. Vujović, “Reconstruction of sparse signals in impulsive disturbance environments,” **Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing**, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 767–794, 2017. 3

[118]

I. Stanković, I. Orović, M. Daković, and S. Stanković, “Denoising of sparse images in impulsive disturbance environment,” **Multimedia Tools and Applications**, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 5885–5905, 2018. 42

[119] Z. M.

Ramadan, “Efficient restoration method for images corrupted with impulse noise,”

Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 1397–1406, 131

2012. [120]

V. Caselles, A. Chambolle, and M. Novaga, “Total variation in imaging,” **Handbook of mathematical methods in imaging**, vol. 2015, pp. 1455–1499, 2015. 76

[121] B. Zhang, Z. Zhu, and S. Wang, “A simple primal–dual method for total variation image restoration,”

Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation, vol. 38, pp. 814–823, 2016. 135

[122]

Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, “Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity,” **IEEE transactions on image processing**, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, 2004. 36

[123]

M. Brajović, I. Stanković, M. Daković, C. Ioana, and L. Stanković, “Error in the reconstruction of nonsparse images,” **Mathematical Problems in Engineering**, vol. 2018, 2018. 1

List of publications Journals and book chapters

1. I. Stanković, M. Daković, C. Ioana, "Decomposition and Analysis of Signals Sparse in the Dual Polynomial Fourier Transform," Elsevier Microprocessors and Microsystems, vol. 63, pp. 209–215, November 2018.
2. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, "On the reconstruction of nonsparse time- frequency signals with sparsity constraint from a reduced set of samples," Elsevier Signal Processing, vol. 142, pp. 480–484, January 2018.
3. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, C. Ioana, L. Stanković, "Quantization in Compressive Sensing: A Signal Processing Approach," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 50611–50625, March 2020.
4. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, C. Ioana, L. Stanković, "Bit-depth quantization and reconstruction error in images," Signal, Image and Video Processing, accepted, 2020.
5. I. Stanković, I. Orović, M. Daković, S. Stanković, "Denoising of Sparse Images in Impulsive Disturbance Environment," Multimedia Tools and Applications, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 5885–5905, March 2018.
6. L. Stanković, M. Brajović, I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, "Reconstruction Error in Nonuniformly Sampled Approximately Sparse Signals," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, accepted for publication.
7. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, L. Stanković, "Analysis of Noise in Complex-Valued Binary and Bipolar Sigmoid Compressive Sensing," Telfor Journal, 2019.
8. L. Stanković, M. Daković, I. Stanković, S. Vujović, "On the Errors in Randomly Sampled Nonsparse Signals Reconstructed with a Sparsity Assumption," IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 2453–2456, December 2017.
9. N. A. Khan, M. Mohammadi, I. Stanković, "Sparse Reconstruction based on iterative TF domain filtering and Viterbi based IF estimation Algorithm," Elsevier Signal Processing, vol. 166, January 2020.
10. L. Stanković, M. Daković, I. Stanković, "Compressive Sensing Methods for Reconstruction of Big Sparse Signals," in "Biomedical Signal Processing in Big Data", E. Sejdic ed., CRC Press, 2017.

121 List of publications

11. M. Brajović, I. Stanković, M. Daković, C. Ioana, L. Stanković, "Error in the Reconstruction of Nonsparse Images," Mathematical Problems in Engineering, vol. 2018
12. L. Stanković, I. Stanković, M. Daković, "Nonsparsity Influence on the ISAR Recovery from a Reduced Set of Data," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, December 2016.

Conferences

1. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, L. Stanković, C. Ioana, "Quantization Effect in Nonuniform Nonsparse Signal Reconstruction," 9th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing, MECO 2020, Budva (Montenegro), June 2020.
2. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, C. Ioana, L. Stanković, "On the Quantization and the Probability of Misdetection in Compressive Sensing," 27th Telecommunications Forum TELFOR 2019, Belgrade (Serbia), November 2019.
3. M. Daković, M. Ponjavić, I. Stanković, J. Lerga, C. Ioana, "Time-Frequency Analysis of Ionospheric Whistler Signals," 27th Telecommunications Forum TELFOR 2019, Belgrade (Serbia), November 2019.
4. I. Stanković, J. Singh Sewada, M. Geen, C. Ioana, M. Daković, J. Mars, "Transmitted Sequence Influence to Sonar Target Detection using Compressive Sensing," IEEE OCEANS 2019, Seattle (WA, USA), October 2019.
5. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Brajović, M. Daković, L. Stanković, "Time-Varying Cross-Range in Wideband Sonar Imaging," ISPA 2019, Dubrovnik (Croatia), September 2019.
6. M. Brajović, I. Stanković, L. Stanković, M. Daković, "Decomposition of Two-Component Multivariate Signals with Overlapped Domains of Support," ISPA 2019, Dubrovnik (Croatia), September 2019.
7. I. Stanković, A. Digulescu, C. Ioana, K. Dayet, "Electric arc detection using compressive sensing," GRETSI, Lille (France), August 2019.
8. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, "Sequence Comparison in Reconstruction and Targeting in Underwater Sonar Imaging," IEEE OCEANS 2019, Marseille (France), June 2019.
9. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, C. Ioana, "Gradient-Descent Algorithm Performance With Reduced Set of Quantized Measurements," 8th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing, MECO 2019, Budva (Montenegro), June 2019.

List of publications

10. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, L. Stanković, "Complex-Valued Binary Compressive Sensing," 26th Telecommunications Forum TELFOR, Belgrade (Serbia) November 2018.
11. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, L.

Stanković, "Analysis of off-grid effects in wideband sonar images using compressive sensing," IEEE OCEANS 2018, Charleston (South Carolina, USA), October 2018. 12. M. Brajović, I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, L. Stanković, "Reconstruction of Rigid Body with Noncompensated Acceleration After Micro-Doppler Removal," 5th International Workshop on Compressed Sensing applied to Radar, Multimodal Sensing, and Imaging (CoSeRa), Siegen, Germany, September 2018. 13. L. Stanković, M. Brajović, I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, "Analysis of Initial Estimate Noise in the Sparse Randomly Sampled ISAR Signals," 5th International Workshop on Compressed Sensing applied to Radar, Multimodal Sensing, and Imaging (CoSeRa), Siegen, Germany, September 2018. 14. I. Stanković, M. Brajović, M. Daković, C. Ioana, "Effect of Random Sampling on Noisy Nonsparse Signals in Time-Frequency Analysis," 26th European Signal Processing Conference EUSIPCO 2018, Rome, Italy, September 2018. 15. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, I. Candel, "Sparse Signal Reconstruction in Dual Polynomial Fourier Transform," 7th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing, MECO 2018, Budva, Montenegro, June 2018. 16. I. Stanković, I. Djurović, M. Daković, "Adaptive average BM3D filter for reconstruction of images with combined noise," 7th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing, MECO 2018, Budva, Montenegro, June 2018. 17. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, "High-Resolution Local Polynomial Fourier Transform in Acoustic Signal Analysis," ELMAR, Zadar (Croatia), September 2017. 18. I. Stanković, C. Ioana, M. Daković, "Model-based decomposition of acoustic signals in dispersive environment," GRETSI, Nice (France), September 2017. 19. I. Stanković, M. Daković, C. Ioana, "Time-Frequency Signal Reconstruction of Nonsparse Audio Signals," IEEE DSP, London (United Kingdom), August 2017. 20. M. Daković, L. Stanković, B. Lutovac, I. Stanković, "On the Fixed-point Rounding in the DFT," IEEE EUROCON, Ohrid (Macedonia), July 2017. 21. I. Stanković, M. Daković, C. Ioana, "Decomposition of Signals in Dispersive Channels using Dual Polynomial Fourier Transform," MECO, Bar (Montenegro), June 2017. List of publications 22. I. Stanković, I. Orović, M. Daković, "Overlapping Blocks in Reconstruction of Sparse Images," 40th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics MIPRO, Opatija (Croatia), May 2017. 23. M. Daković, I. Stanković, M. Brajović, L. Stanković, "Sparse Signal Reconstruction Based on Random Search Procedure," 40th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics MIPRO, Opatija (Croatia), May 2017. 24. M. Daković, I. Stanković, J. Ender, L. Stanković, "Sample Selection Strategy in DFT based on Compressive Sensing," 24th Telecommunications Forum TELFOR, Belgrade (Serbia), November 2016. 25. L. Stanković, I. Stanković, M. Daković, "Analysis of Noise and Nonsparsity in the ISAR Image Recovery from a Reduced Set of Data," 4th International Workshop on Compressed Sensing Theory and its Applications to Radar, Sonar and Remote Sensing CoSeRa, Aachen (Germany), September 2016. 26. I. Stanković, W. Dai, "Reconstruction of Global Ozone Density Data using a Gradient-Descent Algorithm," 58th International Symposium ELMAR, Zadar (Croatia), September 2016. 27. S. Vujović, I. Stanković, M. Daković, L. Stanković, "Comparison of a Gradient-Based and LASSO (ISTA) Algorithm for Sparse Signal Reconstruction," 5th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing MECO, Bar (Montenegro), June 2016. 28. I. Stanković, I. Orović, S. Stanković, M. Daković, "Iterative Denoising of Sparse Images," 39th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics MIPRO, Opatija (Croatia), May 2016. 29. I. Stanković, A. Draganić, "Reconstruction of Video Data based on DCT and Gradient-Descent Method," 23rd Telecommunications Forum TELFOR, Belgrade (Serbia), November 2015. 30. I. Stanković, I. Orović, S. Stanković, "Image Reconstruction from a Reduced Set of Pixels using a Simplified Gradient Algorithm," 22nd Telecommunications Forum TELFOR, Belgrade (Serbia), November 2014. Appendix A Reconstruction algorithms This Appendix presents some of the most commonly used algorithms in the compressive sensing theory. This, however, does not exclude the vast number of techniques developed during the years. It simply illustrates some procedures which were successfully implemented in many areas.

Additionally, Algorithm 7 presents the algorithm proposed in Chapter 5 of this thesis. Orthogonal matching pursuit algorithm 125 Appendix A. Reconstruction algorithms Iterative hard thresholding algorithm LASSO – ISTA reconstruction algorithm Appendix A. Reconstruction algorithms Bayesian-based reconstruction algorithm Gradient-based reconstruction algorithm Appendix A. Reconstruction algorithms Proposed method in Chapter 5 KRATKA BIOGRAFIJA AUTORA Isidora Stanković rođena je 02.04.1993. godine u Podgorici, Republika Crna Gora. Osnovnu školu „Maksim Gorki“ u Podgorici završila je 2007. godine kao dobitnica diplome „Luča“. Opšti smjer podgoričke gimnazije „Slobodan Škerović“ završila je 2011. godine. U septembru 2011. godine upisuje Vestminster Univerzitet (University of Westminster), London, Ujedinjeno Kraljevstvo, na smjeru Elektronika. Tema njenog diplomskog rada bila je „Rijetki (sparse) signali: analiza i rekonstrukcija“. Tokom studija, bila je na Dekanovoj listi najboljih studenata. Kao jedan od najboljih studenata Fakulteta za nauku i tehnologiju, dobitnik je nagrade za dostignuće, a dobitnik je i bronzane medalje za inženjerstvo kao jedan od najboljih studenata završne godine. Nakon osnovnih studija, upisuje Master studije na Imperijal Koledžu u Londonu, jednom od najboljih svjetskih univerziteta u oblasti elektrotehnike. Tamo upisuje smjer za Komunikacije i obradu signala. Master tezu, pod mentorstvom profesora Wei-a Dai-a, odbranila je u septembru 2015. godine na temu: Praćenje globalne Ozonske gustine sa nedostajućim podacima“. Nakon završenih Master studija, upisala je doktorske studije na Univerzitetu Crne Gore. Takođe upisuje doktorske studije na Univerzitetu u Grenoblu (University of Grenoble Alpes), i zvanično postaje student programa duple diplome (double-degree program) ova dva univerziteta. Isidora Stanković je, između ostalog, bila angažovana kao konsultant na projektu "New ICT Compressive sensing based trends applied to: multimedia, biomedicine and communications - CS-ICT", na Elektrotehničkom fakultetu Univerziteta Crne Gore. Od početka rada na doktoratu, kao autor ili ko-autor, objavila je 10 radova (publikovanih ili prihvaćenih za publikovanje) u renomiranim časopisima indeksiranim na SCI listi, među kojima su IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters (impact factor 3.534), Signal Processing, Elsevier (impact factor 4.086), Multimedia Tools and Applications, Springer, (impact factor 2.101), IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems (impact factor 2.063) i IEEE Access (impact factor 4.098). Do- datno, kao autor ili ko-autor, objavila je i prezentovala 30 radova na međunarodnim konferencijama. Objavila je i dva rada u časopisima od nacionalnog značaja, kao i jedno poglavlje u knjizi renomiranog izdavača CRC. Recenzirala je radove u nekoliko časopisa i konferencija. IZJAVA O AUTORSTVU Potpisani/a: Isidora Stanković Broj indeksa: 4/2015 Izjavljujem da je doktorska disertacija pod naslovom:

Analiza nestacionarnih signala: doprinos kompresivnog odabiranja u smanjenju interferencija u disperzivnim kanalima 25

rezultat sopstvenog istraživačkog rada; – da predložena disertacija ni u cjelini ni u djelovima nije bila predložena za do- bijanje bilo koje diplome prema studijskim programima drugih ustanova visokog obrazovanja; – da su rezultati korektno navedeni, i – da nijesam povrijedio autorska i druga prava intelektualne svojine koja pripadaju trećim licima. Podgorica, jul 2020. godine Potpis doktoranda: IZJAVA O ISTOVJETNOSTI ŠTAMPANE I ELEKTRONSKE VERZIJE DOKTORSKOG RADA Ime i prezime autora: Broj indeksa/upisa: Studijski program: Naslov rada: Mentor: Potpisani: 1

Isidora Stanković 4/2015 Doktorske studije elektrotehnike

Analiza nestacionarnih signala: doprinos kompresivnog odabiranja u smanjenju interferencija u disperzivnim kanalima

25

Prof. dr Miloš Daković Isidora Stanković **Izjavljujem da je štampana verzija mog doktorskog rada istovjetna elektronskoj verziji koju sam predala za objavljivanje u Digitalni arhiv Univerziteta Crne Gore. Istovremeno izjavljujem da dozvoljavam objavljivanje mojih ličnih podataka u vezi sa dobijanjem akademskog naziva doktora nauka, odnosno zvanja doktora umjetnosti, kao što su ime i prezime, godina i mjesto rođenja, naziv disertacije i datum odbrane rada. Podgorica, jul 2020. godine Potpis doktoranda: IZJAVA O KORIŠĆENJU** Ovlašćujem Univerzitetsku biblioteku da u Digitalni arhiv Univerziteta Crne Gore pohrani moju doktorsku disertaciju pod naslovom: **Analiza nestacionarnih signala: doprinos kompresivnog odabiranja u**

1

sman- jenju interferencija u disperzivnim kanalima

koja je moje autorsko djelo. Disertaciju sa svim priložima predala sam u elektronskom formatu pogodnom za trajno arhiviranje. Moju doktorsku disertaciju pohranjenu u Digitalni arhiv Univerziteta Crne Gore mogu da koriste svi koji poštuju odredbe sadržane u odabranom tipu licence Kreativne zajednice (Creative Commons) za koju sam se odlučila. 1. Autorstvo 2. Autorstvo – nekomercijalno 3. Autorstvo – nekomercijalno – bez prerade 4. Autorstvo – nekomercijalno – dijeliti pod istim uslovima 5. Autorstvo – bez prerade 6. Autorstvo – dijeliti pod istim uslovima Podgorica, jul 2020. godine Potpis doktoranda: 1. Autorstvo. Licenca sa najširim obimom prava korišćenja. Dozvoljavaju se prerade, umnožavanje, distribucija i javno saopštavanje djela, pod uslovom da se navede ime izvornog autora (onako kako je izvorni autor ili davalac licence odredio). Djelo se može koristiti i u komercijalne svrhe. 2. Autorstvo – nekomercijalno. Dozvoljavaju se prerade, umnožavanje, distribu- cija i javno saopštavanje djela, pod uslovom da se navede ime izvornog autora (onako kako je izvorni autor ili davalac licence odredio). Komercijalna upotreba djela nije dozvoljena. 3. Autorstvo – nekomercijalno – bez prerade. Licenca kojom se u najvećoj mjeri ograničavaju prava korišćenja djela. Dozvoljava se umnožavanje, distribu- cija i javno saopštavanje djela, pod uslovom da se navede ime izvornog autora (onako kako je izvorni autor ili davalac licence odredio). Djelo se ne može mijen- jati, preoblikovati ili koristiti u drugom djelu. Komercijalna upotreba djela nije dozvoljena. 4. Autorstvo – nekomercijalno – dijeliti pod istim uslovima. Dozvoljava se umnožavanje, distribucija, javno saopštavanje i prerada djela, pod uslovom da se navede ime izvornog autora (onako kako je izvorni autor ili davalac licence odredio). Ukoliko se djelo mijenja, preoblikuje ili koristi u drugom djelu, prerada se mora distribuirati pod istom ili sličnom licencom. Djelo i prerade se ne mogu koristiti u komercijalne svrhe. 5. Autorstvo – bez prerade. Dozvoljava se umnožavanje, distribucija i javno

1

saopštavanje djela, pod uslovom da se navede ime izvornog autora (onako kako je izvorni autor ili davalac licence odredio). Djelo se ne može mijenjati, preoblikovati ili koristiti u drugom djelu. Licenca dozvoljava komercijalnu upotrebu djela. 6. Autorstvo – dijeliti pod istim uslovima. Dozvoljava se umnožavanje, distribucija i javno saopštavanje djela, pod uslovom da se navede ime izvornog autora (onako kako je izvorni autor ili davalac licence odredio). Ukoliko se djelo mijenja, preoblikuje ili koristi u drugom djelu, prerade se moraju distribuirati pod istom ili sličnom licencom. Ova licenca dozvoljava komercijalnu upotrebu djela i prerada. Slična je softverskim licencama, odnosno licencama otvorenog koda.

6 7 8 Chapter 1. Background theory 9 10 Chapter 1. Background theory 11 12 Chapter 1. Background theory 13 14 Chapter 1. Background theory 15 16 Chapter 1. Background theory 17 18 Chapter 1. Background theory 19 20 Chapter 1. Background theory 22 23 24 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 25 26 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 2.3. Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction 27 28 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 2.3. Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction 29 30 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 2.3. Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction 31 32 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 2.3. Error in time-frequency signal reconstruction 33 34 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 35 36 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 37 38 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 39 40 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 41 42 Chapter 2. Reconstruction error of non-stationary signals 44 45 46 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 47 48 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 49 50 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 51 52 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 53 54 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 55 56 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 57 58 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 59 60 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 61 62 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 3.5. High-resolution decomposition 63 64 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 3.5. High-resolution decomposition 65 66 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 3.5. High-resolution decomposition 67 68 Chapter 3. Wideband sonar signal reconstruction 70 71 72 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 73 74 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 75 76 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 77 78 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 79 80 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 4.4. Dual form of PFT (DPFT) 81 82 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 4.4. Dual form of PFT (DPFT) 83 84 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 4.4. Dual form of PFT (DPFT) 85 86 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 4.4. Dual form of PFT (DPFT) 87 88 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 89 90 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 91 92 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 93 94 Chapter 4. Decomposition in dispersive channels 96 97 98 Chapter 5. Compressive sensing in image denoising 99 100 Chapter 5. Compressive sensing in image denoising 101 102 Chapter 5. Compressive sensing in image denoising 103 104 Chapter 5. Compressive sensing in image denoising 105 106 Chapter 5. Compressive sensing in image denoising 107 110 112 114 Bibliography Bibliography 115 116 Bibliography Bibliography 117 118 Bibliography Bibliography 119 120 Bibliography 122 123 124 126 127 128 129 130

sources:

1 696 words / 2% - Internet from 30-Oct-2019 12:00AM
export.arxiv.org

-
- 2 423 words / 1% - Crossref
[Isidora Stanković, Irena Orović, Miloš Daković, Srdjan Stanković. "Denoising of sparse images in impulsive disturbance environment", Multimedia Tools and Applications, 2017](#)
-
- 3 290 words / 1% - Internet from 31-Jul-2020 12:00AM
www.hindawi.com
-
- 4 230 words / 1% - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Cornel Ioana, Milos Dakovic. "Sequence Comparison in Reconstruction and Targeting in Underwater Sonar Imaging", OCEANS 2019 - Marseille, 2019](#)
-
- 5 190 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Cornel Ioana, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Ljubisa Stankovic. "Time-Varying Cross-Range in Wideband Sonar Imaging", 2019 11th International Symposium on Image and Signal Processing and Analysis \(ISPA\), 2019](#)
-
- 6 165 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stanković, Miloš Daković, Cornel Ioana. "Decomposition and Analysis of Signals Sparse in the Dual Polynomial Fourier Transform", Microprocessors and Microsystems, 2018](#)
-
- 7 133 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Cornel Ioana, Milos Dakovic. "High-resolution local polynomial Fourier transform in acoustic signal analysis", 2017 International Symposium ELMAR, 2017](#)
-
- 8 122 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-Feb-2020 12:00AM
www.tfsa.me
-
- 9 112 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Feb-2020 12:00AM
link.springer.com
-
- 10 100 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Miloš Brajović, Isidora Stanković, Miloš Daković, Cornel Ioana, Ljubiša Stanković. "Error in the Reconstruction of Nonsparse Images", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2018](#)
-
- 11 76 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Dakovic, Cornel Ioana. "Decomposition of signals in dispersive channels using dual polynomial fourier transform", 2017 6th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing \(MECO\), 2017](#)
-
- 12 56 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Ljubisa Stankovic, Danilo P. Mandic, Milos Dakovic, Ilya Kisil. "Demystifying the Coherence Index in Compressive Sensing \[Lecture Notes\]", IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 2020](#)
-
- 13 54 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Mar-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me
-
- 14 49 words / < 1% match - Internet from 23-Jul-2019 12:00AM
link.springer.com

-
- 15 44 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Jul-2018 12:00AM
d-nb.info
-
- 16 43 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Cornel Ioana, Ljubisa Stankovic. "Quantization in Compressive Sensing: A Signal Processing Approach", IEEE Access, 2020](#)
-
- 17 42 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Nov-2018 12:00AM
www.eecis.udel.edu
-
- 18 41 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-Jul-2018 12:00AM
theses.whiterose.ac.uk
-
- 19 40 words / < 1% match - Internet from 05-Dec-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me
-
- 20 39 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-Mar-2020 12:00AM
escholarship.org
-
- 21 39 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Cornel Ioana, Milos Dakovic, Ion Candel. "Sparse signal reconstruction in dual polynomial Fourier transform", 2018 7th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing \(MECO\), 2018](#)
-
- 22 35 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Dec-2018 12:00AM
downloads.hindawi.com
-
- 23 35 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Mar-2015 12:00AM
casopisi.junis.ni.ac.rs
-
- 24 34 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Mar-2016 12:00AM
projecteuclid.org
-
- 25 34 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Aug-2020 12:00AM
www.ucg.ac.me
-
- 26 33 words / < 1% match - Internet from 21-Jul-2020 12:00AM
web.engr.oregonstate.edu
-
- 27 33 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-May-2014 12:00AM
www.apsipa2013.org
-
- 28 33 words / < 1% match - Crossref Posted Content
[Abbas Kazemipour, Ji Liu, Krystyna Solarana, Daniel A. Nagode, Patrick O. Kanold, Min Wu, Behdash Babadi. "Fast and Stable Signal Deconvolution via Compressible State-Space Models",](#)

[Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 2016](#)

-
- 29 31 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Apr-2020 12:00AM
[export.arxiv.org](#)
-
- 30 31 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Cornel Ioana, Milos Dakovic, Ljubisa Stankovic. "Analysis of off-grid effects in wideband sonar images using compressive sensing", OCEANS 2018 MTS/IEEE Charleston, 2018](#)
-
- 31 30 words / < 1% match - Internet from 02-Mar-2017 12:00AM
[arxiv.org](#)
-
- 32 30 words / < 1% match - Internet from 11-Nov-2013 12:00AM
[www.tfsa.ac.me](#)
-
- 33 29 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Ljubiša Stanković, Ervin Sejdić, Srdjan Stanković, Miloš Daković, Irena Orović. "A Tutorial on Sparse Signal Reconstruction and Its Applications in Signal Processing", Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing, 2018](#)
-
- 34 26 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Mar-2017 12:00AM
[dl.dropboxusercontent.com](#)
-
- 35 26 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Jitendra Singh Sewada, Matt Geen, Cornel Ioana, Milos Dakovic, Jerome Mars. "Transmitted Sequence Influence to Sonar Target Detection using Compressive Sensing", OCEANS 2019 MTS/IEEE SEATTLE, 2019](#)
-
- 36 25 words / < 1% match - Internet from 31-Jul-2020 12:00AM
[www.hindawi.com](#)
-
- 37 25 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Jul-2020 12:00AM
[projecteuclid.org](#)
-
- 38 24 words / < 1% match - Internet from 23-Sep-2019 12:00AM
[www.it.ac.me](#)
-
- 39 24 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Multimedia Signals and Systems, 2016.](#)
-
- 40 23 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Apr-2018 12:00AM
[tel.archives-ouvertes.fr](#)
-
- 41 22 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stanković, Miloš Brajović, Miloš Daković, Cornel Ioana, Ljubiša Stanković. "Bit-depth quantization and reconstruction error in digital images", Signal, Image and Video Processing, 2020](#)

- 42 22 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Shih-Chia Huang, Yan-Tsung Peng, Chia-Hao Chang, Kai-Han Cheng, Sha-Wo Huang, Bo-Hao Chen. "Restoration of Images with High-Density Impulsive Noise based on Sparse Approximation and Ant-colony Optimization", IEEE Access, 2020](#)
-
- 43 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Mar-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me
-
- 44 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 05-Dec-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me
-
- 45 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Jul-2020 12:00AM
tel.archives-ouvertes.fr
-
- 46 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Apr-2010 12:00AM
signal.ese.wustl.edu
-
- 47 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Mar-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me
-
- 48 21 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Jan-2019 12:00AM
www.tfsa.ac.me
-
- 49 21 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Dakovic, Irena Orovic. "Overlapping blocks in reconstruction of sparse images", 2017 40th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology, Electronics and Microelectronics \(MIPRO\), 2017](#)
-
- 50 20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 05-Apr-2020 12:00AM
etd.xmu.edu.cn
-
- 51 20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-May-2019 12:00AM
www.groundai.com
-
- 52 20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Feb-2014 12:00AM
www.mtome.com
-
- 53 20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Dec-2018 12:00AM
export.arxiv.org
-
- 54 20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-Jun-2020 12:00AM
sites.temple.edu
-
- 55 20 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Dakovic, Cornel Ioana. "Time-frequency signal reconstruction of nonsparse audio signals", 2017 22nd International Conference on Digital Signal Processing \(DSP\), 2017](#)

56

19 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Jan-2019 12:00AM

www.tfsa.ac.me

57

19 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Mar-2019 12:00AM

www.multimedia.ac.me

58

19 words / < 1% match - Internet

zaguan.unizar.es

59

19 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Cornel Ioana. "Effect of Random Sampling on Noisy Nonspare Signals in Time-Frequency Analysis", 2018 26th European Signal Processing Conference \(EUSIPCO\), 2018](#)

60

18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 07-Jun-2017 12:00AM

www.thesis.bilkent.edu.tr

61

18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Jul-2020 12:00AM

www.math.wisc.edu

62

18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Jul-2020 12:00AM

www.unh.edu

63

18 words / < 1% match - Internet

arxiv.org

64

18 words / < 1% match - Internet from 11-Feb-2017 12:00AM

hal.univ-brest.fr

65

18 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Ljubiša Stanković, Miloš Daković, Ervin Sejdić. "Chapter 1 Introduction to Graph Signal Processing", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)

66

17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Oct-2014 12:00AM

uu.diva-portal.org

67

17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 31-Jul-2020 12:00AM

www.hindawi.com

68

17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 13-May-2016 12:00AM

file.scirp.org

69

17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-May-2010 12:00AM

ali.mansour.free.fr

- 70 17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Jan-2019 12:00AM
repository.tudelft.nl
-
- 71 17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 02-Feb-2020 12:00AM
hal.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr
-
- 72 17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Mar-2020 12:00AM
www2.eecs.berkeley.edu
-
- 73 17 words / < 1% match - Internet from 21-Jul-2020 12:00AM
www.etfprog.ac.me
-
- 74 16 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Feb-2020 12:00AM
www.scribd.com
-
- 75 16 words / < 1% match - Internet from 05-Dec-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me
-
- 76 16 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Nov-2017 12:00AM
hal.archives-ouvertes.fr
-
- 77 16 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Yan, Huichen, Jia Xu, and Xudong Zhang. "Compressed sensing radar imaging of off-grid sparse targets", 2015 IEEE Radar Conference \(RadarCon\), 2015.](#)
-
- 78 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-Mar-2019 12:00AM
www.canu.me
-
- 79 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Apr-2010 12:00AM
signal.ese.wustl.edu
-
- 80 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 24-Jul-2020 12:00AM
digitalcommons.njit.edu
-
- 81 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Aug-2020 12:00AM
www.hindawi.com
-
- 82 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-Jun-2020 12:00AM
www.repository.cam.ac.uk
-
- 83 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Apr-2020 12:00AM
www2.eecs.berkeley.edu
-
- 84 15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 09-Oct-2015 12:00AM
www.hft.ei.tum.de

85

15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 03-May-2020 12:00AM
portal.research.lu.se

86

15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 11-Sep-2017 12:00AM
www.ams.org

87

15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-May-2016 12:00AM
www.ijcaonline.org

88

15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Apr-2016 12:00AM
www.wseas.us

89

15 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Stankovic, Isidora, Irena Orovic, Srdjan Stankovic, and Milos Dakovic. "Iterative denoising of sparse images", 2016 39th International Convention on Information and Communication Technology Electronics and Microelectronics \(MIPRO\), 2016.](#)

90

15 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Cornel Ioana, Ljubisa Stankovic. "Quantization in Compressive Sensing: A Signal Processing Approach", IEEE Access, 2020](#)

91

15 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Cornel Ioana, Ljubisa Stankovic. "On the Quantization and the Probability of Misdetection in Compressive Sensing", 2019 27th Telecommunications Forum \(TELFOR\), 2019](#)

92

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Mar-2019 12:00AM
www.multimedia.ac.me

93

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Jun-2020 12:00AM
mafiadoc.com

94

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Jun-2020 12:00AM
www.springerprofessional.de

95

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Aug-2020 12:00AM
mafiadoc.com

96

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-May-2016 12:00AM
dollywood.itp.tuwien.ac.at

97

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Feb-2014 12:00AM
edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de

98

14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 10-Jul-2010 12:00AM
fedetd.mis.nsysu.edu.tw

99

14 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Isidora Stanković, Miloš Daković, Cornel Ioana. "Decomposition and analysis of signals sparse in the dual polynomial Fourier transform", Microprocessors and Microsystems, 2018](#)

100

14 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Milos Dakovic, Milan Ponjavic, Isidora Stankovic, Jonatan Lerga, Cornel Ioana. "Time-Frequency Analysis of Ionospheric Whistler Signals", 2019 27th Telecommunications Forum \(TELFOR\), 2019](#)

101

14 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Ehsan Miandji. "Sparse representation of visual data for compression and compressed sensing", Linköping University Electronic Press, 2018](#)

102

13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Sep-2015 12:00AM

vts.uni-ulm.de

103

13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Jul-2016 12:00AM

academic.odysci.com

104

13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Mar-2020 12:00AM

physicstoday.scitation.org

105

13 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Ljubisa Stankovic, Milos Brajovic. "Analysis of the Reconstruction of Sparse Signals in the DCT Domain Applied to Audio Signals", IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, 2018](#)

106

13 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Ljubisa Stankovic, Milos Brajovic. "Analysis of the Reconstruction of Sparse Signals in the DCT Domain Applied to Audio Signals", IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, 2018](#)

107

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-May-2020 12:00AM

arxiv.org

108

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Jul-2020 12:00AM

thesesups.ups-tlse.fr

109

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Mar-2019 12:00AM

www.multimedia.ac.me

110

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-May-2012 12:00AM

kth.diva-portal.org

111

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 21-Nov-2008 12:00AM

adt.library.qut.edu.au

112

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-Jul-2020 12:00AM
mafiadoc.com

113

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 07-Nov-2017 12:00AM
ir.nctu.edu.tw

114

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-Sep-2017 12:00AM
discovery.ucl.ac.uk

115

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Jul-2020 12:00AM
curve.carleton.ca

116

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 04-May-2020 12:00AM
es.scribd.com

117

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Apr-2020 12:00AM
repository.tudelft.nl

118

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-Mar-2020 12:00AM
upcommons.upc.edu

119

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-Oct-2010 12:00AM
www.ottawa.drdc-rddc.gc.ca

120

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 23-Jun-2020 12:00AM
tel.archives-ouvertes.fr

121

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Jun-2018 12:00AM
documents.mx

122

12 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Nov-2009 12:00AM
www.lss.supelec.fr

123

12 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Ljubisa Stankovic, Cornel Ioana. "Quantization Effect in Nonuniform Nonspare Signal Reconstruction", 2020 9th Mediterranean Conference on Embedded Computing \(MECO\), 2020](#)

124

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Jan-2019 12:00AM
www.tfsa.ac.me

125

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 03-Nov-2017 12:00AM
thesis.library.caltech.edu

126

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-Mar-2016 12:00AM

arxiv.org

127

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 14-Jul-2020 12:00AM
tuprints.ulb.tu-darmstadt.de

128

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 31-Aug-2017 12:00AM
www.research-collection.ethz.ch

129

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Feb-2014 12:00AM
tacs.eu

130

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Jul-2020 12:00AM
www.tu-ilmenu.de

131

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 21-Jul-2020 12:00AM
www.radioeng.cz

132

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 02-Nov-2017 12:00AM
openscholarship.wustl.edu

133

11 words / < 1% match - Internet
arxiv.org

134

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-Nov-2017 12:00AM
hal.archives-ouvertes.fr

135

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Aug-2020 12:00AM
www.hindawi.com

136

11 words / < 1% match - Internet
infoscience.epfl.ch

137

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-Apr-2019 12:00AM
es.scribd.com

138

11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 30-Oct-2016 12:00AM
es.scribd.com

139

11 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stanković, Cornel Ioana, Miloš Daković. "On the reconstruction of nonspare time-frequency signals with sparsity constraint from a reduced set of samples", Signal Processing, 2018](#)

140

11 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Hegde, Chinmay, and Richard G. Baraniuk. "Sampling and Recovery of Pulse Streams", IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 2011.](#)

141

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 25-Sep-2008 12:00AM
www-dsp.rice.edu

142

10 words / < 1% match - Internet
cloudfront.escholarship.org

143

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 09-Mar-2012 12:00AM
www.acoustics.asn.au

144

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 09-May-2020 12:00AM
arxiv.org

145

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Sep-2019 12:00AM
decsai.ugr.es

146

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Oct-2018 12:00AM
theses.whiterose.ac.uk

147

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 16-Jul-2020 12:00AM
www.geintra-uah.org

148

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 02-Jun-2018 12:00AM
www.ece.iisc.ernet.in

149

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 26-Nov-2016 12:00AM
digital.library.adelaide.edu.au

150

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 20-Jun-2016 12:00AM
google.com.au

151

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 18-Aug-2017 12:00AM
preview-asp-eurasipjournals.springeropen.com

152

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 28-Jul-2020 12:00AM
library.oopen.org

153

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Apr-2020 12:00AM
docplayer.fi

154

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Mar-2020 12:00AM
dspace.ewha.ac.kr

155

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Dec-2019 12:00AM
epdf.pub

156

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 06-Oct-2019 12:00AM
hal.archives-ouvertes.fr

157

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 30-Sep-2019 12:00AM
chameleon.synth.net

158

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Sep-2017 12:00AM
discovery.ucl.ac.uk

159

10 words / < 1% match - Internet from 29-Jul-2017 12:00AM
ijceronline.com

160

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
["Vertex-Frequency Analysis of Graph Signals", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)

161

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stanković, Miloš Brajović, Miloš Daković, Ljubiša Stanković. "Analysis of noise in complex-valued binary and bipolar sigmoid compressive sensing", Telfor Journal, 2019](#)

162

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Ljubisa Stankovic, Milos Dakovic, Isidora Stankovic, Stefan Vujovic. "On the Errors in Randomly Sampled Nonsparse Signals Reconstructed With a Sparsity Assumption", IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 2017](#)

163

10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Isidora Stankovic, Milos Brajovic, Milos Dakovic, Ljubisa Stankovic. "Complex-Valued Binary Compressive Sensing", 2018 26th Telecommunications Forum \(TELFOR\), 2018](#)